UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: September 19, 2013

Cancellation No. 92056790

Aerin LLC

v.

Josef Glockl

## George C. Pologeorgis, Interlocutory Attorney:

Respondent's consented motion (filed September 9, 2013) to re-suspend this case for an additional ninety days to accommodate the parties' ongoing settlement negotiations is <u>GRANTED</u> to the extend noted below.

Proceedings are suspended up to, and including, <u>December 9, 2013</u>, subject to the right of either party to request resumption at any time. See Trademark Rule 2.117(c).

In the event that there is no word from either party concerning the progress of their negotiations, upon conclusion of the suspension period, <u>proceedings shall resume without further notice or order from the Board</u>, upon the schedule set out below.

Proceedings resume:	<b>December 10, 2013</b>
Initial Disclosures Due	1/9/2014
Expert Disclosures Due	5/9/2014
Discovery Closes	6/8/2014

Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures Due	7/23/2014
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	9/6/2014
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures Due	9/21/2014
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	11/5/2014
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Due	11/20/2014
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	12/20/2014

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony, together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

If, during the suspension period, either of the parties or their attorneys should have a change of address, the Board should be so informed.

As a final matter, the Board notes that respondent employed the "consented motions" form when it filed its consented motion to suspend entertained herein. The parties are advised that they should not use the "consented motions" form in ESTTA when the next deadline is the initial disclosures deadline, as is the case here. Instead, they should employ the "general filings" option and include a proposed schedule (in the same format as the Board's institution order) with the desired new initial disclosure deadline, and all subsequent deadlines.

 $\mathbf{2}$