

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA805345**

Filing date: **03/06/2017**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Proceeding | 91232414 |
| Party | Defendant Interprofession du Gruy re, Syndicat Interprofessionnel du Gruy re |
| Correspondence Address | SUSAN UPTON DOUGLASS FROSS ZELNICK LEHRMAN & ZISSU P C 866 UNITED NATIONS PLZ NEW YORK, NY 10017-1822 UNITED STATES sdouglass@frosszelnick.com |
| Submission | Answer |
| Filer's Name | Richard Lehv |
| Filer's e-mail | rlehv@fzlj.com, sdouglass@fzlj.com, pbozzo@fzlj.com |
| Signature | /Richard Lehv/ |
| Date | 03/06/2017 |
| Attachments | F2189733.pdf(12805 bytes) |

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Food Distributors, Inc.,

Opposer,

Opposition No. 91232414

v.

Interprofession du Gruyère and Syndicat
Interprofessionnel du Gruyère,

Applicants

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicants, Interprofession du Gruyère and Syndicat Interprofessionnel du Gruyère, by their attorneys, Fross Zelnick Lehrman & Zissu, P.C., answer the Notice of Opposition as follows:

1. Applicants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the notice of opposition, except deny that GRUYÉRE is a generic term.
2. Applicants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition.
3. Applicants admit the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition.
4. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition.
5. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition.
6. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition.
7. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition.
8. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition.
9. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition.
10. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.
11. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition.

12. Applicants deny the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicants demand judgment in their favor, dismissing the Notice of Opposition in all respects, and granting such other and further relief as the Board deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, NY
March 6, 2017

Respectfully submitted,
Fross Zelnick Lehrman & Zissu, P.C.

By: /Richard Lehv/
Richard Lehv
4 Times Square, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-813-5900
rlehv@fzlz.com

Attorneys for Applicants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION has been served on Michael E. Zall, counsel for Opposer, by forwarding said copy on March 6, 2017, via email to:

Michael E. Zall, Esq.
Mike@Zall-Law.com

Signature: /Richard Lehv/
Date: March 6, 2017