UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451
General Contact Number: 571-272-8500
General Email: TTABInfo@uspto.gov

February 6, 2025

Opposition No. 91294041

DK Company A/S

v.

La Crème The Brand

Ellen Yowell, Lead Paralegal Specialist:

On February 6, 2025, Applicant's attorneys filed a request to withdraw as Applicant's counsel of record in this proceeding.[1] The request to withdraw as counsel is in compliance with the requirements of Trademark Rules 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 11.116, and is accordingly granted. The law firm of LegalForce RAPC Worldwide, P.C. no longer represents Applicant in this proceeding.

In view of the withdrawal of Applicant's counsel, and in accordance with standard Board practice, proceedings are suspended, and Applicant is allowed until thirty days from the date of this order to appoint new counsel, or to file a paper stating that Applicant chooses to represent itself. If Applicant files no response, the Board may issue an order to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against Applicant based on Applicant's apparent loss of interest in the proceeding.

[1] A copy of the Board's order granting the request to withdraw is placed in the application involved in this proceeding.

Proceedings are otherwise suspended pending response to this order.

The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and dates will be reset, as appropriate.

A copy of this order has been sent to all persons listed below.

cc:

LA CRÈME THE BRAND
2501 CHATHAM ROAD, SUITE R
SPRINGFIELD, IL 62704
UNITED STATES
armond.b.davis@gmail.com

KATHRYN YOCHES
LEGALFORCE RAPC WORLDWIDE, P.C.
446 E SOUTHERN AVE
TEMPE, AZ 85282
UNITED STATES
docketing@trademarkia.com, katie@trademarkia.com

MIRIAM D. TRUDELL
DAVIS GRAHAM & STUBBS LLP
3400 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 700
DENVER, CO 80205
UNITED STATES
miriam.trudell@davisgraham.com, ip-docketing@davisgraham.com

Information regarding legal representation

While Patent and Trademark Rule 11.14 permits any person to represent itself, it is strongly advisable for a person who is not acquainted with the technicalities of the procedural and substantive law involved in *inter partes* proceedings before the Board to secure the services of an attorney who is familiar with such matters. The Patent and Trademark Office cannot aid in the selection of an attorney. *See* TBMP § 114.02.

Trademark Rules 2.119(a) and (b) require that every submission filed in a proceeding before the Board **must** be served upon the other party or parties, and proper proof of such service must be made before the submission will be considered by the Board. Accordingly, all submissions filed in this proceeding **must** be accompanied by a statement, signed by the attorney or other authorized representative, attached to or appearing on the original submission when filed, clearly stating the date and manner in which service was made, the name of each party or person upon whom service was made, and the email address or address. *See* TBMP § 113.03. Service must be made by email unless otherwise stipulated, or unless the filing party has satisfied the requirements for another method of service as set forth in Trademark Rule 2.119(b). The statement will be accepted as prima facie proof of service, must be signed and dated, and should take the form of a Certificate of Service as follows:

> I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing (insert title of submission) has been served on (insert name of opposing counsel or party) by forwarding said copy on (insert date of mailing), via email (or insert other appropriate method of delivery) to: (set out name, address, and email address of opposing counsel or party).
>
> Signature_____
> Date_____

Submissions in Board proceedings must be made via ESTTA, the Electronic System for Trademark Trials and Appeals, and must be in compliance with Trademark Rules 2.126(a) and (b). *See* TBMP § 110.01. The ESTTA user manual, ESTTA forms, and instructions for their use are at https://estta.uspto.gov/.

It is recommended that any pro se party be familiar with the latest edition of Chapter 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which includes the Trademark Rules of Practice. Parties should also be familiar with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure (TBMP), available at https://www.uspto.gov/ttab, the TTABVUE system for viewing the record for all Board proceedings, available at https://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/, and the Standard Protective Order, available at https://www.uspto.gov/trademarks-application-process/appealing-trademark-decisions/standard-documents-and-guidelines-0.

Strict compliance with the Trademark Rules of Practice, and where applicable the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, is required of all parties, whether or not they are represented by counsel. *McDermott v. San Francisco Women's Motorcycle Contingent*, 81 USPQ2d 1212, n.2 (TTAB 2006), *aff'd unpub'd*, 240 Fed. Appx.865 (Fed. Cir. 2007), *cert. denied*, 552 U.S. 1109 (2008).