

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA1180279**

Filing date: **12/22/2021**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding no.	91271869
Party	Plaintiff Kabushiki Kaisha Zoom
Correspondence address	R. GLENN SCHROEDER SCHROEDER LAW PC 110 COOPER STREET #605 BABYLON, NY 11702 UNITED STATES Primary email: docket@schroederlawpc.com Secondary email(s): gschroeder@schroederlawpc.com 631-649-6109
Submission	Request for Discovery Conference
Filer's name	R. Glenn Schroeder
Filer's email	docket@schroederlawpc.com
Signature	/r. glenn schroeder/
Date	12/22/2021
Attachments	117-25_Opposers_Response to Applicants_Request_For_Board_Participatio n_In_the_Discovery_Conference.pdf(97810 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

**In re Application Serial No. 90/252,389
Filed: October 13, 2020
Published for Opposition on May 25, 2021
Trademark: ONZOOM**

KABUSHIKI KAISHA ZOOM,

Opposer,
v.

ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Applicant.

:
:
:
:
: **Opposition No. 91271869**
:
:
:
:
:
:

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria , VA 22313-1451

**OPPOSER’S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT’S REQUEST FOR BOARD PARTICIPATION
IN THE DISCOVERY CONFERENCE**

KABUSHIKI KAISHA ZOOM ("Opposer") hereby responds to the Request for Board Participation in the Discovery Conference filed by ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC. ("Applicant").

Opposer’s counsel received an email from Applicant’s counsel on Friday December 17, 2021 at 10:08 **pm** proposing the scheduling of the discovery conference for January 3, 2022, and stating that Applicant intended to request Board participation in the proceeding. No reason was provided for requesting Board participation.

Without waiting for Opposer’s response re its counsel’s availability on January 3, 2022, and without any follow-up email or telephone call, Applicant proceeded to file its Request on Tuesday December 21, 2021, stating that Board involvement would be beneficial, at least in part, because Opposer “has not responded to Applicant’s attempt to schedule the conference.” In other words, Applicant filed its Request without waiting for a response from Opposer so that it

could then state in its Request that Board involvement was being sought because Opposer had not responded. This attempt to cast Opposer in a negative light at the outset of this proceeding is not understood or appreciated.

With that point addressed, Opposer respectfully advises the Board that its undersigned counsel is available on January 3, 2022 for the scheduling of the discovery conference, and looks forward to a productive meeting.

Respectfully submitted,
KABUSHIKI KAISHA ZOOM

Dated: 22 December 2021

By: /r. glenn schroeder/
R. Glenn Schroeder
Schroeder Law PC
110 Cooper Street #605
Babylon, New York 11702
Telephone: (631) 649-6109
Facsimile: (631) 649-8126

Attorney for Opposer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing OPPOSER'S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S REQUEST FOR BOARD PARTICIPATION IN THE DISCOVERY CONFERENCE has been served via email this 22nd day of December, 2021 upon the following:

Joyce Liou
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
jliou@mofo.com; TMDocket@mofo.com

/r. glenn schroeder/
R. Glenn Schroeder