UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451

Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

General Contact Number: 571-272-8500

Mailed: August 3, 2016

Opposition No. 91227178

(Parent case)

Opposition No. 91227929

GTFM, Inc.

v.

David Blewett

Nicole Thier, Paralegal Specialist:

On June 26, 2016, Applicant filed a motion to consolidate Opposition Nos.

91227178 and 91227929. The Board notes initially that Applicant has filed its answer

in each proceeding for which consolidation is sought.

When cases involving common questions of law or fact are pending before the

Board, the Board may order consolidation of the cases. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); Re-

gatta Sport Ltd. v. Telux-Pioneer Inc., 20 USPQ2d 1154 (TTAB 1991); and Estate of

Biro v. Bic Corp., 18 USPQ2d 1382 (TTAB 1991). In determining whether to consoli-

date proceedings, the Board will weigh the savings in time, effort, and expense which

may be gained from consolidation, against any prejudice or inconvenience which may

be caused thereby.

Consolidation is discretionary with the Board, and may be ordered upon motion

granted by the Board, or upon stipulation of the parties approved by the Board, or

upon the Board's own initiative. See, e.g., Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management, 27 USPQ2d 1423 (TTAB 1993).

It is noted that the parties to these proceedings are identical, and the issues are similar or related. Accordingly, the motion to consolidate is granted. Opposition Nos. 91227178 and 91227929 are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs. See Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management, supra; and Helene Curtis Industries Inc. v. Suave Shoe Corp., 13 USPQ2d 1618 (TTAB 1989).

The Board file will be maintained in Opposition No. 91227178 as the "parent case." From this point on, only a single copy of all motions and papers should be filed, and each such motion or paper should be filed in the parent case only, but caption all consolidated proceeding numbers, listing the "parent case" first.<sup>1</sup>

Despite being consolidated, each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised by the respective pleadings; a copy of the decision shall be placed in each proceeding file.

Upon consolidation, the Board will reset dates for the consolidated proceeding, usually by adopting the dates as set in the most recently instituted of the cases being consolidated. Trial dates remain as set forth below.

Deadline for Discovery Conference 9/2/2016
Discovery Opens 9/2/2016
Initial Disclosures Due 10/2/2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties should promptly inform the Board of any other Board proceedings or related cases within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42, so that the Board can consider whether further consolidation is appropriate.

## Opposition No. 91227178

Expert Disclosures Due	1/30/2017
Discovery Closes	3/1/2017
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	4/15/2017
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	5/30/2017
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures	6/14/2017
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	7/29/2017
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures	8/13/2017
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	9/12/2017

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.