

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1250913**

Filing date: **11/29/2022**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91277488
Party	Defendant Advanced Input Devices, Inc.
Correspondence address	RHETT V. BARNEY LEE & HAYES, PC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVE SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, WA 99201 UNITED STATES Primary email: trademarks@leehayes.com Secondary email(s): rhettb@leehayes.com, karig@leehayes.com 509-944-4642
Submission	Answer
Filer's name	Rhett V. Barney
Filer's email	rhettb@leehayes.com, ethan.vodde@leehayes.com, litigation@leehayes.com
Signature	/Rhett V. Barney/
Date	11/29/2022
Attachments	AIS Answer.pdf(64824 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Axis Communications AB

Petitioner,

v.

Advanced Input Devices, Inc.

Applicant.

Proceeding No. 91277488

Application No. 88/889,959

ANSWER

Applicant, Advanced Input Devices, Inc. (“Applicant”), by and through its attorneys, Answers the Notice of Opposition in the above-captioned matter as follows:

1. Applicant admits it filed an application under Section 1(a) of the Lanham Act to register the design mark incorporating the literal elements “AIS” on April 27, 2020 for the goods listed in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition with a date of first use at least as early as October 7, 2019. To the extent Paragraph 1 contains any additional allegations, Applicant does not understand them because they are unclear and therefore denies them.

2. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition,

and therefore denies the same.

3. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

4. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

5. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

6. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

7. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

8. Applicant admits that Opposer has attached exhibits to its Notice of Opposition, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

9. Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition contains legal conclusions. To the extent it contains allegations, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of these allegations and therefore denies the same.

10. Applicant admits that the registrations referenced in the exhibits to the Notice of Opposition each appear, based on these exhibits, to have filing dates and issue dates prior to the filing date of Application Serial No. 88/889,959. To the extent Paragraph 10 contains any additional allegations, Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of these allegations and therefore denies them.

11. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

12. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

13. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

14. Denied.

15. Denied.

16. Denied.

17. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 (which appear to wholly comprise opposer's belief) of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies the same.

Applicant respectfully submits that there is no likelihood of confusion and that this Petition should be dismissed, and prays that this proceeding be sustained in favor of Applicant.

Applicant reserves the right to amend its Answer and to add additional or other defenses that cannot now be articulated due to Petitioner's failure to particularize its claims and/or the need for discovery regarding Petitioner's claims and Applicant's defenses.

DATED this 29th day of November, 2022.

/Rhett V. Barney/

RHETT V. BARNEY

Lee & Hayes, P.C.

601 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1400

Spokane, WA 99201

Telephone: (509) 944-4642

Fax: (509) 323-8979

rhettb@leehayes.com

Attorneys for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of November, 2022, the foregoing Answer was filed with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board's ESTTA system, and was served upon Petitioner's attorney of record at the following email addresses:

trademarks@thip.law

t.deveau@thip.law

s.gray@thip.law

o.mazza@thip.law

c.lee@thip.law

/Rhett V. Barney/

RHETT V. BARNEY

Lee & Hayes, P.C.

601 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1400

Spokane, WA 99201

Telephone: (509) 944-4642

Fax: (509) 323-8979

rhettb@leehayes.com

Attorneys for Applicant