Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Electronic Filing System. http://estta.uspto.gov

ESTTA Tracking number: ESTTA984176 Filing date: 06/28/2019

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Petition for Cancellation

Notice is hereby given that the following party has filed a petition to cancel the registration indicated below.

Petitioner Information

Name	Labeauti, Inc.		
Entity	Corporation	Citizenship	Louisiana
Address	410 Bourbon Street New Orleans, LA 70116 UNITED STATES		

Attorney informa- tion	Kenneth L. Tolar Tolar Harrigan & Morris LLC 1055 St. Charles Avenue Suite 208 New Orleans, LA 70130 UNITED STATES ktolar@nolaipa.com 5045715317

Registration Subject to Cancellation

Registration No.	4560266	Registration date	07/01/2014
Registrant	Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc. 732 St. Louis Street New Orleans, LA 70130 UNITED STATES		

Additional Registrant Information

formation provided by the petitioner New Orleans UNITED STA no email prov	s, LA 70116 ATES
--	---------------------

Goods/Services Subject to Cancellation

Class 032. First Use: 1996/12/01 First Use In Commerce: 1996/12/01 All goods and services in the class are subject to cancellation, namely: Beers

Grounds for Cancellation

The mark is merely descriptive	Trademark Act Sections 14(1) and 2(e)(1)
The mark is or has become generic	Trademark Act Section 14(3), or Section 23 if on Supplemental Register

Fraud on the USPTO	Trademark Act Section 14(3); In re Bose Corp., 580 F.3d 1240, 91 USPQ2d 1938 (Fed. Cir. 2009)
	2000)

Attachments	petition for cancellation of Huge Ass Beer.pdf(114283 bytes) Exhibit 1.pdf(1524755 bytes)
Signature	/kenneth l tolar/
Name	Kenneth L Tolar
Date	06/28/2019

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the Matter of Trademark Registration No. 4,560,266 Mark: HUGE ASS BEER Registered: July 1, 2014

*********	***
Labeauti, Inc.	*
	*
v.	*
	*
Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc.	*
*****	***

Cancellation No.

Via ESTTA Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

Petitioner Labeauti, Inc. ("Labeauti" or "Petitioner") hereby seeks cancellation of registration no. No. 4,560,266 (the '266 Registration) for the mark HUGE ASS BEER for the following reasons.

1. Petitioner, Labeauti, Inc. is a Louisiana corporation with its principal place of business in New Orleans, Louisiana, and is sometimes doing business as "Beerfest."

2. Made Respondent herein is Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc. ("Karno"), a Louisiana corporation with its principal place of business in New Orleans, Louisiana.

3. Karno owns U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,560,266 (the '266 Registration) for the mark HUGE ASS BEER for use with "beer." The '266 Registration issued on July 1, 2014.

4. Respondent operates various restaurants and nightclubs in the French

Quarter of New Orleans where it offers a beer under the designation HUGE ASS BEER. The beer offered and sold under the registered trademark is simply a huge or extra-large beer.

5. In early 2019, Petitioner began offering a beer under the mark GAINT ASS BEER.

6. Karno immediately filed suit against the petitioner and other related entities and officers asserting the '266 Registration, which is currently pending in the Eastern District of Louisiana as case no. 19-1745. Specifically, Respondent alleges that Petitioner's use of the GIANT ASS BEER constitutes trade dress infringement, unfair competition and dilution of its trademark rights in HUGE ASS BEER. Exhibit 1.

7. Upon information and belief, the beer offered and sold under the purported trademark "HUGE ASS BEER" is packaged in 32-ounce containers, which is substantially larger than a typical beer container.

8. "Huge ass" is a term commonly used to describe something large.

9. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1052(e), any mark that is merely descriptive is not registerable on the principal register.

10. A mark is merely descriptive if it conveys an ingredient, quality, characteristic, function, feature, purpose or use of the specified goods or services.

11. Respondent's HUGE ASS BEER mark is merely descriptive in that it immediately conveys to a potential consumer a characteristic or feature of the goods offered under the mark, namely a large beer.

12. Respondent admits the highly descriptive nature of the term when stating in

2

the complaint in the above-referenced lawsuit: "Giant' is synonymous with both 'Huge' and 'Big." Exhibit 1. According to Plaintiff's own words, the term describes something that is big.

13. Respondent's HUGE ASS BEER mark has not acquired distinctiveness and is so highly descriptive as to be incapable of ever functioning as a trademark. Therefore, the '266 registration is invalid.

14. Respondent also filed trademark application number 86139795 for BIG ASS BEER on December 10, 2013, but the application was rejected based upon registration no. 2,265,207 for BIG ASS for use with beer, which had been registered since July 27, 1999.

15. Respondent received notice of the BIG ASS registration on March 6, 2014 while its application to register HUGE ASS BEER was pending. If Respondent's reasoning that "huge" and "big" are synonymous were applied, Respondent was actively and knowingly infringing the BIG ASS registration when it filed its application to register HUGE ASS BEERS.

16. Moreover, in the Complaint in the above-captioned lawsuit, Respondent admits to using the mark BIG ASS BEER since 2013 despite its prior knowledge that another entity had registered the identical mark for use with identical goods and has been using the mark since at least October 1, 1998.

17. Furthermore, in the application to register the mark HUGE ASS BEER, Respondent alleges a date of first use in commerce of December 1, 1996. However, in an application to register the identical mark with the Louisiana Secretary of

3

State, Respondent alleges a date of first use of December 1, 1999. If Respondent deliberately misrepresented the date of first use in order to circumvent the earlier date of first use of the BIG ASS registration, such act could constitute fraudulent procurement of a trademark registration under 15 U.S.C. 1064(3).

18. Because of the litigation described above and Respondent's interference with Petitioner's right to use GIANT ASS BEER, Petitioner is authorized to bring this cancellation proceeding pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1064 since it is being damaged by the '266 registration.

FIRST BASIS FOR CANCELLATION-DESCRIPTIVENESS

19. Petitioner reincorporates Paragraphs 1-18, as set forth herein.

20. The term "huge ass" is a merely descriptive, generic and common term for something large, and "beer" is clearly generic for beer. Therefore, because the entire mark consists of descriptive, generic and unprotectable terms, the '266 Registration should be cancelled pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§1064 and 1119.

SECOND BASIS FOR CANCELLATION-FRAUD

21. Petitioner reincorporates Paragraphs 1-18, as set forth herein.

22. Respondent fraudulently procured the '266 registration by falsely claiming that it first used the mark in 1996, despite previously admitting that the mark had not been used until 1999. Therefore, the registration should be cancelled pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1064(3) and 1119.

23. Pursuant to the Lanham Act, the USPTO is authorized to cancel the '266 registration due to its inability to serve as a proper indicator of origin, its genericness

4

and/or descriptiveness and because the registration was obtained by fraud.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, Labeauti, Inc. prays that U.S. Registration No. 4560266 be cancelled as being merely descriptive and/or generic, and because the registration was obtained by fraud.

<u>/s/ kenneth l. tolar</u>
Kenneth L. Tolar (Bar #22641)
Brad E. Harrigan (Bar #29592)
TOLAR HARRIGAN & MORRIS LLC
1055 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 208
New Orleans, LA 70130
(504) 571-5317
(504) 571-5437 (facsimile)
Email: ktolar@nolaipa.com
bharrigan@nolaipa.com

Attorneys for Labeauti, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT COURT OF LOUISIANA

NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, Inc.	CIVIL ACTION NO.
Plaintiff,	JUDGE:
V.	MAGISTRATE JUDGE:
SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.; BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J. OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr., individually. Defendants.	SECTION:

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Nicholas S. KARNO #1, Inc. ("NSK") submits this Complaint against Defendants, Silver Bourbon, Inc., Labeauti, Inc., Bourbon Burlesque Club, Inc., Pamel J. Olano ("Ms. Olano"), and Guy W. Olano, Jr. ("Mr. Olano"), individually, (collectively "Defendants") and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

For more than twenty-two (22) years, locals and tourists strolling through the French Quarter in New Orleans have seen many people enjoying NSK's world-famous HUGE ASS BEERS, BIG ASS BEERS and HALF ASS BEERS (collectively "NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks"). In fact, one of the first things locals and tourists see when they step on Bourbon Street are NSK's signs like those shown below:

Exhibit 1



Those who follow the signs can buy a large or small beer from NSK sold in containers using its Huge Ass Beers Family of Marks. Some examples of NSK's containers are shown below:



Because of the success of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks, marks from NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks have been copied and exploited by competitors; some also operating in the French Quarter. This lawsuit arises out of the most recent effort by direct competitors to trade off the goodwill obtained by NSK from over two (2) decades of its promoting, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, and selling beer using NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

Upon information and belief, on or before February 23, 2019, Defendants, at the direction

and authority of Mr. Olano and Ms. Olano, began using the mark GIANT ASS BEER in connection with the sale of beer. An image of Defendants use of the mark is shown below:



Defendants' egregious and blatant act of using a mark confusingly similar to NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks is intended to trade off of the goodwill obtained by NSK over two (2) decades of use. Therefore, NSK is forced to file this lawsuit in order to stop Defendants' infringement of NSK's exclusive trademark rights in its HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc., is a Louisiana corporation, with a principal office at 700 Bourbon Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70116.

2. Upon information and belief, Silver Bourbon, Inc. ("SBI") is a Louisiana corporation doing business as "Beerfest" located at 410 Bourbon Street, New Orleans.

3. Upon information and belief, Labeauti, Inc. ("LAB") is a Louisiana corporation doing business as "Voodoo Vibes and "Sing Sing" 420 Bourbon Street and 418 Bourbon Street

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 4 of 19

New Orleans, respectively.

4. Upon information and belief, Bourbon Burlesque Club, Inc. ("BBI") is a Louisiana corporation doing business as "Stiletto's" located at 325 Bourbon Street, New Orleans.

5. Defendant, Pamela J. Olano ("Ms. Olano"), based on information and belief, resides in the New Orleans metropolitan area. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano, along with Guy W. Olano, Jr., since their founding has continuously operated and controlled SBI, LAB, and BBI

6. Defendant, Guy W. Olano, Jr. ("Mr. Olano"), based on information and belief, resides in the New Orleans metropolitan area. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, along with Ms. Olano, since their founding, has continuously operated and controlled SBI, LAB, and BBI.

JURISDICTION

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action, under 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a) and 28 U.S.C.
§ 1331 [actions arising under the Federal Trademark Act]; 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and (b) [acts of Congress relating to trademarks, and unfair competition claims joined therewith]; and, 28 U.S.C. §
1367(a) [supplemental jurisdiction over related actions arising under state law].

8. Upon information and belief, Defendants are domiciled within this jurisdiction.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendants regularly conduct business in this jurisdiction.

10. All claims alleged in this complaint were committed by the Defendants within this jurisdiction.

VENUE

11. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

PLAINTIFF AND THE RIGHTS AT ISSUE

12. NSK and its affiliates are engaged, and have long been engaged, in the provision of bar, nightclub, and restaurant services in the New Orleans French Quarter doing business as *Steak Pit, Cornet*, and *Prohibition* (collectively "NSK Licensed Establishments").

13. NSK claims trademark rights in HUGE ASS BEERS, BIG ASS BEERS, and HALF ASS BEERS, NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

14. In December 1996, NSK began selling beers in the NSK Establishments under the trademark HUGE ASS BEERS. Throughout the United States, the NSK Establishments are known for their HUGE ASS BEERS. Beers sold under the trademark HUGE ASS BEERS are the most popular beers sold in the New Orleans French Quarter; thousands of beers are served at NSK's Establishments each year. The beers sold using NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks have been featured, unsolicited, in a variety of social media outlets such as Yelp, Foursquare, NewOrleansOnline.com, Untapped.com, You Tube, and others.

15. NSK owns a trademark registration for HUGE ASS BEERS in International Class 032 for use with beer, registered at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") under Registration No. 4560266. This registration is valid and subsisting, and remains in full force and effect. Copies of this registration and current status printouts of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Electronic Search System ("TESS") for each registration are attached hereto as *Exhibit A*.

16. Since at least as early as November 22, 2013, the NSK Establishments have also been selling beers under the trademark HALF ASS BEERS. Thousands of HALF ASS BEERS

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 6 of 19

have been sold each year in the French Quarter since 2013.

17. NSK owns a trademark registration for HALF ASS BEERS in International Class 032 for use with beer, registered at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") under Registration No. 4560403. This registration is valid and subsisting, and remains in full force and effect. Copies of this registrations and current status printouts of the TESS for each registration are attached hereto as *Exhibit B*.

18. Since at least as early as November 22, 2013, the NSK Establishments have also been selling beers under the trademark BIG ASS BEERS. Thousands of BIG ASS BEERS have been sold each year in the French Quarter since 2013.

19. The reputations of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks have grown continuously over the past 22 years and since long prior to the acts complained of herein, consumers have recognized NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks as originating exclusively from NSK's Establishments. NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks are inherently distinctive, have acquired secondary meaning, and upon information and belief, are world-famous.

20. NSK undertakes significant efforts, and expends considerable sums each year, to ensure that beers using NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks are not served, and cannot be purchased, at any commercial establishment that is not controlled by NSK's directors. Thus, consumers wishing to enjoy HUGE ASS BEERS, BIG ASS BEERS, and HALF ASS BEERS may do so only at NSK's Licensed Establishments: a) *Cornet* located at 700-706 Bourbon Street, b) *Steak Pit* located at 609 Bourbon Street, or *Prohibition* located at 333 Bourbon Street.

21. The State of Louisiana has been, and remains, the most important market for NSK's goods and services. Thousands of beers sold in authentic cups from NSK and its licensees using

NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks are sold in New Orleans' French Quarter each week.

22. At no time has NSK licensed or otherwise authorized Defendants to use any of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano and Ms. Olano own, control and operate
 SBI doing business as "Beerfest" located at 410 Bourbon Street.

24. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano and Ms. Olano own, control and operate LAB doing business as "Voodoo Vibes" and "Sing Sing" located at 420 Bourbon Street and 418 Bourbon Street, New Orleans, respectively.

25. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano and Ms. Olano own, control and operate BBI doing business as "Stiletto's" 325 Bourbon Street, New Orleans.

26. The Defendants' businesses operating at 410 Bourbon Street, 420 Bourbon Street, 418 Bourbon Street, and 325 Bourbon Street shall collectively be referred to as "Defendants' Establishments".

27. Upon information and belief, on Saturday February 23, 2019, Defendants' Establishments introduced and began selling beer served in plastic bottles affixed with labels using the mark GIANT ASS BEER ("Infringing Mark"). A picture of the infringing mark as used on the plastic bottles is shown to the right.



28. Defendants' Infringing Mark is substantially similar to NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks. Instead of using "Huge", "Big" or "Half", Defendants' mark uses "Giant". "Giant" is synonymous with both "Huge" and "Big."

29. Defendants' are using the Infringing Mark to sell beer, exactly the same use as the

NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

30. Defendants' are selling beer using the Infringing Mark at locations in the French Quarter, the exact same channels of trade and consumers as NSK.

31. Consumers of beer, particularly in New Orleans' French Quarter, are likely to be confused as to the source of the beer served in the Defendants' Establishments. Consumers are likely to believe that Defendants' use of its Infringing Mark in connection with beer is associated with, authorized by, or endorsed by NSK.

32. NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks have acquired distinctiveness, and secondary meaning, as a designation for NSK's Establishments beers, as a result of NSK's longstanding, continuous use of its HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks in interstate commerce; NSK's considerable advertising and promotional activities; unsolicited publicity, resulting from NSK's continual use of its HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks with beer; and, NSK's Establishments reputation as a "first stop" for tourists and local residents visiting the French Quarter.

33. Defendants' adoption and use of their Infringing Mark which is confusingly similar to NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks in the manner above-described, constitutes federal trademark infringement, federal unfair competition, and federal false designation of origin, and gives rise to NSK's related state law claims against Defendants for trademark infringement, trademark dilution, and unfair trade practices.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendants have conducted business in the French Quarter for many years.

35. NSK and Defendants have had a longstanding business relationship that has recently gone sour.

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 9 of 19

36. Defendants have been familiar with NSK and NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks for several years.

37. Defendants have sued affiliate companies of NSK and one of its directors, Billie V. Karno, for unfair competition related to property on Bourbon Street leased to Defendants by NSK's affiliates. The lawsuit was filed in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans: Pamela J. Olano, Silver Bourbon, Inc., Labeati, Inc., Bourbon Burlesque Club, Inc., Raymond Palazzolo, and Guy W. Olano, Jr. v. Billie V. Karno, Karno 410 Bourbon Real Estate, LLC, Karno 327 Bourbon Real Estate, LLC, and BVK Enterprises, Inc.; Case No. 2018-5225.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark was made in retaliation to Defendants and NSK's soured business relationship.

39. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano is a founder of all other Defendants as a "silent partner" and owner. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, since founding all the other Defendants, has been continuously responsible for overseeing the operations and marketing of Defendants' goods and services, including the Defendants' promotion and sale of beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano was personally involved in the decision to offer for sale beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano approved and authorized Defendants' infringing actions and is a principal, driving force behind Defendants' past and continued infringement of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

40. Defendants' activities, as complained of herein, were and continue to be conducted willfully, in order to trade on the substantial goodwill obtained by NSK over two (2) decades, with actual knowledge that such conduct was and remains unlawful; that such conduct was and remains in contravention of NSK's rights; and that such conduct would likely confuse, mislead, and deceive an appreciable number of relevant consumers as to the source, affiliation, and

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 10 of 19

sponsorship of the goods and services emanating from Defendants and/or NSK, resulting in injury to NSK's reputation and considerable goodwill.

41. Defendants' conduct has caused, and, if allowed to continue, will continue to cause, irreparable damage to NSK's business, reputation, and goodwill, and NSK has no adequate remedy at law.

COUNT I

FEDERAL TRADE DRESS INFRINGEMENT UNDER 32(1) OF THE LANHAM ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1)

(Against All Defendants)

42. NSK repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 41, as though fully set forth below.

43. This Count, arising under Section 32(1) of The Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1), is for federal trademark infringement.

44. NSK and its licensees have used the trademark HUGE ASS BEERS embodied in NSK's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,560,266 continuously, and in interstate commerce, since at least as early as December 1, 1996. NSK's trademark HUGE ASS BEERS embodied in U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,560,266 is a valid trademark identifying the source of goods, beer, provided by NSK. NSK is the senior user of the HUGE ASS BEERS trademark.

45. NSK and its licensees have used the trademark HALF ASS BEERS embodied in NSK's U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,560,403 continuously, and in interstate commerce, since at least as early as November 22, 2013. NSK's trademark HALF ASS BEERS embodied in U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,560,403 is a valid trademark identifying the source of goods, beer, provided by NSK. NSK is the senior user of the HALF ASS BEERS trademark.

46. NSK and its licensees have used the trademark BIG ASS BEERS continuously,

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 11 of 19

and in interstate commerce, since at least as early as November 22, 2013. NSK's trademark BIG ASS BEERS is a valid trademark identifying the source of goods, beer, provided by NSK. NSK is the senior user of the BIG ASS BEERS trademark.

47. NSK, and its licensees, have also invested substantial time, effort, and financial resources in the promotion of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS, BIG ASS BEERS and HALF ASS BEERS trademarks, in connection with the marketing, promotion, advertising, and sale of beer.

48. NSK's trademarks HUGE ASS BEERS, BIG ASS BEERS, and HALF ASS BEERS have also acquired secondary meaning in the marketplace, and are distinctive in the minds of purchasers of NSK's beer, in that consumers have come to associate the trademarks with a single source of origin – namely, NSK, and its NSK-branded establishments. Such consumers are likely to make that same association when the trade dress is used with a competitor's product.

49. Defendants have and continue to have actual notice of the existence NSK's exclusive trademark rights in HUGE ASS BEERS, HALF ASS BEERS, and BIG ASS BEERS.

50. Defendants' Infringing Mark, GIANT ASS BEER is confusingly similar to all of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks and NSK did not authorize or license Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark or NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

51. Defendants have created, marketed, advertised, offered for sale, and sold beer using the confusingly similar Infringing Mark with the intention of misleading, deceiving, and/or confusing consumers as to the origin of Defendants' goods, and, with the intention of trading on NSK's reputation and considerable goodwill.

52. Defendants' marketing, promotion, offering for sale, and sale, of beer, under the confusingly similar Infringing Mark is likely to cause, and has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception as to the source, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' goods by NSK. The

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 12 of 19

consuming public are likely to believe that Defendants' goods originate with NSK, are licensed, sponsored, or approved by NSK, or in some way connected with or related to NSK. Defendants' infringing use of the Infringing Mark is in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).

53. Defendants' unauthorized and infringing use of the Infringing Mark constitutes intentional and willful infringement of NSK's rights in and to its federally registered HUGE ASS BEERS and HALF ASS BEERS trademarks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).

54. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, along with Ms. Olano, since founding all the other Defendants, has been continuously responsible for overseeing the operations and marketing of Defendants' goods and services, including the Defendants' promotion and sale of beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, along with Ms. Olano, was personally involved in the decision to offer for sale beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano approved and authorized Defendants' infringing actions and is a principal, driving force behind Defendants' past and continued infringement of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

55. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano, along with Mr. Olano, since founding all the other Defendants, has been continuously responsible for overseeing the operations and marketing of Defendants' goods and services, including the Defendants' promotion and sale of beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano, along with Mr. Olano, was personally involved in the decision to offer for sale beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano approved and authorized Defendants' infringing actions and is a principal, driving force behind Defendants' past and continued infringement of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' trademark infringement, NSK has

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 13 of 19

suffered, and will continue to suffer loss of income, profits, reputation and goodwill, and Defendants' have acquired, and will continue to unfairly acquire, income, profits, and goodwill to which they are not entitled.

57. Defendants' acts of trademark infringement will cause further, serious and irreparable injury to NSK if Defendants are not restrained from further violations of NSK's rights.

58. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), NSK is entitled to an order, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants from further acts of trademark infringement.

59. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), NSK is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as a result of Defendants' aforesaid acts. NSK is unable to ascertain, at present, the full extent of the monetary damages it has suffered thereby.

60. Defendants' aforesaid conduct was intentional and willful. Accordingly, NSK is entitled to an award of treble damages against Defendants under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

61. Defendants' aforesaid acts make this an exceptional case, under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a)(3), warranting an award of NSK's attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT II

FEDERAL UNFAIR COMPETITION

(Against All Defendant)

62. NSK repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 61, as though fully set forth below.

63. This Count, arising under Section 43(a) of The Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), is for federal unfair competition.

64. NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks have become uniquely associated with NSK, and identify NSK's flagship goods to the public.

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 14 of 19

65. Defendants' unauthorized use, and threatened continued use, of the GIANT ASS BEER mark which is confusingly similar to NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks, in connection with a competing beer, as alleged herein, constitutes use of a false designation of origin and false or misleading representation in interstate commerce, which wrongly and falsely designates, describes, and represents the origin of Defendants' goods as originating from or being connected with NSK, and is likely to cause confusions, or to cause mistake, or deceive as to Defendants' affiliation, connection, or association with NSK, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants' goods by NSK in violation of Section 43(a) of The Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

66. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, along with Ms. Olano, since founding all the other Defendants, has been continuously responsible for overseeing the operations and marketing of Defendants' goods and services, including the Defendants' promotion and sale of beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano, along with Ms. Olano, was personally involved in the decision to offer for sale beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Mr. Olano approved and authorized Defendants' infringing actions and is a principal, driving force behind Defendants' past and continued infringement of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

67. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano, along with Mr. Olano, since founding all the other Defendants, has been continuously responsible for overseeing the operations and marketing of Defendants' goods and services, including the Defendants' promotion and sale of beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano, along with Mr. Olano, was personally involved in the decision to offer for sale beer using the Infringing Mark. Upon information and belief, Ms. Olano approved and authorized Defendants' infringing actions and is a principal, driving force behind Defendants' past and continued infringement of NSK's HUGE

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 15 of 19

ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

68. These acts, unless restricted by the Court will continue to cause, serious and irreparable injury to NSK, for which NSK has no adequate remedy of law.

69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' false designations of origin and false and misleading representations, NSK has been damaged, and unless Defendants' is restrained by this Court, NSK will continue to suffer serious, irreparable injury.

70. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), NSK is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as a result of their aforesaid acts. NSK is unable to ascertain, at present, the full extent of the monetary damages it has suffered thereby.

71. Defendants' aforesaid conduct was intentional, and without foundation in law. Accordingly, NSK is entitled to an award of treble damages against Defendants', under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

72. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), NSK is entitled to an order, preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants from further acts of unfair competition, false designations of origin, and false and misleading representations.

73. Defendants' aforesaid acts make this an exceptional case, warranting attorney fees and costs, under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

COUNT III

INJURY TO BUSINESS REPUTATION, AND TRADEMARK DILUTION UNDER THE LOUISIANA ANTIDILUTION STATUTE

(Against All Defendant)

74. NSK repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 73, as though fully set forth below.

75. This Count, arising under La. Rev. Stat. § 51:223.1, is for injury to business

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 16 of 19

reputation, and trademark dilution.

76. Defendants' use, and continued use, of the confusingly similar and Infringing Mark, under the circumstances described above is likely to injure, NSK's business reputation, and has diluted, or will dilute, the distinctive character of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks, through blurring and/or tarnishment, in violation of La. Rev. Stat. § 51:223.1, and to NSK's irreparable harm, notwithstanding the presence or absence of confusion as to the source of Defendants' goods or services.

77. Unless enjoined, Defendants' aforesaid conduct will cause NSK further irreparable harm, and NSK is entitled to damages and injunctive relief pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. § 51:223.1.

COUNT IV

UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

(Against All Defendant)

78. NSK repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 77, as though fully set forth below.

79. This Count, arising under La. Rev. Stat. § 51:1401 *et seq.*, is for unfair methods of competition and unfair and deceptive acts or practices.

80. Defendants' aforesaid activities comprise unfair methods of competition, and unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of trade and commerce, in violation of La. Rev. Stat. § 51:1405, and to NSK's irreparable harm.

81. Pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. § 51:1409, NSK is entitled to recover from Defendants all actual damages sustained by NSK as a result of Defendants' aforesaid acts. NSK is unable to ascertain, at present, the full extent of the monetary damages it has suffered thereby.

Unless enjoined, Defendants' unfair methods of competition, and unfair and deceptive acts

and practices will cause NSK further irreparable harm, and NSK is entitled to injunctive relief, pursuant to, *inter alia*, La. Rev. Stat. §§ 51:1407 and 51:1408.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, NSK prays for and seeks relief as follows:

A. That the Court enter a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and grant permanent injunctive relief, against Defendants, their agents, representatives, officers, employees, and all those acting in concert therewith, to restrain and enjoin Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark, and any and all other marks and designations which infringe NSK's rights in and to NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks, or which are confusingly similar thereto, and to restrain and enjoin Defendants' acts of unfair competition, false designation of origin, and false and misleading representations with respect thereto;

B. That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), the Court order Defendants' to pay the actual damages suffered by NSK, and any and all profits resulting from Defendants' infringement of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks, and from Defendants' acts of unfair competition, false designation of origin, and false and misleading representation with respect thereto;

C. That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), the Court order Defendants to pay treble damages to NSK; and,

D. That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a), the Court order Defendants to pay to NSK the full costs of this action, including attorney fees.

E. That, pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. § 51:223.1, the Court enter a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and grant permanent injunctive relief against Defendants, its agents, representatives, officers, employees, and all those acting in concert therewith, to restrain and

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 18 of 19

enjoin Defendants from any and all acts which may injure NSK's business reputation or dilute the distinctive character of NSK's HUGE ASS BEERS Family of Marks.

F. That, pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. §§ 51:1407 and/or 51:1408, the Court enter a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and grant permanent injunctive relief against Defendants, its agents, representatives, officers, employees, and all those acting in concert therewith, to restrain and enjoin Defendants from further unfair methods of competition, and unfair and deceptive acts or practices with respect to NSK;

G. That, pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. § 51:1409, the Court order Defendants to pay the actual damages suffered by NSK as a result of Defendants' unfair methods of competition, and unfair and deceptive acts and practices; and,

H. That, pursuant to La. Rev. Stat. § 51:1409, the Court order Defendants to pay to NSK, its reasonable attorney's fees and the costs of this action, and,

I. That the Court provide NSK with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

Dated: February 26, 2019

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ Kent Barnett Gregory D. Latham (25955) Kent C. Barnett T.A. (33002) INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSULTING, LLC 334 Carondelet Street, Suite B New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Phone: (504) 322.7166 Fax: (504) 322.7184 glatham@iplawconsulting.com kbarnett@iplawconsulting.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NICHOLAS S. NSK #1, INC.

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT A

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Registration for HUGE ASS BEERS

Printout of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Trademark Electronic Search System for HUGE ASS BEER



HUGE ASS BEERS

Reg. No. 4,560,266 Registered July 1, 2014	NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC. (LOUISIANA CORPORATION) 732 ST. LOUIS STREET NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130
Int. Cl.: 32	FOR: BEERS, IN CLASS 32 (U.S. CLS. 45, 46 AND 48).
TRADEMARK	FIRST USE 12-1-1996; IN COMMERCE 12-1-1996.
PRINCIPAL REGISTER	THE MARK CONSISTS OF STANDARD CHARACTERS WITHOUT CLAIM TO ANY PAR- TICULAR FONT, STYLE, SIZE, OR COLOR.
	NO CLAIM IS MADE TO THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO USE "BEERS", APART FROM THE MARK AS SHOWN.
	SER. NO. 86-124,662, FILED 11-20-2013.
	BARNEY CHARLON, EXAMINING ATTORNEY



Michelle K. Len

Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN YOUR FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

WARNING: YOUR REGISTRATION WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU DO NOT FILE THE DOCUMENTS BELOW DURING THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIODS.

Requirements in the First Ten Years* What and When to File:

First Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) between the 5th and 6th years after the registration date. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. If the declaration is accepted, the registration will continue in force for the remainder of the ten-year period, calculated from the registration date, unless cancelled by an order of the Commissioner for Trademarks or a federal court.

Second Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between the 9th and 10th years after the registration date.* *See* 15 U.S.C. §1059.

Requirements in Successive Ten-Year Periods* What and When to File:

You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) **and** an Application for Renewal between every 9th and 10th-year period, calculated from the registration date.*

Grace Period Filings*

The above documents will be accepted as timely if filed within six months after the deadlines listed above with the payment of an additional fee.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will NOT send you any future notice or reminder of these filing requirements.

*ATTENTION MADRID PROTOCOL REGISTRANTS: The holder of an international registration with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol must timely file the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) referenced above directly with the USPTO. The time periods for filing are based on the U.S. registration date (not the international registration date). The deadlines and grace periods for the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) are identical to those for nationally issued registrations. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. However, owners of international registrations do not file renewal applications at the USPTO. Instead, the holder must file a renewal of the underlying international registration at the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, under Article 7 of the Madrid Protocol, before the expiration of each ten-year term of protection, calculated from the date of the international registration. *See* 15 U.S.C. §1141j. For more information and renewal forms for the international registration, see http://www.wipo.int/madrid/en/.

NOTE: Fees and requirements for maintaining registrations are subject to change. Please check the USPTO website for further information. With the exception of renewal applications for registered extensions of protection, you can file the registration maintenance documents referenced above online at http://www.uspto.gov.



TESS was last updated on Tue Feb 26 03:31:48 EST 2019

TESS HOME	IEW USER	STRUCTURED	FREE FORM	BROWSE DICT	SEARCH OG	Воттом	HELP	PREV LIST	CURR LIST
NEXT LIST FI	RST DOC	PREV DOC	NEXT DOC	LAST DOC					
Logout P	ease lo	gout wh	en you ai	re done to	o release s	system re	sources a	allocated	for you.
Start List	At:	OR	Jump t	o record:	F	Record	l 2 ou	t of 2	
TSDR	ASS	IGN Status	TTAB Sta	tus (Us	e the "Ba	ck" butto	on of the	Internet	Browser to

return to TESS)

HUGE ASS BEERS

Goods and ServicesIC 032. US 045 046 048. G & S: Beers. FIRST USE: 19961201. FIRST USE IN COMMERCE: 19961201Standard Characters Claimed(4) STANDARD CHARACTER MARKMark Drawing Code(4) STANDARD CHARACTER MARKSerial Number86124662Filing DateNovember 20, 2013Current Basis1A
ClaimedMark Drawing Code(4) STANDARD CHARACTER MARKSerial Number86124662Filing DateNovember 20, 2013
Serial Number86124662Filing DateNovember 20, 2013
Filing DateNovember 20, 2013
Current Basis 1A
Original Filing Basis 1A
Published for April 15, 2014
Registration Number 4560266
Registration Date July 1, 2014
Owner (REGISTRANT) Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc. CORPORATION LOUISIANA 732 St. Louis Street New Orleans LOUISIANA 70130
Attorney of Record Gregory D. Latham
Disclaimer NO CLAIM IS MADE TO THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO USE "BEERS" APART FROM THE MARK AS SHOWN
Type of Mark TRADEMARK
Register PRINCIPAL
Live/Dead Indicator LIVE

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-1 Filed 02/26/19 Page 5 of 5

TESS HOME NEW USER	STRUCTURED	FREE FORM	BROWSE DICT SEARCH OG	Тор	HELP	PREV LIST CURR LIST	
NEXT LIST FIRST DOC	PREV DOC	NEXT DOC	LAST DOC				

| HOME | SITE INDEX | SEARCH | eBUSINESS | HELP | PRIVACY POLICY

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-2 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 5

EXHIBIT B

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Registration for HALF ASS BEERS

Printout of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office's Trademark Electronic Search System for HALF ASS BEER



HALF ASS BEERS

Reg. No. 4,560,403 Registered July 1, 2014	NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC. (LOUISIANA CORPORATION) 732 ST. LOUIS STREET NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130
Int. Cl.: 32	FOR: BEERS, IN CLASS 32 (U.S. CLS. 45, 46 AND 48).
TRADEMARK	FIRST USE 11-22-2013; IN COMMERCE 11-22-2013.
PRINCIPAL REGISTER	THE MARK CONSISTS OF STANDARD CHARACTERS WITHOUT CLAIM TO ANY PAR- TICULAR FONT, STYLE, SIZE, OR COLOR.
	NO CLAIM IS MADE TO THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO USE "BEERS", APART FROM THE MARK AS SHOWN.
	SER. NO. 86-139,807, FILED 12-10-2013.
	BARNEY CHARLON, EXAMINING ATTORNEY



Michelle K. Len

Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN YOUR FEDERAL TRADEMARK REGISTRATION

WARNING: YOUR REGISTRATION WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU DO NOT FILE THE DOCUMENTS BELOW DURING THE SPECIFIED TIME PERIODS.

Requirements in the First Ten Years* What and When to File:

First Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) between the 5th and 6th years after the registration date. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. If the declaration is accepted, the registration will continue in force for the remainder of the ten-year period, calculated from the registration date, unless cancelled by an order of the Commissioner for Trademarks or a federal court.

Second Filing Deadline: You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) and an Application for Renewal between the 9th and 10th years after the registration date.* *See* 15 U.S.C. §1059.

Requirements in Successive Ten-Year Periods* What and When to File:

You must file a Declaration of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) **and** an Application for Renewal between every 9th and 10th-year period, calculated from the registration date.*

Grace Period Filings*

The above documents will be accepted as timely if filed within six months after the deadlines listed above with the payment of an additional fee.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will NOT send you any future notice or reminder of these filing requirements.

*ATTENTION MADRID PROTOCOL REGISTRANTS: The holder of an international registration with an extension of protection to the United States under the Madrid Protocol must timely file the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) referenced above directly with the USPTO. The time periods for filing are based on the U.S. registration date (not the international registration date). The deadlines and grace periods for the Declarations of Use (or Excusable Nonuse) are identical to those for nationally issued registrations. *See* 15 U.S.C. §§1058, 1141k. However, owners of international registrations do not file renewal applications at the USPTO. Instead, the holder must file a renewal of the underlying international registration at the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, under Article 7 of the Madrid Protocol, before the expiration of each ten-year term of protection, calculated from the date of the international registration. *See* 15 U.S.C. §1141j. For more information and renewal forms for the international registration, see http://www.wipo.int/madrid/en/.

NOTE: Fees and requirements for maintaining registrations are subject to change. Please check the USPTO website for further information. With the exception of renewal applications for registered extensions of protection, you can file the registration maintenance documents referenced above online at http://www.uspto.gov.



TESS was last updated on Tue Feb 26 03:31:48 EST 2019

TESS HOME NEW USER STRUCTURED FREE FORM BROWSE DICT SEARCH OG Воттом HELP Logout Please logout when you are done to release system resources allocated for you.

Record 1 out of 1

(Use the "Back" button of the Internet Browser to TSDR ASSIGN Status TTAB Status return to TESS)

HALF ASS BEERS

Word Mark	HALF ASS BEERS		
Goods and Services	IC 032. US 045 046 048. G & S: Beers. FIRST USE: 20131122. FIRST USE IN COMMERCE: 20131122		
Standard Characters Claimed			
Mark Drawing Code	(4) STANDARD CHARACTER MARK		
Serial Number	86139807		
Filing Date	December 10, 2013		
Current Basis	1A		
Original Filing Basis	1A		
Published for Opposition	April 15, 2014		
Registration Number	4560403		
Registration Date	July 1, 2014		
Owner	(REGISTRANT) Nicholas S. Karno #1, Inc. CORPORATION LOUISIANA 732 St. Louis Street New Orleans LOUISIANA 70130		
Attorney of Record	Gregory D. Latham		
Disclaimer	NO CLAIM IS MADE TO THE EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO USE "BEERS" APART FROM THE MARK AS SHOWN		
Type of Mark	TRADEMARK		
Register	PRINCIPAL		
Live/Dead Indicator	LIVE		

TESS HOME NEW USER STRUCTURED FREE FORM BROWSE DICT SEARCH OG

HELP

TOP

| HOME | SITE INDEX | SEARCH | eBUSINESS | HELP | PRIVACY POLICY

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM COVER SHEE Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				SILVER BOURBO	N, INC.; L	ABEAUTI, INC	.; BOURBO	N	
	1 INC			BURLESQUE CLU	JB, INC; F	PAMELA J. OLA	ANO, individ	ually; a	nd GUY
(b) County of Residence of	,	Orleans		W. OLANO, Jr., in County of Residence		ed Defendant	Orleans		
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			County of Residence		LAINTIFF CASES O			
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI 1 OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TI NOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (<i>Firm Name, 1</i> Intellectual Property Con Kent Barnett (33002), 33 70130	sulting, LLC, Gregory	D. Latham (25955)		Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF		and One Box f	or Defenda PTF	unt) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize			Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		□ 4	\Box 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
	_			en or Subject of a 🛛 🗇 reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		1 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ıly) DRTS	FC	DRFEITURE/PENALTY		here for: <u>Nature c</u> NKRUPTCY		escription STATUT	
 It0 Insurance It0 Marine It0 Marine It0 Negotiable Instrument It0 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment It5 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) It53 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits It60 Stockholders' Suits It90 Other Contract It95 Contract Product Liability It96 Franchise 	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor Vehicle 760 Other Personal 179 360 Other Personal 179 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR DESCRIPTION DES	X 0 62 0 69 1 71 0 71 0 71 0 72 0 74 0 79 0 79 0 79 0 46	55 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	 □ 422 Appe □ 423 With 28 U □ 423 With 28 U □ 820 Copy □ 830 Pater □ 835 Pater New >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	eal 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	 375 False Cl 376 Qui Tar 3729(a) 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consun 480 Consun 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agriculti 893 Enviromi 895 Freedom Act 899 Adminini Act/Rev 	laims Act n (31 USC)) eapportionr st nd Banking ree ttion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory Act tural Acts mental Mat n of Inform ion strative Pro riew or App Decision ationality o	nent g ced and ions dities/ ctions ters nation pcedure peal of
		 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement 							
V. ORIGIN (<i>Place an "X" in</i> \Box 1 Original \Box 2 Rep		Remanded from	1 4 Rein	stated or 🛛 5 Transfe	arrad from	🗖 6 Multidistr	ict 🗖 🎗	Multidis	trict
	te Court	Appellate Court		bened Anothe (specify)	er District	Litigation	-	Litigatio Direct Fil	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Section 32(1) of Brief description of ca	The Lanham Act, 1	5 U.S.C.	Do not cite jurisdictional stat		iversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		THECK YES only URY DEMAND:		n complain XNo	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASH IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		DF RECORD					
02/26/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/Gregory D. La	tham/						
	40UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD)GF		
KEUEIFI# AM		AFFLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-4 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC.)))
Plaintiff(s))
V.	
SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.; BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J. OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr., individually.)))
Defendant(s))

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Silver Bourbon, Inc. Attn: Pamela J. Olano, Registered Agent 1403 West Esplanade Avenue Kenner, LA 70065

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Intellectual Property Consulting, LLC

Gregory D. Latham, Esq. Kent Barnett, Esq. 334 Carondelet Street, STE B New Orleans, LA 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-4 Filed 02/26/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

; or ides there,					
ides there,					
ides there,					
, who is					
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)					
; or					
; or					
0.00					
-					

Server's address

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-5 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

 NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC.
)

))
))

))
))

))
))

 Plaintiff(s)
))

 V.
))

 SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.;
))

 BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J.
)

 OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr.,
)

 individually.
)

 Defendant(s)
)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) Labeauti, Inc. Attn: Guy W. Olano III, Registered Agent 222 London Avenue, STE 100 Kenner, LA 70005

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Intellectual Property Consulting, LLC

Gregory D. Latham, Esq. Kent Barnett, Esq. 334 Carondelet Street, STE B New Orleans, LA 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-5 Filed 02/26/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

; or ides there,					
ides there,					
ides there,					
, who is					
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)					
; or					
; or					
0.00					
-					

Server's address

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-6 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC.)))
Plaintiff(s) V.)))
SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.; BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J. OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr., individually.)))
Defendant(s))

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (*Defendant's name and address*) Bourbon Burlesque Club, Inc. Attn: Connie Montgomery, Registered Agent 1403 West Esplanade Avenue Kenner, LA 70065

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Intellectual Property Consulting, LLC

Gregory D. Latham, Esq. Kent Barnett, Esq. 334 Carondelet Street, STE B New Orleans, LA 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-6 Filed 02/26/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

; or ides there,					
ides there,					
ides there,					
, who is					
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)					
; or					
; or					
0.00					
-					

Server's address

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-7 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC.)))
Plaintiff(s))
V.)
SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.; BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J. OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr., individually.)))
Defendant(s))

)

Civil Action No.

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Pamela J. Olano 1914 Brockenbraugh Street Metairie, LA 70005

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Intellectual Property Consulting, LLC

Gregory D. Latham, Esq. Kent Barnett, Esq. 334 Carondelet Street, STE B New Orleans, LA 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-7 Filed 02/26/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	e of individual and title, if any)					
vas re	ceived by me on (date)						
	□ I personally served	the summons on the individua	l at (place)				
	on (date)						
	\Box I left the summons	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (<i>name</i>)					
	on (date)		son of suitable age and discretion who res o the individual's last known address; or				
	□ I served the summo	ns on (<i>name of individual</i>)		who is			
	.	1 1	on (date)	; or			
	□ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because		: or			
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	on is true.				
Date:							
			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				

Server's address

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-8 Filed 02/26/19 Page 1 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Louisiana

NICHOLAS S. KARNO #1, INC.))))
Plaintiff(s))
v.)
SILVER BOURBON, INC.; LABEAUTI, INC.;)
BOURBON BURLESQUE CLUB, INC; PAMELA J.)
OLANO, individually; and GUY W. OLANO, Jr.,)
individually.)
Defendant(s))

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Civil Action No.

To: (Defendant's name and address) Guy W. Olano, Jr. 410 Bourbon Street New Orleans, LA 70016

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Intellectual Property Consulting, LLC

Gregory D. Latham, Esq. Kent Barnett, Esq. 334 Carondelet Street, STE B New Orleans, LA 70130

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Case 2:19-cv-01745-JTM-JCW Document 1-8 Filed 02/26/19 Page 2 of 2

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

received by me on (date)						
□ I personally served t	he summons on the individual at	(place)				
· ·		on (date)	; or			
□ I left the summons a	t the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)				
	, a person	of suitable age and discretion	who resides there,			
on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the					
\Box I served the summor	ns on (name of individual)		, who is			
designated by law to a	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)					
		on (date)	; or			
□ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because		: or			
□ Other (<i>specify</i>):						
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tota	al of \$0.00			
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information i	s true.				
2						
		Server's signature				
		Printed name and title	0			

Server's address