

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1189423**

Filing date: **02/07/2022**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91273692
Party	Defendant Ancient Ingrained, Inc
Correspondence address	SCOTT S. HAVLICK HOLLAND & HART LLP P.O. BOX 8749 ATTN: TRADEMARK DOCKETING DENVER, CO 80201 UNITED STATES Primary email: docket@hollandhart.com Secondary email(s): shavlick@hollandhart.com, attic-us.trademark.nobill@hollandhart.com 3034732710
Submission	Answer
Filer's name	Timothy P. Getzoff
Filer's email	docket@hollandhart.com, RHSeewald@hollandhart.com, TGetzoff@hollandhart.com, CERadoci@hollandhart.com
Signature	/Timothy P. Getzoff/
Date	02/07/2022
Attachments	2022.02.07 - Answer to Opposition.pdf(112694 bytes )



lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 3 and therefore denies them.

4. Applicant states that while the USPTO records for the registration referred to in Paragraph 4 A speak for themselves, Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the contents of the USPTO records, and therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 4. Applicant further denies the allegations in Paragraph 4 to the extent they are different from or claim more than what is set forth in the USPTO records. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 4 and therefore denies them.

5. Applicant states that while the USPTO records for the registration referred to in Paragraph 5 speak for themselves, Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the contents of the USPTO records, and therefore denies the allegations in Paragraph 5. Applicant further denies the allegations in Paragraph 5 to the extent they are different from or claim more than what is set forth in the USPTO records. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies them.

6. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 and therefore denies them.

7. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 7 and therefore denies them.

8. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8 and therefore denies them.

9. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9 and therefore denies them.

10. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 10 and therefore denies them.

11. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11 and therefore denies them..

12. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12 and therefore denies them.

13. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 13 and therefore denies them.

14. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 14 and therefore denies them.

15. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 15 and therefore denies them.

16. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 16 and therefore denies them.

17. Applicant lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17 and therefore denies them.

18. Denied.

Applicant reserves the right to amend its Answer to add Affirmative Defenses that are not now known but may later become known through discovery or other means.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests that the Board dismiss the Opposition with prejudice and provide such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

February 7, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Timothy P. Getzoff  
Timothy P. Getzoff  
Holland & Hart LLP  
1800 Broadway, Suite 300  
Boulder, Colorado 80302  
Phone (303) 295-8000  
[tgetzoff@hollandhart.com](mailto:tgetzoff@hollandhart.com)  
[docket@hollandhart.com](mailto:docket@hollandhart.com)

Ancient Ingrained, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Consistent with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure Rule 309.02(c)(1) (amended June 2018), service of the above ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION will be effected by the Board. To facilitate the Board, the following physical address and email addresses are available for the Applicant's Attorney of Record via TSDR:

Robert H. Newman  
Reed Smith LLP  
IP Docketing Dept.  
P.O. Box 488  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania  
Telephone: (312) 207-2407  
[ptoipinbox@reedsmith.com](mailto:ptoipinbox@reedsmith.com)

*/s/ Timothy P. Getzoff*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy P. Getzoff

18184849\_v1