

Attor

TRADEMARK

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Trademarks, P.O. Box 1451, Alexandria, VA 22313-1451 on March 19, 2007.

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: 
Lois M. Simón

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In re Registration No. 1,966,694
Registrant: Qwest Communications International Inc.
Issued: April 9, 1996
For: QWEST

74/656,899

QUEST MEDIA & SUPPLIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

vs.

QWEST COMMUNICATIONS
INTERNATIONAL INC.
Registrant.

Cancellation No. 92/031,909

**STIPULATED MOTION TO SUSPEND
PENDING A CIVIL PROCEEDING
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a)**

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Madam:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a) and T.B.M.P. § 510.02(a), Registrant Qwest

Communications International Inc. hereby requests suspension of the captioned Cancellation

STIPULATED MOTION TO SUSPEND
CANCELLATION NO. 92/031,909



03-22-2007

proceeding pending the outcome of a civil proceeding that may have bearing on the issues in this case, *ISP.Net, LLC d/b/a IQuest Internet v. Qwest Communications International, Inc.*, Case No. I:01-CV-0480-SEB-JMS (S.D. Ind.). See *Argo & Co. v. Carpetsheen Manufacturing, Inc.*, 187 U.S.P.Q. 366 (T.T.A.B. 1975) (suspending a Board proceeding based on a civil action in which only one of the parties was involved regarding common issues of law). Applicant's counsel, Thomas W. Cook, stipulated to this motion on March 19, 2007.

The *IQuest* case began in April 2001, around the same time that the parties' dispute in this Cancellation began. The parties recognize that the *IQuest* case addresses several issues that may have bearing on the settlement discussions currently pending between them and may possibly have issues in common with the instant Cancellation, such that the parties have suspended their settlement discussions pending resolution of the case. As a result, the parties have sought suspension of this proceeding on several occasions and continue their request here. Multiple suspension requests have been required because the *IQuest* case has been in abeyance for several years in the district court and has only recently been reassigned to a magistrate judge. A copy of this order is enclosed. Because the parties believe that the *IQuest* case may have some bearing on the issues in this proceeding and the parties' settlement efforts, the parties have stipulated to suspend the proceeding pending the outcome of the *IQuest* case.

//

//


Registrant believes that all the requirements for suspension have been met and the Cancellation proceeding should be suspended pending the outcome of this civil action. Action toward that end is earnestly solicited.

Date: March 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By: _____



Mark T. Jansen

Anthony J. Malutta

Attorneys for Registrant

Qwest Communications International Inc.

Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: (415) 576-0200
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300

61008038 v1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 19, 2007, I served the foregoing **STIPULATED MOTION TO SUSPEND PENDING A CIVIL PROCEEDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 2.117(a)** on counsel for Applicant by depositing a true and correct copy of the same with the United States Postal Service, first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:

Thomas W. Cook
P.O. Box 1989
3030 Bridgeway Suite 425
Sausalito, CA 94965
Counsel for Applicant

Dated: March 19, 2007



Lois M. Simón

61008038 v1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

ISP.NET, LLC d/b/a IQUEST INTERNET)
AN INDIANA CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS) IP 01-0480-C - B/S
INTERNATIONAL INC, A DELAWARE)
CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

ENTRY FOR DECEMBER 19, 2006
MAGISTRATE JUDGE V. SUE SHIELDS

This cause is set for a settlement conference on January 23, 2007 at 11:00 a.m. in Room 256, United States Courthouse, 46 East Ohio Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, before the Honorable V. Sue Shields.

Each party shall attend the settlement conference in person along with his or her counsel. In the case of a party that is a corporate, governmental, or other organizational entity, a client representative shall attend the settlement conference in person along with counsel. This client representative must have final settlement authority to commit the organization to:

Accept a settlement in the amount of the defendant's last offer or to authorize dismissal of the case with prejudice in the representative's own discretion; or

Commit the entity to pay, in the representative's own discretion, a settlement amount up to the plaintiff's prayer, or up to the plaintiff's last demand, whichever is lower.

Any insurance company that is contractually required to defend or indemnify any party, in whole or in part, must have a fully authorized settlement representative present at the conference, in addition to the individual insured, or in the case of a corporate governmental or other organizational entity, the fully authorized settlement representative of the insured. The insurance representative must have final authority to pay, in the representative's own discretion, the policy limits or the plaintiff's last demand, whichever is lower.

The purpose of the foregoing requirements is to have in attendance at the settlement conference those persons who have both the authority to exercise complete discretion and the realistic freedom to exercise such discretion to insure that the litigants are able to fully engage in the settlement process.

Each party shall submit (not file) a confidential settlement statement directly to the magistrate judge no later than two business days prior to the settlement conference. The statement should contain a brief statement of the relevant issues of fact (including damages) and law and the history of any settlement negotiations. The statement may be faxed to the magistrate judge at 317-229-3678. Upon request, the statement may be emailed to the magistrate judge. There is no need to follow a fax or email with a hard copy.

Any request to continue this conference must be made by motion filed no later than two weeks prior to the conference.

Copies to:

William L. Jaeger
TOWNSEND TOWNSEND & CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

Daniel James Lueders
WOODARD EMHARDT MORIARTY
MCNETT & HENRY, LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137

Angus M. MacDonald
TOWNSEND TOWNSEND & CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

James W. Riley Jr.
RILEY BENNETT & EGLOFF LLP
141 E. Washing Street
Fourth Floor
Indianapolis, IN 46204