

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA662764**

Filing date: **03/24/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91220595
Party	Defendant CLINKLE CORPORATION
Correspondence Address	DAVID H. JAFFER PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP PO BOX 10500-IP MCLEAN, VA 22102 Docket_IP@pillsburylaw.com;David.Jaffer
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	DAVID H. JAFFER
Filer's e-mail	david.jaffer@pillsburylaw.com, diana.dearing@pillsburylaw.com, docket_ip@pillsburylaw.com
Signature	/DAVID H. JAFFER/
Date	03/24/2015
Attachments	Answer_to_Notice_of_Opposition.pdf(333729 bytes)

David H. Jaffer
 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
 Intellectual Property Group
 P.O. Box 10500
 McLean, VA 22102
 david.jaffer@pillsburylaw.com

Attorneys for Applicant

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
 BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD**

In the Matter of Trademark Application:

Serial Number	Mark	Class
86/354,306	TREATS	35

Treat and Company, LLC)	
Opposer)	Opposition No. 91220595
v.)	ANSWER TO NOTICE OF
Clinkle Corporation)	OPPOSITION
Applicant)	

Clinkle Corporation ("Applicant"), a Delaware corporation and the owner of U.S. Trademark Application No. 86/354,306, does hereby answer the Notice of Opposition filed by Treat and Company, LLC, as follows:

1. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

2. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

3. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

4. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

5. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

6. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition.

7. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition.

8. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition.

9. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

10. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

11. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

12. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

13. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

14. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

15. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

16. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge and information upon which to formulate a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition, and therefore denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

17. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition.

18. Applicant admits that Applicant's mark and Opposer's marks both include the word "treat," but denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 18 of the Notice of Opposition.

19. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition.

20. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20 of the Notice of Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully prays for a decision by the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board in its favor that Opposition No. 91220595 filed against it be denied.

Dated: March 17, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

David H. Jaffer
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW
PITTMAN LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 10500
McLean, VA 22102
Telephone: (650) 233-4510
Email: david.jaffer@pillsburylaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing Answer to Notice of Opposition was sent to counsel for Opposer by United States Postal Service as First Class mail on March 24, 2015, in an envelope addressed to:

Stephen R. Baird
Tiffany A. Blofield
225 South Sixth Street, Suite 3500
Minneapolis, MN 55402


Diana Dearing