

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1468749**

Filing date: **10/09/2025**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	92089368
Party	Defendant Garment Line, Inc.
Correspondence address	GARMENT LINE INC 3701 WILSHIRE BLVD SUITE 410 LOS ANGELES, CA 90010 UNITED STATES No email provided 213-387-3100
Submission	Answer
Filer's name	Chad Biggins
Filer's email	chadbiggins@gmail.com
Signature	/s/ Chad Biggins
Date	10/09/2025
Attachments	answer avani 10-9-25.pdf(110912 bytes)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Avani Gregg Brands, LLC	:	Registration No. 6161185
	:	Registered 9-29-2020
Petitioner	:	
V	:	
	:	
Garment Lane, Inc.	:	
Respondent	:	

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

COMES NOW Registrant Garment Line, Inc. (erroneously sued as Garment Lane) (“Registrant”) in the above-captioned matter and submits this Answer to the Petition for Cancellation filed by Avani Gregg Brands, LLC (“Petitioner”) with respect to the mark at Registration No.: 6161185 (the “Registrant’s Mark”) by generally denying all of the allegations in the Petition for Cancellation, except as may be specifically admitted or denied as follows, and denying that Petitioner is presently or will in the future be damaged by the continued registration of the Registrant’s Mark.

1. Registrants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph No. 1 of the Petition for Cancellation, and on that basis denies the same.
2. Registrants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph No. 1 of the Petition for Cancellation, and on that basis denies the same.
3. Denied. The mark was and remains in use by Registrant.
4. n/a
5. Admit, but more was submitted.
6. Denied. The mark was and remains in use by Registrant.
7. Denied. The mark was and remains in use by Registrant.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. As the First Affirmative Defense to the Petitioner for Cancellation, Registrant alleges that Petitioner's action is invalid because he has no right or claim to the mark.
2. As the Second Affirmative Defense to the Petition for Cancellation, Registrant is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Petitioner's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands. Petitioner's filing of its' Petition for Cancellation is merely an Registrants into an unfavorable settlement. Petitioner's conduct is wrongful, immoral, and illegal predatory conduct in attempting to prevent Registrants from continued use of Registrants' Mark.
3. Registrant reserves the right to assert additional defenses as the facts are discovered.

WHEREFORE, Registrants pray that this Petition for Cancellation be denied and that the Registrants' Mark be allowed to continue to be registered and maintained on the Principal Register and recover its costs and attorney's fees and all other relief the Court deems proper.

Date: 10-9-25

/s/ Chad Biggins

Chad Biggins, Attorney for Registrant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing ANSWER has been served on OPPOSING COUNSEL LISTED BELOW by forwarding said copy on this date, via email to:

KENNETH A. FEINSWOG
Attorney for Petitioner
400 Corporate Pointe, Suite 300
Culver City, CA 90230
kfeinswog@gmail.com
Telephone: (310) 846-5800

10-9-25

By: /Chad Biggins/

Chad Biggins