

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1092640**

Filing date: **11/02/2020**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92070823
Party	Defendant Ikonix USA LLC
Correspondence Address	THOMAS J MOORE BACON & THOMAS PLLC 625 SLATERS LN 4TH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 UNITED STATES Primary Email: tjmoore@baconthomas.com Secondary Email(s): tlee@baconthomas.com, mail@baconthomas.com 703-683-0500
Submission	Other Motions/Submissions
Filer's Name	Thomas J. Moore
Filer's email	tjmoore@baconthomas.com
Signature	/Thomas J. Moore/
Date	11/02/2020
Attachments	2020-11-02 Respondent Motion for Amended SPO.pdf(123190 bytes) 2020-11-02 proposed Amended Standard Protective Order.pdf(181561 bytes) 2020-11-02 Exhibit A to Motion.pdf(1525379 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ICware Systems, Inc. dba BatchTest Corporation, and
BatchTest Corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

Ikonix USA, LLC,

Respondent

Cancellation No. 92070823

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND ENLARGEMENT OF TIME**

Ikonix USA, LLC (referred to as “Respondent” below) hereby serves upon ICware Systems, Inc. dba BatchTest Corporation, and BatchTest Corporation (referred to as “Petitioner” below) the following motion, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the relevant rules of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (referred to as the “Board” or the “TTAB” below) of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Respondent moves this honorable Board to issue an Amended Standard Protective Order (a proposed Order is submitted herewith, and is referred to as the “Amended SPO” below), and to grant an enlargement of time for Respondent to reply to the discovery served by Petitioner. The basis for the present motion is that the Board’s Standard Protective Order (referred to as the “SPO” below) is for a proceeding where each party is represented by an attorney, and includes a category “Confidential - Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive),” which is not workable in the present proceeding where Petitioner is not represented by an attorney.

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 2**

Petitioner has served a discovery request that asks for information that is clearly a trade secret and confidential commercial information. For example, Petitioner's Interrogatory No. 2 to Respondent is as follows: "Identify by common commercial product name, each product that is currently sold, was previously sold, or that Registrant intends to sell in the United States under Registrant's BATCHTEST Mark and identify the corresponding actual or intended date(s) of first use in commerce in the United States for each product." The request for information about products that Respondent "intends to sell" is clearly directed to confidential business information and trade secrets of Respondent. Competitors would benefit from knowing about future products before they are introduced, as well as from knowing that no future products in a particular category are currently planned. In the Second Amended Petition for Cancellation (paper no. 16), in Count III (Priority and Likelihood of Confusion ...), Petitioner alleges that "Registrant and Petitioner compete in the same specialized field of software for industrial automated testing" (which is of course subject to proof at trial). However, for purposes of the present motion, this allegation should be presumed to be correct.

Respondent notes that Petitioner has taken the position that Petition has not requested any confidential information in Petitioner's email of October 30, 2020 (copy attached at Exhibit A, see text highlighted in yellow).

Respondent should not be forced to disclose its trade secrets and confidential commercial information to a competitor. Therefore, the proposed Amended SPO allows Respondent to withhold such information.

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 3**

In addition, the proposed Amended SPO requires signature by Petitioner, if Petitioner wants to receive information under the Amended SPO, so that Respondent has an enforceable contract, and if necessary after completion of this cancellation, may ask a court to enforce the terms of the Amended SPO. Otherwise, Respondent could be without a remedy after the present cancellation is terminated, and this Board at least arguably, loses jurisdiction over the parties.

Respondent also asks for an enlargement of time to respond to all discovery requests from Petitioner until at least thirty days after the present motion has been decided. The relief sought by the present motion could be moot, if Respondent had already disclosed trade secrets and confidential commercial information to Petitioner.

CONCLUSION

Respondent respectfully submits that the present motion should be granted.

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314-1176
Date: November 2, 2020

Phone: 703-683-0500
Fax: 703-683-1080
E-mail: mail@baconthomas.com

Respectfully submitted,

/Thomas J. Moore/

Thomas J. Moore
Thomas Lee
Attorneys for Respondent
Ikonix USA, LLC

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 4**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of this document is being served on Petitioner by emailing it on the undersigned date addressed to the correspondence address of record in the TTABVUE database at the website of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office as follows:

Dinesh Patel
BatchTest Corporation
2118 Walsh Ave, Suite 150
Santa Clara, CA 95050
BatchTest_TM@batchtest.com
btcroot@gmail.com

November 2, 2020

/Thomas J. Moore/
Thomas J. Moore

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ICware Systems, Inc. dba BatchTest Corporation, and
BatchTest Corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

Ikonix USA, LLC,

Respondent

Cancellation No. 92070823

Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER

This Amended Standard Protective Order, amends the Standard Protective Order (February 5, 2020), by the addition of paragraphs 18 and 19 below.

Pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.116(g), this standard protective order (“Order”) is adopted by the Board and automatically imposed in all Board proceedings. It is not necessary for the parties and/or their attorneys to sign copies of the Order for it to take effect or for the parties to be bound by its terms during the course of the proceeding. However, it may be desirable to obtain such signatures to assure the parties that they have created a contract which will survive this Board proceeding and that they may have a remedy for breach of that contract which occurs after the conclusion of this Board case. Notwithstanding, any determination of whether the Order establishes contractual rights or is enforceable outside of this Board proceeding is for the appropriate judicial forum to decide should such matter come before it.

Information disclosed by any party or non-party witness during this proceeding may be designated (1) Confidential or (2) Confidential – For Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/ Commercially Sensitive) by a party or witness. To preserve the confidentiality of the information so disclosed, the parties are hereby bound by the terms of this Order, in its standard form or as modified by agreement of the parties approved by the Board, and by any additional provisions approved by the Board. As used in

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 2**

this Order, the term “information” covers documentary material, electronically stored information (“ESI”), testimony¹ and any other information provided during the course of this Board proceeding.

Parties may use this Order as the entirety of their agreement or may use it as a template from which they may fashion a modified agreement, subject to Board approval.

This Order shall govern any information produced in this Board proceeding and designated pursuant to this Order, including all designated discovery depositions, all designated testimony depositions and declarations and affidavits, all designated deposition exhibits and testimony exhibits, interrogatory answers, admissions, documents and other discovery and testimony materials, whether produced informally, as part of mandatory disclosures, or in response to interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents or other methods of discovery.

This Order shall also govern any designated information produced or provided in this Board proceeding pursuant to required disclosures under any applicable federal procedural rule or Board rule and any supplementary disclosures thereto.

This Order shall apply to the parties and to any nonparty from whom discovery or testimony may be sought in connection with this proceeding and who desires the protection of this Order.

TERMS OF ORDER

1) Classes of Protected Information.

The Rules of Practice in Trademark Cases provide that all inter partes proceeding files, as well as the involved registration and application files, are open to public inspection. The terms of this Order are

¹ Testimony as used in this Order, includes testimony provided during a discovery deposition or a testimony deposition or by declaration or affidavit, either orally or upon written questions.

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 3**

not to be used to undermine public access to such files. When appropriate, however, a party or witness, on its own or through its attorney, may seek to protect the confidentiality of information by employing one of the following designations.

Confidential -Material to be shielded by the Board from public access.

Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive) -Material to be shielded by the Board from public access, restricted from any access by the parties, and available for review by outside counsel for the parties and, subject to the provisions of paragraphs 4 and 5, by independent experts or consultants for the parties.

Material designated as Confidential - Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive) may include the following types of information: (1) sensitive technical information, including current research, development and manufacturing information; (2) sensitive business information, including highly sensitive financial or marketing information; (3) competitive technical information, including technical analyses or comparisons of competitor’s products or services; (4) competitive business information, including non-public financial and marketing analyses, media scheduling, comparisons of competitor’s products or services, and strategic product/service expansion plans; (5) personal health or medical information; (6) an individual’s personal credit, banking or other financial information; or (7) any other commercially sensitive information the disclosure of which to non-qualified persons subject to this Order the producing party reasonably and in good faith believes would likely cause harm.

2) Information Not to Be Designated as Protected.

Information may not be designated as subject to any form of protection if it (a) is, or becomes, public knowledge, as shown by publicly available writings, other than through violation of the terms of

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 4**

this Order; (b) is acquired by a non-designating party or non-party witness from a third party lawfully possessing such information and having no obligation to the owner of the information; (c) was lawfully possessed by a non-designating party or non-party witness prior to the opening of discovery in this proceeding, and for which there is written evidence of the lawful possession; (d) is disclosed by a non-designating party or non-party witness legally compelled to disclose the information; or (e) is disclosed by a non-designating party with the approval of the designating party.

3) Access to Protected Information.

The provisions of this Order regarding access to protected information are subject to modification by written agreement of the parties or their attorneys and approval by the Board.

Administrative Trademark Judges, Board attorneys, and other employees of the Board are bound to honor the parties' designations of information as protected, except as otherwise required by law, but are not required to sign forms acknowledging the terms and existence of this Order. Court reporters, stenographers, video technicians or others who may be employed by the parties or their attorneys to perform services incidental to this proceeding will be bound only to the extent that the parties or their attorneys make it a condition of employment or obtain agreements from such individuals, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 4.

- Parties are defined as including individuals, officers of corporations, partners of partnerships, members of limited liability companies/corporations, and management employees of any type of business organization.
- Attorneys for parties are defined as including in-house counsel and outside counsel, including support staff operating under counsel's direction, such as paralegals or legal assistants, secretaries, and any other employees or independent contractors operating under counsel's instruction.

Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 5

- Independent experts or consultants include individuals retained by a party for purposes related to prosecution or defense of the proceeding but who are not current or former employees, officers, members, directors, or partners of any party, affiliates of any party, or the attorneys of any party or its affiliates, or competitors to any party, or employees or consultants of such competitors with respect to the subject matter of the proceeding.
- Non-party witnesses include any individuals to be deposed during discovery or trial, whether willingly or under subpoena issued by a court of competent jurisdiction over the witness.

Parties and their attorneys shall have access to information designated as confidential, subject to any agreed exceptions.

Outside counsel, but not in-house counsel, shall have access to information designated as Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially sensitive).

Independent experts or consultants, non-party witnesses, and any other individual not otherwise specifically covered by the terms of this order may be afforded access to confidential information in accordance with the terms that follow in paragraph 4. Further, independent experts or consultants may have access to Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive) information if such access is agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Board, in accordance with the terms that follow in paragraphs 4 and 5.

4) Disclosure to Any Individual.

Prior to disclosure of protected information by any party or its attorney to any individual not already provided access to such information by the terms of this Order, the individual shall be informed of

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 6**

the existence of this Order and provided with a copy to read. The individual will then be required to certify in writing that the order has been read and understood and that the terms shall be binding on the individual. No individual shall receive any protected information until the party or attorney proposing to disclose the information has received the signed certification from the individual. A form for such certification is attached to this Order. See Exhibit A. The party or attorney receiving the completed form shall retain the original.

5) Disclosure to Independent Experts or Consultants.

In addition to meeting the requirements of paragraph 4, any party or attorney proposing to share disclosed information that has been designated Confidential-Attorneys' Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive) with an independent expert or consultant must also notify the party who designated the information as such. Notification must be personally served or forwarded by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by email, and shall provide notice of the name, address, occupation and professional background of the expert or independent consultant.

The party or its attorney receiving the notice shall have ten (10) business days to object to disclosure to the expert or independent consultant. If objection is made, then the parties must negotiate in good faith the issue before raising the issue before the Board. If the parties are unable to settle the dispute, then it shall be the obligation of the party or attorney proposing disclosure to bring the matter before the Board with an explanation of the need for disclosure and a report on the efforts the parties have made to settle their dispute. The party objecting to disclosure will be expected to respond with its arguments against disclosure or its objections will be deemed waived.

6) Responses to Written Discovery.

Responses to interrogatories under Federal Rule 33 and requests for admissions under Federal Rule 36 (whether in a paper or electronic form) and which the responding party reasonably believes to contain protected information shall be prominently stamped or marked with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. Any inadvertent disclosure without appropriate designation shall be remedied as soon as the disclosing party learns of its error, by informing all adverse parties, in writing, of the error. The parties should inform the Board only if necessary because there was a filing of protected information not in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 12.

7) Production of Documents.

If a party responds to requests for production under Federal Rule 34 by making copies and forwarding the copies to the inquiring party, including ESI, then the copies shall be prominently stamped or marked, as necessary, with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. If the responding party makes documents available for inspection and copying by the inquiring party, all documents shall be considered protected as “Confidential,” as defined in Section 1 of this order, during the course of inspection.² After the inquiring party informs the responding party what documents are to be copied, the responding party will be responsible for prominently stamping or marking the copies with the appropriate designation from paragraph 1.

² To the extent any of the documents provided for inspection may be deemed Confidential-For Attorneys’ Eyes Only and the inquiring party is not represented by counsel, it is the responsibility of the responding party to remove such documents prior to inspection and copy and forward such documents, if responsive, to the inquiring party with the marked designation Confidential-For Attorneys’ Eyes Only, including any appropriate redactions, if necessary. Alternatively, a party responding to document requests from an inquiring party that is representing itself pro se in a Board proceeding may forego the “inspection” option and instead copy and produce responsive documents clearly marked with the appropriate designation of confidentiality from paragraph 1, as necessary and appropriate.

8) Depositions.

Protected documents produced during an oral discovery deposition or a discovery deposition upon written questions, or offered into evidence during an oral testimony deposition, a testimony deposition upon written questions, or testimony submitted by affidavit or declaration, shall be noted appropriately as such by the producing or offering party at the outset of any discussion of the document or information contained in the document. In addition, the documents must be prominently stamped or marked with the appropriate designation.

If testimony includes protected information, the interested party shall, to the extent practical under the circumstances, make note on the record of the protected nature of the information.

The transcript of any deposition (whether for discovery or testimony purposes) and all exhibits or attachments shall be considered “Confidential,” as defined in Section 1 of this order, for 30 days following the date of service of the transcript by the party that took the deposition. During that 30-day period, either party may designate the portions of the transcript, and any specific exhibits or attachments that are to be treated as protected, by electing the appropriate designation from paragraph 1. Appropriate stampings or markings should be made during this time, if not already done so. If no such designations are made, then the entire transcript and exhibits will be considered unprotected.

9) Filing Notices of Reliance.

When a party or its attorney files a notice of reliance during the party's testimony period, the party or attorney is bound to honor designations made by the adverse party or attorney, or non-party witness, who disclosed the information, so as to maintain the protected status of the information.

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 9**

10) Briefs.

When filing briefs, memoranda, affidavits and/or declarations in support of a motion, or briefs at final hearing, the portions of these filings that discuss protected information, whether information of the filing party, or any adverse party, or any non-party witness, should be redacted. The rule of reasonableness for redaction is discussed in paragraph 12 of this Order.

11) Handling of Protected Information.

Disclosure of information protected under the terms of this Order is intended only to facilitate the prosecution or defense of this Board proceeding. The recipient of any protected information disclosed in accordance with the terms of this Order is obligated to maintain the confidentiality of the information and shall exercise reasonable care in handling, storing, using, disseminating, retaining, returning, and destroying the information.

12) Redaction; Filing Material with the Board.

When a party or attorney must file protected information with the Board, or a motion or final brief that discusses such information, the protected information or portion of the motion/brief discussing the same should be redacted from the remainder. Redactions are subject to a rule of reasonableness.

Redaction can entail merely covering or omitting a portion of a page of material when it is copied or printed in anticipation of filing but can also entail the more extreme measure of simply filing the entire page under seal as one that contains primarily confidential material. If only a sentence or short paragraph of a page of material is confidential, covering that material when the page is copied, or omitting the material, would be appropriate.

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 10**

In contrast, if most of the material on the page is confidential, then filing the entire page under seal would be more reasonable, even if some small quantity of non-confidential material is then withheld from the public record. Likewise, when a multi-page document is in issue, reasonableness would dictate that redaction of the portions or pages containing confidential material be effected when only some small number of pages contain such material. In contrast, if almost every page of the document contains some confidential material, it may be more reasonable to simply submit the entire document under seal. Occasions when a whole document or motion/brief must be submitted under seal should be very rare.

Protected information, and pleadings, briefs or memoranda that reproduce, discuss or paraphrase such information, shall be filed with the Board under seal. If filed by mail, the envelopes or containers shall be prominently stamped or marked with a legend in substantially the following form:

CONFIDENTIAL

This envelope contains documents or information that are subject to a protective order or agreement. The confidentiality of the material is to be maintained and the envelope is not to be opened, or the contents revealed to any individual, except by order of the Board.

If filed electronically by employing the Board's Electronic System for Trademark Trial and Appeals ("ESTTA"), the filing party should comply with the redaction guidelines set forth above and click the "confidential filing" option prior to transmitting the documents electronically. In all situations, a redacted copy must also be filed for public view.

13) Acceptance of Information; Inadvertent Disclosure.

Acceptance by a party or its attorney of information disclosed under designation as protected shall not constitute an admission that the information is, in fact, entitled to protection. Inadvertent

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 11**

disclosure of information which the disclosing party intended to designate as protected shall not constitute waiver of any right to claim the information as protected upon discovery of the error. In the event a party inadvertently files a document containing protected information, such party should immediately inform the Board and the Board will mark such document as confidential and will require the party to resubmit a redacted, publicly available copy of such document.

If, through inadvertence, a producing party provides any “CONFIDENTIAL” or “CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” discovery material during a Board proceeding without marking the information as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY,” the producing party may subsequently inform the receiving party in writing of the “CONFIDENTIAL” or “CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” nature of the disclosed information, and the receiving party shall treat the disclosed information in accordance with this Order after receipt of such written notice and make reasonable efforts to retrieve any such material that has been disclosed to persons not authorized to receive the material under the terms hereof. A party objecting to any such “CONFIDENTIAL” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” designation shall follow the procedures set forth in paragraph 14 below. Prior disclosure of material later designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” or “ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY” shall not constitute a violation of this Order.

If a disclosing party through inadvertence produces or provides discovery material that it believes is subject to a claim of attorney-client privilege, work product immunity, or any other privilege, the disclosing party may give written notice to the receiving party that the discovery material is deemed privileged and that return of the material is requested. Upon such written notice, the receiving party shall immediately gather the original and all copies of the material of which the receiving party is aware and shall immediately return the original and all such copies to the disclosing party.

14) Challenges to Designations of Information as Protected.

If the parties or their attorneys disagree as to whether certain information should be protected, they are obligated to negotiate in good faith regarding the designation by the disclosing party. If the parties are unable to resolve their differences, the party challenging the designation may make a motion before the Board seeking a determination of the status of the information.

A challenge to the designation of information as protected must be made substantially contemporaneous with the designation, or as soon as practicable after the basis for challenge is known. When a challenge is made long after a designation of information as protected, the challenging party will be expected to show why it could not have made the challenge at an earlier time. The party designating information as protected will, when its designation is timely challenged, bear the ultimate burden of proving that the information should be protected.

15) Consequences of Unchallenged Overdesignations.

In the event the Board determines that a party has improperly overdesignated information as protected, and a party has not contested the overdesignations, the Board, on its own initiative, may (1) disregard the overdesignations for those matters which are improperly designated; (2) issue an order to show cause why the submission should not be made open to public view; (3) require a party to reduce redactions by redesignating as non-confidential the overdesignated information and resubmit a properly designated redacted copy for public view; or (4) not consider the improperly designated matter in rendering its decision. In the case of an order to show cause, or request for resubmission of a filing with proper redaction (i.e., proper designation of confidential matter for public access), if no response is received, the Board will redesignate the confidentially filed material as non-confidential and make it available for public view.

16) Board's Jurisdiction; Handling of Materials after Termination.

The Board's jurisdiction over the parties and their attorneys ends when this proceeding is terminated. A proceeding is terminated only after a final order is entered and either all appellate proceedings have been resolved or the time for filing an appeal has passed without filing of any appeal.

The parties may agree that archival copies of evidence, memoranda, discovery deposition transcripts, testimony deposition transcripts, affidavits, declarations, and briefs may be retained solely by outside counsel, subject to compliance with agreed safeguards. Otherwise, within 30 days after the final termination of this proceeding, each party and their attorneys, as well as any other persons subject to the terms of this agreement, shall return to each disclosing party (1) all materials and documents, including ESI, containing protected information, (2) all copies, summaries, and abstracts thereof, and (3) all other materials, memoranda or documents embodying data concerning said material, including all copies provided pursuant to paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Order. In the alternative, the disclosing party or its attorney may make a written request that such materials be destroyed rather than returned. Additionally, parties to this agreement are precluded from disclosing orally or in writing any protected information provided during the course of a Board proceeding once this Board proceeding is terminated.

17) Other Rights of the Parties and Attorneys.

This Order shall not preclude the parties or their attorneys from making any applicable claims of privilege during discovery or at trial. Nor shall this Order preclude the filing of any motion with the Board for relief from a particular provision of this Order or for additional protections not provided by this Order.

**Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 14**

18) Restriction of Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive).

In the present cancellation where Petitioner is not represented by an attorney, Respondent is not required to produce or identify any information that is within the category “Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive).”

19) Allowance of Thirty Days to Agree.

In the present cancellation where Petitioner is not represented by an attorney, Petitioner is allowed a time period thirty days after the date of this order to serve upon Respondent a copy of this order executed by an authorized officer of Petitioner in the space provided below, and if Respondent does not receive the same within the time period, then Respondence is not required to produce or identify any information that is within the category “Confidential.”

Agreed to by Petitioner, ICware Systems, Inc. dba BatchTest Corporation, and BatchTest Corporation,

Date:

Signature:

Name and Title:

Agreed to by Respondent, Ikonix USA, LLC,

Date:

Signature:

Name and Title:

Proposed
AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
Cancellation No. 92070823
Page 15

So ordered this ____ day of _____, 20 ____, by the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board.

EXHIBIT A
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Protected information, in whole or in part, and the information contained therein which has been produced by the parties to this Board proceeding pursuant to the attached Standard Protective Order has been disclosed to me, and by signing this Certificate of Compliance, I acknowledge and agree that I have read, understand, and am subject to the provisions of the Protective Order and will not disclose such protected information in whole or in part or in any form or the information contained therein to any person, corporation, partnership, firm, governmental agency or association other than those persons who are authorized under the Standard Protective Order to have access to such information.

Date

Signature

Name (print)

Exhibit A
to RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR AMENDED STANDARD PROTECTIVE ORDER
AND ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
in Cancellation No. 92070823
Thomas J. Moore

From: BatchTest Corp Trademark Admin <BatchTest_TM@batchtest.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2020 7:57 PM
To: Thomas J. Moore
Cc: btcroot@gmail.com
Subject: RE: BatchTest Corporation v. Ikonix USA; U.S. Cancellation No. 92070823


Mr. Moore:

I am responding here to your following email which was received today in the late morning. I will first clarify that my email did not ask any question, as such I do not know what you mean by "In reply to your question." Nevertheless, I advise you to correct BatchTest's name and correspondence address per our filing and service on 10/5/2020 (TTABVUE 32):

Dinesh Patel
BatchTest Corporation
2118 Walsh Ave, Suite 240
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Primary Email: BatchTest_TM@batchtest.com
Secondary Email(s): btcroot@gmail.com

As it states above, btcroot@gmail.com is second email address for the Plaintiff.

Currently BatchTest Corporation is not interested in your suggestion of an agreement that allows your client to keep its Registration No. 4499170.

Responding to your second topic on your suggestion of an Amended Standard Protective Order, BatchTest does not believe that it has requested any information in the discovery so far that is commonly designated as "Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive)"; in fact, to the best of my knowledge all requested information by BatchTest would be commonly designated as public. Without you providing specific details on which item(s) in the discovery BatchTest seeks is "Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive", BatchTest is unable to respond appropriately. 

In response to my October 9, 2020 email that your client needs to serve initial disclosure before seeking discovery, you seem to be citing the standard format list of dates on the TTAB's Order dated September 21, 2020. The said TTAB Order merely listing the opening date of discovery does not grant your client a special privilege of seeking discovery before serving its initial disclosures. In fact the TTAB Order dated March 17, 2019 (TTABVUE 2) lists the exactly same order of dates and items, and points to Trademark Rule 2.120(a)(3) which reads "A party must make its initial disclosures prior to seeking discovery." BatchTest had served its initial disclosures and discovery at the same time to your client on October 2, 2020, your client could have done the same.

Sincerely,

Dinesh Patel
President
BatchTest Corporation
2118 Walsh Ave, Suite 240

Santa Clara, CA 95050

Email: BatchTest_TM@batchtest.com

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby (a) notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking any action with respect to the content of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful, and (b) kindly requested to inform the sender immediately and delete any copies. BatchTest Corporation is neither liable for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt.

From: Thomas J. Moore [mailto:TJMoore@baconthomas.com]
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2020 10:36 AM
To: 'BatchTest Corp Trademark Admin'
Cc: btcroot@gmail.com
Subject: RE: BatchTest Corporation v. Ikonix USA; U.S. Cancellation No. 92070823

Dinesh Patel
ICware Systems Inc dba BatchTest Corporation
BatchTest Corporation
2118 Walsh Ave, Suite 150
Santa Clara, CA 95050

Re: ICware Systems, Inc. dba BatchTest Corporation, and BatchTest Corporation v.
Ikonix USA, LLC
U.S. Cancellation No. 92070823 to Reg. No. 4499170 (BatchTEST)
Our Ref: ICWA7001/TJM

Dear Mr. Patel:

Thank you for your email yesterday (below), in reply to my voice mail. I regret that are now refusing to speak to me by telephone. I will endeavor to communicate by email, as you prefer.

In reply to your question, the first topic that I would like to raise is whether we can settle this case by an agreement that you have your registrations (based on you pending applications), and my client has its Registration No. 4499170. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has advised you by the Office Action dated October 6, 2020, in your Application No. 88750323, that my client's registration does not conflict with about half the services in your application. If you agree to a Mutual Use Agreement (MUA) with my client, then my client would execute a Consent to Use and Registration, which you could file in your application, to overcome the objection to the other half of the services. The MUA would provide that the cancellation remains suspended (inactive) until you receive your registration. After you receive your registration, the cancellation would be dismissed.

The second topic is whether you would agree to sign an Amended Standard Protective Order. We are under the enclosed Standard Protective Order (SPO) of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (TTAB). The SPO is for litigation where both parties are represented by an attorney, and provides for a second category of "Material designated as Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only (Trade Secret/Commercially Sensitive)" (see page 2, fifth paragraph). I propose an amendment to add on page 9, a Section 17, which states that you are not represented by an attorney, and that my client is not required to produce information in the second category. This would be signed, and submitted to the TTAB for approval.

Please be advised that I disagree with your email of October 9, 2020, because the TTAB's Order of September 21, 2020, expressly states that discovery opens before initial disclosures are due. The rules state that "except as otherwise provided, and wherever applicable and appropriate, procedure and practice in inter partes proceedings shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." See 37 CFR 2.116(a) which is available at uspto.gov and other locations on the internet. The "except as otherwise provided," allows an order by the TTAB to change what appears in the Federal Rules.

Please advise me who or what is btcroot@gmail.com

We look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards,

Thomas J. Moore
Bacon & Thomas, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor
Alexandria, VA 22314-1176 U.S.A.
Phone: 703-683-0500 x137
Direct Dial: 571-317-7023
Fax: 703-683-1080

From: BatchTest Corp Trademark Admin
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2020 6:59 PM
To: Thomas J. Moore
Cc: btcroot@gmail.com
Subject: RE: BatchTest Corporation v. Ikonix USA; U.S. Cancellation No. 92070823

Mr. Moore:

I received a voicemail that you left for me a couple of hours ago in relation to our current trademark cancellation proceeding, however you did not state the specific reason for your call. I am returning your call through this email, I'll ask that all conversations between us be kept in writing, preferably through emails. Since I do not know the actual purpose of your call, you'll have to clarify/elaborate if you'd like me to respond appropriately.

Sincerely,

Dinesh Patel
President
BatchTest Corporation
2118 Walsh Ave, Suite 240
Santa Clara, CA 95050
Email: BatchTest_TM@batchtest.com

The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the

intended recipient you are hereby (a) notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking any action with respect to the content of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful, and (b) kindly requested to inform the sender immediately and delete any copies. BatchTest Corporation is neither liable for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt.

From: Thomas J. Moore [<mailto:TJMoore@baconthomas.com>]

Sent: Friday, October 9, 2020 5:06 PM

To: BatchTest Corp Trademark Admin

Subject: Automatic reply: BatchTest Corporation v. Ikonix USA; U.S. Cancellation No. 92070823

I am out of the office, and may have limited access to email. Please send any urgent email to mail@baconthomas.com which is monitored every business day.

Bacon & Thomas is closed for the federal holiday on October 12, 2020.