

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1028420**

Filing date: **01/13/2020**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92072184
Party	Defendant Hubble Connected Limited
Correspondence Address	HUBBLE CONNECTED LIMITED P O BOX 10338 GRAND CAYMAN KY1-1003 CAYMAN ISLANDS no email provided no phone number provided
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Timothy H. Hiebert
Filer's email	hiebert@samuelsTM.com
Signature	/Timothy H. Hiebert/
Date	01/13/2020
Attachments	Answer.pdf(15823 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HUBBELL INCORPORATED,
Petitioner

v.

HUBBLE CONNECTED LIMITED,
Respondent

Cancellation No. 92072184

Mark: HUBBLE

Registration No. 4600276

ANSWER

Respondent Hubble Connected Limited answers the Petition to Cancel as follows:

With respect to the allegations contained in the first unnumbered paragraph, Respondent denies that Petitioner has been and will be damaged by Registration No. 4600276. With respect to the numbered paragraphs, Respondent responds as follows:

1. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 1, and therefore denies them.
2. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 2, and therefore denies them.
3. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 3, and therefore denies them.
4. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 4, and therefore denies them.

5. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and therefore denies them.
6. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and therefore denies them.
7. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 7, and therefore denies them.
8. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and therefore denies them.
9. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and therefore denies them.
10. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and therefore denies them.
11. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and therefore denies them.
12. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 12, and therefore denies them.
13. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and therefore denies them.
14. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 14, and therefore denies them.
15. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, and therefore denies them.
16. Respondent admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 16.

17. Respondent denies that the EUTM registration date is correctly set forth in Paragraph 17, but admits the remaining allegations contained in that paragraph.
18. Respondent admits that the parties' marks begin with the same four letters, but Respondent denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 18.
19. Respondent lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 19, and therefore denies them.
20. Respondent admits that its registration is unrestricted as to consumers and trade channels, but Respondent denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 20.
21. Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21.
22. Respondent admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 22.
23. Respondent denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23.

WHEREFORE, Respondent prays that the petition for cancellation be dismissed.

/Timothy H. Hiebert/

Timothy H. Hiebert
Samuels & Hiebert LLC
Two International Place, Suite 2330
Boston, MA 02110-4104
617-426-5553
hiebert@samuelsTM.com
Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing Answer has been served on Terrence J. McAllister by forwarding said copy on January 13, 2020 via email to tmcallister@ogrp.com, trademark@ogrp.com, eosterberg@osterbergllc.com.

/Timothy H. Hiebert/