

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1311222**Filing date: **09/20/2023**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91272143
Party	Plaintiff Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd
Correspondence address	JIGANG JIN JIN LAW FIRM PO BOX 1937 UNION CITY, CA 94587 UNITED STATES Primary email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com Secondary email(s): qianan.li@bigcool.com 408-220-4350
Submission	Opposition/Response to Motion
Filer's name	Jigang Jin
Filer's email	jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Signature	/Jigang Jin/
Date	09/20/2023
Attachments	opposition4filing.pdf(228286 bytes) Jin_decl4filing.pdf(1756699 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HANGZHOU MENGKU TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD. and FUZHOU MENGKU
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Opposers,

v.

SHANGHAI ZHENGLANG
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91272143

Application Ser. No. 90371133

**OPPOSERS' OPPOSITION TO APPLICANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF
OPPOSERS' NOTICE OF RELIANCE**

Opposers Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd. and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd. ("Opposers") hereby respectfully submit their Opposition to Applicant Shanghai Zhenglang Technology Co., Ltd.'s Motion to Strike Portions of Opposers' Notice of Reliance ("Motion to Strike").

I. Introduction

Exhibits 8-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance were submitted as internet printouts under Trademark Rule 2.122(e)(2). These printouts meet the requirements of Rule 2.122(e)(2) because each printout has the date it was accessed (e.g., printed) and identifies its source (e.g., URL). The Federal Circuit has ruled that internet printouts can be relied upon to show how a particular term is used, including whether a term is used in a generic or descriptive sense. Thus, these printouts are admissible evidence to show the mark in Application Ser. No. 90371133 ("Applicant's Mark") is generic or descriptive and how it may be perceived by the purchasing public, i.e., the game purchasers on Google Play.

II. Internet Printouts Submitted as Exhibits 8–24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance Are Admissible Under Trademark Rule 2.122(e)(2).

A. Exhibits 8-24 meet the requirements of Rule 2.122(e)(2).

"Internet materials may be admitted into evidence under a notice of reliance in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section, in the same manner as a printed publication in general circulation, so long as the date the internet materials were accessed and their source (e.g., URL) are provided." 37 C.F.R. § 2.122.

Exhibits 8-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance are internet printouts with access dates and URLs printed on their headers and footers, respectively. Declaration of Jigang Jin ("Jin Decl."), ¶ 3. Although the URLs on the first four pages of Exhibit 9 were cut off due to the filing of it to

TTAB via USPTO's ESTTA system, the URL clearly shows on the last page of Exhibit 9. Jin Decl., ¶ 6. For any multi-page internet printout, the URL remains the same for each page because the whole document has only one source. Jin Decl., ¶ 7. Furthermore, Exhibit 9 was served to Applicant's counsel on June 5, 2023 via email. Jin Decl., ¶ 8. Each page of the served copy clearly shows the URL. *Id.*

Applicant alleges that the "URLs for Exhibits 10–24 are partially obscured by overlaid text, such that Applicant cannot verify these Internet documents." 37 TTABVUE 7. This allegation is far from the truth. The URLs are not obscured and are easily legible. Jin Decl., ¶ 4. This is because the bates numbers have minimal contact with the URLs and their red color does not interfere with recognizing the black color URLs. *Id.* In addition, when an electronic copy of the exhibits is opened in Adobe Acrobat—the most used software for reading and editing PDF files—these bates numbers could be easily removed or moved further away from the URLs. Jin Decl., ¶ 5. Finally, after these exhibits were served to Applicant's counsel on June 5, 2023, Applicant neither raised this issue nor objected to these exhibits based on the alleged defect. Jin Decl., ¶ 9. Had Applicant raised these issues promptly, the alleged defect could be easily cured before Opposers' 30-day trial period ended. Instead, Applicant waited for more than 3 months to raise this objection. Applicant has essentially waived the objections. *Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Lightning Aircraft Co.*, 1 USPQ2d 1290, 1291-92 (TTAB 1986).

B. Exhibits 8-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance are introduced to show Applicant's Mark is generic or descriptive.

The Federal Circuit has ruled that internet printouts can be relied upon to show how a particular term is used, including whether a term is used in a generic or descriptive sense. *See In re Reed Elsevier Properties Inc.*, 482 F.3d 1376, 82 USPQ2d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (third-party

websites are competent sources to show what the relevant public would understand a term to mean, and "they provide substantial evidence to support the Board's findings"); *see also In re Omaha Nat. Corp.*, 819 F.2d 1117, 1119, 2 USPQ2d 1859, 1860 (Fed. Cir. 1987); *Levi Strauss & Co. v. Genesco, Inc.*, 742 F.2d 1401, 1404, 222 USPQ 939, 941 (Fed. Cir. 219 USPQ 1205 (TTAB 1983) (advertisements in footwear industry publications showing other company's shoes bearing a tab device weigh against applicant's claim of secondary meaning in the tab device; the advertisements are probative of others' use of a tab in advertising. They are admissible for what they show on their face."); *Dan Robbins & Associates, Inc. v. Questor Corp.*, 599 F.2d 1009, 1014, 202 USPQ 100, 105 (CCPA 1979) (in determining significance of a term, useful evidence includes "listings in dictionaries, trade journals, newspapers and other publications"); *R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, 226 USPQ 169, 174-75 (TTAB 1985) (evidence concerning use of term in published materials is not hearsay, and is relevant in determining how the term may be perceived by the purchasing public).

Relying on *Tri-Star Pictures, Inc. v. Unger*, 14 F. Supp. 2d 339, 353 (S.D.N.Y. 1998), Applicant argues that "to be relevant, a party submitting evidence of third-party use must demonstrate that . . . third-party use of a mark competes with [the relevant] mark, and that third party use of the mark was well promoted or that the mark was recognized by consumers." 37 TTABVUE 3. Applicant's argument is meritless. The *Tri-Star* case is inapposite to the current case. The issue at hand in *Tri-Star* is completely different from the issue here. In *Tri-Star*, the Court was asked to address the issue of whether a third-party's use of a mark could be used to prove that plaintiff's mark had not been used exclusively so that it had not attained secondary meaning. To that point, the Court found:

The sixth and final element this Court should consider when determining whether a mark has attained **secondary meaning** is the length and exclusivity of a mark....

* * *

... Thus, **in order for Defendants to prove that the mark was not used exclusively, they must demonstrate that third-party use has undercut Plaintiffs' mark.** *Sunenblick v. Harrell*, 895 F. Supp. 616, 627 (S.D.N.Y. 1995), *aff'd*, 101 F.3d 684 (2d Cir.), *cert. denied*, ___ U.S. ___, 117 S.Ct. 386, 136 L.Ed.2d 303(1996). This can be achieved by showing that third party use of a mark competes with Plaintiffs' mark, *see id.*, and that third party use of the mark was "well promoted" or that the mark was "recognized by consumers."

Tri-Star Pictures, Inc. v. Unger, 14 F. Supp. 2d 339, 353 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) (emphasis added).

In contrast, Exhibits 8-24 are offered to show Applicant's Mark is generic or descriptive. Applicant's reliance on other cases is also misplaced. The courts in those cases were addressing the issue of whether the asserted marks were weakened by third-party uses in infringement analysis. *Palm Bay Imp. v. Veuve Clicquot Ponsardin*, 396 F.3d 1369, 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2005) ("Evidence of third-party use of similar marks on similar goods is relevant to show that a mark is relatively weak and entitled to only a narrow scope of protection"); *Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. v. Hibernia Bank*, 665 F. Supp. 800, 806 (N.D. Cal. 1987) ("Defendant argues that extensive third-party use of similar marks, both for related and unrelated goods, further weakens the plaintiff's limited rights.")

Furthermore, Exhibits 8-24 are internet printouts of mobile games on Google Play. Not only are these mobile games the same type of products as Applicant's goods, but they also directly compete with Applicant's mobile games in the very same marketplace, i.e., Google Play. Thus, these exhibits are relevant on how Applicant's Mark may be perceived by the purchasing public, i.e., the game purchasers on Google Play.

C. The search results submitted as Exhibits 18 and 23 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance are printed publications under Rule 2.122(e)(2).

Relying on *Paris Glove of Canada, Ltd. v. SBC/Sporto Corp.*, 84 U.S.P.Q.2d 1856 (T.T.A.B. 2007), Applicant argues that Google Play app store search results are neither self-authenticating nor otherwise authenticated. 37 TTABVUE 7. Applicant is wrong. In *Paris Glove of Canada*, TTAB struck a Google search result printout. However, the stricken Google search result printout had no access date and no source such as URL. In addition, the *Paris Glove of Canada* case was decided in 2007, three years before the Board expanded the types of documents that can be introduced by way of a notice of reliance to include internet printouts if they have access dates and source such as URLs. *Safer, Inc. v. OMS Investments, Inc.*, 94 USPQ2d 1031, 1039 (TTAB 2010). Indeed, in 2016 the USPTO amended the trademark rules to include Rule 2.122(e)(2), permitting admission of internet materials into evidence by notice of reliance. 81 FR 69980. Here, both Exhibits 18 and 23 meet the requirements of Rule 2.122(e)(2) because they identify the dates they were printed and their URLs.

D. The TSDR record submitted as Exhibit 1 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance is sufficiently contemporaneous.

Applicant argues that the TSDR record submitted as Exhibit 1 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance is not sufficiently contemporaneous to ensure that current status and title information be shown. 37 TTABVUE 2. This argument is absurd. Exhibit 1 identifies the current status of Applicant's Application as "An opposition after publication is pending at the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board." This is exactly the same as the TSDR exhibit Applicant submitted in testimony declaration of its witness Ruihua Ji. 38 TTABVUE 23. Also, Exhibit 1 identifies Applicant Shanghai Zhenglang Technology Co., Ltd. as the "Owner". Nothing has really changed.

III. Conclusion

At least for the foregoing reasons, Applicant's motion to strike should be denied.

September 20, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/Jigang Jin/
Jigang Jin
P.O. Box 1937
Union City, CA 94010-1455
(tel.) 408-220-4350
jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com

Attorney for Opposers

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jigang Jin, hereby certify that on September 20, 2023 the foregoing document and referenced declaration and exhibits were served by email to Applicant's counsel of record.

Date: 9/20/2023

By: Jigang Jin
Jigang Jin

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

HANGZHOU MENGKU TECHNOLOGY
CO., LTD. and FUZHOU MENGKU
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Opposers,

v.

SHANGHAI ZHENGLANG
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,

Applicant.

Opposition No. 91272143

Application Ser. No. 90371133

**DECLARATION OF JIGANG JIN IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSERS' OPPOSITION TO
APPLICANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF OPPOSERS' NOTICE OF
RELIANCE**

I, Jigang Jin, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:


1. I am attorney for Opposers Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd. and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd. (together, "Opposers") in this proceeding. I submit this declaration in support of Opposers' Opposition to Applicant Shanghai Zhenglang Technology Co., Ltd. ("Applicant")'s Motion to Strike. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances set forth herein and on the records of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board ("TTAB").
2. On June 5, 2023, I filed Opposers' Notice of Reliance and exhibits 1-24 thereof to TTAB via USPTO's ESTTA system.

3. Regarding exhibits 8-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance, each exhibit is an internet printout which has the access or print date in the header and the URL in the footer on each page of the exhibit.
4. Exhibits 9-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance were also produced to Applicant during the discovery phase of this proceeding. As such, each page of these documents has a bates number in the footer area. To avoid interfering with the content of the documents, the bates numbers were stamped in red color and had minimal contact with the URLs. The URLs on each page of exhibits 9-24 are not obscured by the bates numbers and are easily legible.
5. In addition, when an electronic copy of each exhibit is opened in Adobe Acrobat—the most used software for reading and editing PDF files—these bates numbers could be easily removed or moved further away from the URLs.
6. The filing copy of exhibit 9 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance has a URL in the footer on each of the five pages. However, after the exhibit was filed, the copy available on the TTABVUE system had the URL on the first four pages removed. The URL does show on the last page, however.
7. For any multi-page internet printout, the URL remains the same for each page because the whole document has only one source. As such, the URL on the last page of exhibit 9 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance is the URL for the whole document.
8. Furthermore, on June 5, 2023, I served electronic copies of exhibits 1-24 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance to Applicant's counsel of record via e-mail. Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the serving emails. The served copy of exhibit 9 to Opposers' Notice of Reliance has the same URL on each page. Exhibit B is a copy of the served exhibit 9.

To avoid the URLs being removed again during filing of this declaration, I shrunk the images of exhibit 9.

9. After these exhibits were served to Applicant's counsel on June 5, 2023, Applicant neither raised this URL issue nor objected to these exhibits based on the alleged defect.
10. I declare under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 20th day of September 2023.



Jigang Jin

Exhibit A to Declaration of Jigang Jin

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:24 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita'
Subject: FW: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330
Attachments: Exhibit 5.PDF; opposers notice of reliance.pdf; Exhibit 1.pdf; Exhibit 2.pdf; Exhibit 3.pdf; Exhibit 4.pdf

See attached notice of reliance and exhibits 1-5

-----Original Message-----

From: estta@uspto.gov <estta@uspto.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:22 PM
To: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Subject: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

ESTTA Filing Receipt

This ESTTA Filing Receipt confirms receipt of your submission associated with the above-identified ESTTA Tracking Number.

Your submission may be viewed on TTABVUE at <https://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/> . If you don't see your submission on TTABVUE a week after you file, or if you received an error message or experienced a technical issue while submitting your submission on ESTTA, please send an email to estta@uspto.gov and provide the ESTTA Tracking Number and the Serial, Registration or Proceeding Number identified above, and a brief description of the error message or technical issue you encountered.

For non-technical status or information inquiries, please contact the TTAB Assistance Center at ttabinfo@uspto.gov or 571-272-8500 Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET).

Tracking no.: ESTTA1289330
Filing date: 06/05/2023

Proceeding no.: 91272143
Filing party: Plaintiff
Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd

Filing party's correspondence address: JIGANG JIN
JIN LAW FIRM
PO BOX 1937
UNION CITY, CA 94587
UNITED STATES
Primary email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Secondary email(s): qianan.li@bigcool.com
408-220-4350

Submission: Testimony For Plaintiff

Filer's name: Jigang Jin

Filer's email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com

Signature: /Jigang Jin/

Date: 06/05/2023

Attachments: li_decl4filing.pdf

pos4filing.pdf

com4filing.pdf

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:25 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita'
Subject: RE: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330
Attachments: Exhibit 12.pdf; Exhibit 6.PDF; Exhibit 7.pdf; Exhibit 8.pdf; Exhibit 9.pdf; Exhibit 10.pdf; Exhibit 11.pdf
Flag Status: Flagged

Exhibits 6-12 to notice of reliance

-----Original Message-----

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com <jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:24 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita' <RWeeks@kilpatricktownsend.com>
Subject: FW: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

See attached notice of reliance and exhibits 1-5

-----Original Message-----

From: estta@uspto.gov <estta@uspto.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:22 PM
To: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Subject: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

ESTTA Filing Receipt

This ESTTA Filing Receipt confirms receipt of your submission associated with the above-identified ESTTA Tracking Number.

Your submission may be viewed on TTABVUE at <https://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/> . If you don't see your submission on TTABVUE a week after you file, or if you received an error message or experienced a technical issue while submitting your submission on ESTTA, please send an email to estta@uspto.gov and provide the ESTTA Tracking Number and the Serial, Registration or Proceeding Number identified above, and a brief description of the error message or technical issue you encountered.

For non-technical status or information inquiries, please contact the TTAB Assistance Center at ttabinfo@uspto.gov or 571-272-8500 Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET).

Tracking no.: ESTTA1289330
Filing date: 06/05/2023

Proceeding no.: 91272143
Filing party: Plaintiff
Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd

Filing party's correspondence address: JIGANG JIN
JIN LAW FIRM
PO BOX 1937
UNION CITY, CA 94587
UNITED STATES
Primary email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Secondary email(s): qianan.li@bigcool.com
408-220-4350

Submission: Testimony For Plaintiff

Filer's name: Jigang Jin
Filer's email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Signature: /Jigang Jin/
Date: 06/05/2023

Attachments: li_decl4filing.pdf
pos4filing.pdf
com4filing.pdf

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:26 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita'
Subject: RE: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330
Attachments: Exhibit 24.pdf; Exhibit 13.pdf; Exhibit 14.pdf; Exhibit 15.pdf; Exhibit 16.pdf; Exhibit 17.pdf; Exhibit 18.pdf; Exhibit 19.pdf; Exhibit 20.pdf; Exhibit 21.pdf; Exhibit 22.pdf; Exhibit 23.pdf

Exhibits 13-24.

-----Original Message-----

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com <jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:25 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita' <RWeeks@kilpatricktownsend.com>
Subject: RE: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

Exhibits 6-12 to notice of reliance

-----Original Message-----

From: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com <jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:24 PM
To: 'Weeks, Rita' <RWeeks@kilpatricktownsend.com>
Subject: FW: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

See attached notice of reliance and exhibits 1-5

-----Original Message-----

From: estta@uspto.gov <estta@uspto.gov>
Sent: Monday, June 5, 2023 2:22 PM
To: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com
Subject: ESTTA Filing Receipt: Proceeding or Serial or Registration No. 91272143 Testimony For Plaintiff Filing Receipt for ESTTA Tracking No: ESTTA1289330

ESTTA Filing Receipt

This ESTTA Filing Receipt confirms receipt of your submission associated with the above-identified ESTTA Tracking Number.

Your submission may be viewed on TTABVUE at <https://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/> . If you don't see your submission on TTABVUE a week after you file, or if you received an error message or experienced a technical issue while submitting your submission on ESTTA, please send an email to estta@uspto.gov and provide the ESTTA Tracking Number and the Serial, Registration or Proceeding Number identified above, and a brief description of the error message or technical issue you encountered.

For non-technical status or information inquiries, please contact the TTAB Assistance Center at ttabinfo@uspto.gov or 571-272-8500 Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time (ET).

Tracking no.: ESTTA1289330

Filing date: 06/05/2023

Proceeding no.: 91272143

Filing party: Plaintiff

Hangzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd and Fuzhou Mengku Technology Co., Ltd

Filing party's correspondence address: JIGANG JIN

JIN LAW FIRM

PO BOX 1937

UNION CITY, CA 94587

UNITED STATES

Primary email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com

Secondary email(s): qianan.li@bigcool.com

408-220-4350

Submission: Testimony For Plaintiff

Filer's name: Jigang Jin

Filer's email: jigang.jin@jfuslaw.com

Signature: /Jigang Jin/

Date: 06/05/2023

Attachments: li_decl4filing.pdf

pos4filing.pdf

com4filing.pdf

Exhibit B to Declaration of Jigang Jin

Exhibit 9 to Notice of Reliance



Games

Apps

Movies & TV

Books


Children

WOOD PUZZLE

Score **30**

346

▶ Trailer

 **Wood Block Puzzle 3D**
Jewel Games Legend
Contains ads · In-app purchases

4.8★
12K reviews

1M+
Downloads

Everyone

[Install](#)

[Add to wishlist](#)



Games

Apps

Movies & TV

Books

Children



About this game



Blast 3D wood blocks and enjoy the relax and fun!

★ Thank you all for your high ratings! ★
We will do better for millions of puzzle fans.

🟢 How to play this Wood Puzzle?...

Updated on

Aug 1, 2022

Puzzle

Block

Casual

Single player





Realistic

Offline

Data safety



Safety starts with understanding how developers collect and share your data. Data privacy and security practices may vary based on your use, region and age The developer provided this information and may update it over time.

-  This app may share these data types with third parties
App activity and Device or other IDs
-  This app may collect these data types
App activity, App info and performance and Device or other IDs
-  Data is encrypted in transit
-  Data can't be deleted


[See details](#)

Ratings and reviews





Games Apps Movies & TV Books Children



F Fern Carleton ⋮

★★☆☆☆ July 27, 2022

Terrible!!!! I do NOT need to be told what move to make, I am NOT a child!!! Deleting BEFORE I waste my time * I've played other games without the tutorials. Put the option in the settings to be able to turn off hints. If this were done, I'd download the game again. I'd rather challenge myself!! * Oh WOW, really?!? That would be GREAT, I'd appreciate that!!! Any chance do you know when it might go into effect?

12 people found this review helpful

Did you find this helpful? Yes No

Jewel Games Legend July 28, 2022

Thank you for your continued interest in our game! We take your suggestions very seriously! We will update the "Turn off hints" option in the next version! Thank you for liking our game and have a good day~! 😊😊

B Barbara Nabutovsky ⋮

★★★★★ September 24, 2020

An excellent version of the wooden puzzle game. The large clear pieces and smooth play make the game a pleasure to play. Another plus is the ability to skip ads quickly and get on with the puzzles. After enjoying the game since April. I'm seeing that the continue option is missing. Being able to keep working on a layout was a great feature. The game is still fun, but not as enjoyable.

83 people found this review helpful

Did you find this helpful? Yes No

Jewel Games Legend September 25, 2020

Hi Barbara, glad to know you have played our puzzle game for so long. Please advise how often the "continue option" misses? (Always, or only some times?) I received other players' feedback



- Games
- Apps**
- Movies & TV
- Books
- Children

Jen Smith Mower

★★★★★ July 24, 2021

I love this game! One of my top 2 faves of this type of puzzle. The graphics & sounds are appealing and it's challenging but in a chill way that doesn't leave me frustrated. I also like that the size of the puzzle board/blocks are different than most similar games. The ads aren't long, weird or too intrusive either. The only thing I wish was different: a more distinct, cute screen icon for the game. The current one doesn't reflect the great, actual game graphics, at least on my Android phone.

61 people found this review helpful

Did you find this helpful?

Jewel Games Legend

July 27, 2021

Hi, thank you very much for your feedback. Your feedback about the icon is appreciated. We will try to design a new icon to make it more distinct and reflect more about the game graphics. We will test that icon before release to make sure most players like that icon. Thank you very much again for your advice.

[See all reviews](#)

What's new

- Improve game stability

Developer contact



Similar games



Wooden 100 Block Puzzle Game
LIHUHU PTE. LTD.
4.5 ★



Wood Block Puzzle: Board Games
Joymaster Studio
4.5 ★



Wood Block 99 - Sudoku Puzzle
Wood Puzzle Sudoku Games
4.7 ★



Glow Block Puzzle
com2wordsgame
4.6 ★



Block Puzzle Wood Blast
Wood Puzzle Sudoku Games



Wood Block Puzzle
Block Puzzle - Puzzle Games



Games

Apps

Movies & TV

Books

Children

Google Play

Play Pass

Play Points

Gift cards

Redeem

Refund policy

Children and family

Parent guide

Family sharing

[Terms of service](#)

[Privacy](#)

[About Google Play](#)

[Developers](#)

[Google Store](#)



United States (English (Canada))