

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA768892**

Filing date: **09/06/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91229130
Party	Defendant The VOID, LLC
Correspondence Address	JARED L. CHERRY PHILLIPS RYTHER & WINCHESTER 124 SOUTH 600 EAST SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84102 jlc@prwlawfirm.com;kag@prwlawfirm.com;prwlawfirm@blackhillsip.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Jared L. Cherry
Filer's e-mail	jlc@prwlawfirm.com, kag@prwlawfirm.com
Signature	/Jared L. Cherry/
Date	09/06/2016
Attachments	2016-09-06 Answer to Notice of Opposition.pdf(511479 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Cellular South, Inc. dba C Spire Opposer, v. The Void, LLC Applicant.	Opposition No: 91229130 Mark: SPIRE Serial No.: 86/881,514 Filed: January 20, 2016
---	---

Answer

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Dear Sir or Madam:

The Void LLC (“The Void”) hereby submits its answer to the Notice of Opposition dated July 25, 2016.

1. The Void admits the allegations of Paragraph 1.
2. The Void admits the allegations of Paragraph 2.
3. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 3 and therefore denies them.
4. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 4 and therefore denies them.
5. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 5 and therefore denies them.

6. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 6 and therefore denies them.

7. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 7 and therefore denies them.

8. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 8 and therefore denies them.

9. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 9 and therefore denies them.

10. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 10 and therefore denies them.

11. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 11 and therefore denies them.

12. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 12 and therefore denies them.

13. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 13 and therefore denies them.

14. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 14 and therefore denies them.

15. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 15 and therefore denies them.

16. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 16 and therefore denies them.

17. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 17 and therefore denies them.

18. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 18 and therefore denies them.

19. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 19 and therefore denies them.

20. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 20 and therefore denies them.

21. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 21 and therefore denies them.

22. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 22.

23. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 23 and therefore denies them.

24. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 24 and therefore denies them.

25. The Void is without sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 25 and therefore denies them.

26. The Void states that the allegations contained in paragraph 26 call for a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is required, The Void denies all of the allegations of paragraph 26.

27. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 27.

28. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 28.

29. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 29.

30. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 30.

31. The Void denies each and every averment of Paragraph 31.

WHEREFORE, The Void requests that the opposition be denied, and that registration to Applicant be granted.

Dated: September 6, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/Jared L. Cherry/_____

Jared L. Cherry

Utah Bar No. 11,534

Michigan Bar No. 80,800

USPTO Reg. No. 57,711

Phillips Ryther & Winchester

124 South 600 East

Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

Telephone: 801.935.4932

Attorney for The Void, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the following via email and via regular mail on September 6, 2016.

Karen E. Howell (khowell@brunini.com)
Brunini, Grantham, Grower & Hewes, PLLC
Post Office Drawer 119
Jackson, MS 39205

By /s/ Jared L. Cherry
Jared L. Cherry
PHILLIPS RYTHER & WINCHESTER, LLC