

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA721431**

Filing date: **01/19/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91225213
Party	Defendant Bodyjar, Inc.
Correspondence Address	BRIAN P. KINDER THE KINDER LAW GROUP, APC 19200 VON KARMAN AVE FL 4 IRVINE, CA 92612-8553  bkinder@tklglaw.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Brian P. Kinder
Filer's e-mail	bkinder@tklglaw.com
Signature	/BPK/
Date	01/19/2016
Attachments	2016.01.19 - Answer to Notice of Opposition.pdf(32024 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

*In the Matter of U.S. App. Serial No.: 86/132,609*  
*For the Mark: SIDE MISSION*  
*Applicant: Bodyjar, Inc.*  
*Filed: December 2, 2013*  
*Published: June 9, 2015*

Bauer Hockey, Inc.,	)	
	)	
Opposer,	)	
	)	Opposition No.: 91225213
v.	)	
	)	
Bodyjar, Inc.,	)	
	)	
Applicant.	)	
	)	

Commissioner for Trademarks  
Box TTAB  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

Bodyjar, Inc. (“Applicant”) hereby submits the following Answer in response to the Notice of Opposition filed by Bauer Hockey, Inc. (“Opposer”):

Applicant denies all averments in the first unnumbered paragraph of Opposer’s Notice of Opposition, including, without limitation, that Opposer is or will be damaged by Applicant’s registration of the mark SIDE MISSION.

1. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

2. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

3. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

4. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

5. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

6. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

7. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

8. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

9. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

10. Applicant admits the averments in paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.

11. Applicant admits the averments in paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition.

12. Applicant admits the averments in paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition.

13. Applicant admits the averments in paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition.

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

**Count 1**

14. Applicant repeats and realleges each and every statement set forth in paragraphs 1 through 14.

15. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

16. Applicant lacks information sufficient to confirm or deny the averments set forth in paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition, and on that basis denies said averments.

17. Applicant denies the averments set forth in last unnumbered paragraph of the Notice of Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that the Opposition be dismissed or denied with prejudice.

**Dated: January 19, 2016**

Respectfully submitted,

THE KINDER LAW GROUP



---

Brian P. Kinder, Esq.  
Attorneys for Applicant

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION** was served upon Opposer at the following address:

**Douglas A. Rettew  
Christie Baty Hudgins  
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP  
901 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20001**

by placing the same in an envelope properly sealed and addressed with postage pre-paid and depositing the same with the U.S. Postal Service on the date of execution identified below.

Executed on January 19, 2016, at Irvine, California.



---

Brian P. Kinder

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**