

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA471588**

Filing date: **05/09/2012**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91203191
Party	Plaintiff Future Ads LLC
Correspondence Address	CHRISTOPHER J PALERMO HICKMAN PALERMO ET AL 1 ALMADEN BLVD FL 12 SAN JOSE, CA 95110 UNITED STATES trademarks@hptb-law.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Christopher J. Palermo
Filer's e-mail	trademarks@hptb-law.com
Signature	/ChristopherJPalermo#42056/
Date	05/09/2012
Attachments	91203191-Opp-Req-Extra-Time.pdf ( 2 pages )(21004 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
TRADEMARK TRIAL & APPEAL BOARD**

FUTURE ADS LLC	)	
	)	
Opposer,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Opposition No. 91/203,191
	)	
KENT G. ANDERSON	)	Serial No. 76/133,905
	)	
Applicant	)	
_____	)	

VIA ESTTA Online Submission  
Commissioner for Trademarks  
Trademark Trial & Appeal Board  
PO Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

**OPPOSITION TO APPLICANT’S REQUEST FOR TIME**

Opposer Future Ads LLC hereby opposes applicant Kent G. Anderson’s submission of May 3, 2012 requesting extra time in which to find legal counsel and requests the mailing of an order to show cause.

Anderson’s counsel of record first filed a withdrawal request on January 3, 2012. Anderson has had five months to find and retain substitute conflict-free counsel. Anderson has been filing trademark applications and participating in oppositions for twelve years and is sufficiently familiar with USPTO procedures and requirements—as indicated by Applicant’s use of certificate of mailing procedures for his submission—to be held to the strict requirements of the Board’s order of April 9, 2012, which allowed him 20 days to find substitute counsel or state that he is representing himself. Any conflict issues should have been investigated and resolved between April 9-29, 2012.

Moreover, the Board’s order did not provide for time extension requests, for good reason. Applicant has an extensive history, across his dozens of applications and oppositions, of

repeatedly requesting time extensions—typically not permitted by the rules—on the alleged grounds of needing special assistance, complexity of his applications, and other excuses. While many pro se applicants ought to be given extra courtesies by the Office and even permitted to circumvent certain rules, this Applicant is not one of them. As detailed in the Notice of Opposition and as evident from even a cursory review of Applicant’s numerous prior filings, Applicant’s tactic is to delay, delay, delay, and he is clearly familiar with the applicable rules and procedures. Applicant’s delays come at a significant cost to legitimate industry, and should be refused by the Office.

The Office should send an order to show cause why this proceeding should not be dismissed for lack of interest, as provided in its order of April 9, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

FUTURE ADS LLC

By 

Christopher J. Palermo

Hickman Palermo Truong Becker Bingham Wong  
LLP

1 Almaden Boulevard | Floor 12

San Jose, CA 95113

Tel. (408) 414-1080

Attorneys for Opposer

Dated: May 9, 2012

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the within Opposition to Applicant’s Request for Time has been served by United States Postal Service first class mail, with postage affixed thereon and fully prepaid, upon Kent G. Anderson, 925 N. Griffin, Bismarck, ND 58501, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2012.

By /ChristopherJPalermo/