

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA189459**

Filing date: **01/29/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92047816
Party	Defendant MacDermid Acumen, Inc.
Correspondence Address	Jennifer A. Calcagni Carmody & Torrance LLP 50 Levenworth Street, P.O. Box 1110 Waterbury, CT 06702 UNITED STATES
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Arthur G. Schiaer
Filer's e-mail	aschaier@carmodylaw.com, lfreed@carmodylaw.com, flahnin@carmodylaw.com, jcalcagni@carmodylaw.com
Signature	/Arthur G. Schiaer/
Date	01/29/2008
Attachments	macder~1.pdf (3 pages)(75622 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Atotech Deutschland GmbH,	:		
	:		
Petitioner,	:		
	:		
v.	:	Cancellation No.:	92047816
	:		
MacDermid Acumen, Inc.,	:	Registration No.:	3,106,449
	:		
Registrant.	:	Mark:	TRIBLACK
	:		

OBJECTION TO PETITIONER’S MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY

The Registrant, MacDermid Acumen, Inc. (“MacDermid”) objects to the Petitioner’s, Atotech Deutschland GMBH (“Atotech”), Motion to Extend Discovery dated January 28, 2008. Both the petitioner and the registrant had adequate time to complete discovery during the scheduled period.

Discovery has been open in this cancellation proceeding since August 6, 2007. On December 11, 2007, MacDermid propounded discovery requests on Atotech. Nine days later, Atotech propounded its discovery requests on MacDermid. On January 15, 2008, Atotech sought MacDermid’s consent for a one-month extension to respond to its discovery requests, which were due the following day. Because the discovery period is set to close on February 2, 2008, MacDermid consented to a two-week mutual extension. Now Atotech is requesting that discovery be extended by two months.


Despite that discovery opened in August, Atotech waited until late December to propound its first requests on MacDermid. Now, at the end of discovery, Atotech seeks more time. There was adequate time, however, to conduct discovery in this matter, and,

in fact, discovery was conducted in this matter. Specifically, the written discovery propounded to date is more than sufficient to address the narrow issues of this case. MacDermid, therefore, respectfully opposes Atotech's Motion to Extend Discovery.

Respectfully submitted,

MACDERMID ACUMEN, INC.

By its Attorneys,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Fatima Lahnin", written over a horizontal line.

Fatima Lahnin

Carmody & Torrance LLP

195 Church Street

P.O. Box 1950

New Haven, CT 06509-1950


Telephone: (203) 784-3116

Facsimile: (203) 784-3199

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **OBJECTION TO MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY** was served by facsimile and U.S. First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, on this 29th day January 2008 as follows:

James K. Stronski
Barbara Z. Morrissey
Deena Levy Weinhouse
Frommer Lawrence & Haug, LLP
745 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10151
212-588-0500 (fax)
JStronski@flhlaw.com
BMorrissey@flhlaw.com
DWeinhouse@flhlaw.com



Fatima Lahnin
Carmody & Torrance LLP
195 Church Street
P.O. Box 1950
New Haven, CT 06509-1950
Telephone: (203) 784-3116
Facsimile: (203) 784-3199