

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA573007**

Filing date: **11/25/2013**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91212996
Party	Defendant Pearl Enterprises, LLC
Correspondence Address	JACOB LAUFER, ESQ. 1660 60TH ST BROOKLYN, NY 11204-2138  lauferja@aol.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Christopher R. Kinkade
Filer's e-mail	ckinkade@foxrothschild.com, ipdocket@foxrothschild.com
Signature	/Christopher R. Kinkade/
Date	11/25/2013
Attachments	Answer_to_Opposition_of_117_NE_1st_Avenue_LLC.pdf(34454 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

<b>117 NE 1ST AVENUE LLC,</b>	:	
	:	<b>Opposition No. 91212996</b>
<b>Opposer,</b>	:	<b>Mark: KAI TAK</b>
	:	
v.	:	<b>Serial No. 86/002,539</b>
	:	
<b>PEARL ENTERPRISES, LLC,</b>	:	<b>Published in <i>Official Gazette</i>: October 15, 2013</b>
	:	
<b>Applicant.</b>	:	
	:	

---

**ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION**

Applicant, Pearl Enterprises, LLC (“Pearl” or “Applicant”), by and through its undersigned attorneys in answer to the Notice of Opposition (the “Notice”) of the asserted Opposer, 117 NE 1st Avenue LLC (“Opposer”) states that Applicant has no direct knowledge of the legal status, address and authorization of or on behalf of the asserted Opposer as set forth in the preamble of the Notice of Opposition and thus demands strict proof of same. Applicant further denies knowledge of the allegation of damage and applicability of the sections of law set forth in the preamble

In response to the numbered paragraphs of the Notice, Applicant responds as follows:

1. Applicant admits that U.S. Application Serial No. 86/066,384 is for a mark "KAI TAK" and design purportedly for "jewelry" in International Class 14. Applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining averments of paragraph 1; accordingly they are denied.

2. Applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the averments of paragraph 2; accordingly they are denied.

3. Denied.

4. Applicant admits that it filed U.S. Application Serial No. 86/002,539 on July 3, 2013, for the mark "KAI TAI" for "apparatus for acupuncture therapy; apparatus for magnet therapy" in International Class 10. Applicant denies the remaining averments of paragraph 4.

5. Denied.

6. Denied.

7. Applicant denies that Opposer has any legally protectable rights in the purported mark. Applicant also lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the averments of paragraph 7; accordingly they are denied. By way of further response, the allegations of paragraph 7 are conclusions of law to which no response is required; accordingly they are denied.

8. Applicant denies that Opposer has any legally protectable rights in the purported mark. Applicant also lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the averments of paragraph 8; accordingly they are denied. By way of further response, the allegations of paragraph 8 are conclusions of law to which no response is required; accordingly they are denied.

9. Applicant denies that Opposer has any legally protectable rights in the purported mark. Applicant also lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the averments of paragraph 9; accordingly they are denied. By way of further response, the allegations of paragraph 9 are conclusions of law to which no response is required; accordingly they are denied.

10. Denied.

11. Admitted that Opposer has not expressly authorized Applicant's application for registration of the mark "KAI TAK" but denied that Applicant requires any such authorization from Opposer because Opposer does not have any protectable or current rights in the mark "KAI TAK" or any confusingly similar marks. Accordingly, the remaining averments of paragraph 11 are denied.

12. Applicant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the averments of paragraph 12; accordingly they are denied.

13. Denied.

#### **Additional Defenses**

By way of further answer, Applicant responds as follows:

1. Opposer's Notice of Opposition fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
2. Opposer lacks prior rights in Applicant's applied-for mark.
3. Opposer has not used its purported "KAI TAK" mark.
4. Opposer has abandoned its purported "KAI TAK" mark.
5. Opposer is not the real party in interest.
6. Opposer is not a lawfully organized or existing entity and thus lacks standing to bring this opposition.
7. Opposer is not a lawfully organized or existing entity and thus is not a valid owner of Application Serial No. 86/066,384.
8. Various actions claimed in the name of Opposer in support of the opposition including the filing of same are not properly authorized on behalf of Opposer.

9. Opposer does not own a purported "KAI TAK" mark and thus lacks standing to bring this opposition.

10. Opposer's use, if any, of the purported "KAI TAK" mark at all times was unlawful, because Opposer has not been lawfully authorized to import, package, manufacture, sell or distribute the claimed acupuncture stimulation products.

11. Opposer's cited Application Serial No. 86/066,384 is invalid or unenforceable.

12. Applicant reserves the right to raise additional affirmative defenses and to supplement those asserted herein upon discovery of further information and investigation into the Opposer's claims. These additional defenses cannot be articulated at this time due to the Applicant's failure to properly describe its claims with sufficient particularity in its trademark application and the Notice of Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that Application Serial No. 86/002,539 be allowed to proceed to registration, and that the Opposition be dismissed with prejudice.

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP

/Christopher R. Kinkade/

CHRISTOPHER R. KINKADE

CHRISTOPHER D. OLSZYK, JR.

997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. 3

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648-2311

Tel: (609) 896-3600

Fax: (609) 896-1469

Email: ckinkade@foxrothschild.com

colszyk@foxrothschild.com

Attorneys for Applicant

PEARL ENTERPRISES, LLC

Dated: November 25, 2013

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Christopher R. Kinkade, hereby certify that on this 25th day of November, 2013, a true and correct copy of Applicant's Answer to Notice of Opposition was served via email, by consent, upon the following counsel for Opposer:

Keesonga Gore  
MINOTT GORE, P.A.  
201 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2800  
Miami, FL 33131  
kjpg@minottgore.com, admin@minottgore.com

*Attorneys for Opposer*

/Christopher R. Kinkade/

Christopher R. Kinkade