

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA371790**

Filing date: **10/05/2010**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91188495
Party	Plaintiff Detroit Red Wings, Inc.
Correspondence Address	KELLEY A. LYNCH NHL ENTERPRISES, L.P. 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036 UNITED STATES mgold@nhl.com, tprochnow@nhl.com, klynch@nhl.com, anunez@nhl.com
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Settlement Discussions
Filer's Name	Thomas H. Prochnow
Filer's e-mail	tprochnow@nhl.com, klynch@nhl.com, anunez@nhl.com, vfaraci@nhl.com
Signature	/Thomas H. Prochnow/
Date	10/05/2010
Attachments	Stipulated Motion to Suspend 91188495 10-05-10.pdf (4 pages)(57821 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In the matter of trademark application Serial No. 77/415,965
Published in the Official Gazette on July 29, 2008

DETROIT RED WINGS, INC.	:	
	:	
Opposer,	:	Opposition No. 91188495
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
RED WING SHOE COMPANY, INC.	:	
	:	
Applicant.	:	
	:	

STIPULATED MOTION TO SUSPEND FOR GOOD CAUSE

Opposer, by and through its attorneys, hereby moves for an order suspending the proceedings in this matter for a period of sixty (60) days, until December 5, 2010, to allow the parties to continue their settlement negotiations. Applicant, by and through counsel, has consented to this motion. This motion is submitted in good faith and is not submitted for reasons of delay. The parties have most recently taken the following affirmative steps toward reaching a settlement.

In 1992, Applicant and Opposer entered into a coexistence agreement with respect to the United States. Subsequently, in 1999, Applicant and Opposer entered into a separate coexistence agreement with respect to Canada. Now, in light of the growth of the parties' respective businesses outside of North America and the evolution of the parties' respective areas of business in the United States and Canada, the parties are in the process of negotiating a worldwide coexistence agreement that would replace the two existing coexistence agreements governing the United States and Canada. Concurrent with these negotiations, the parties are

engaged in approximately a half-dozen pending trademark opposition proceedings in various jurisdictions worldwide, all of which have been suspended in light of the ongoing negotiations.

At this stage, the remaining issue to be negotiated in the settlement agreement is categorizing the particular goods in Class 25 in connection with which each party will be able to use and/or register its respective trademarks. On February 11, 2010, the parties engaged in a telephone conference to discuss this remaining issue. On March 18, 2010, Applicant sent to Opposer proposed language addressing the categorization of the particular goods in Class 25. On March 19, 2010, Opposer sent Applicant comments on Applicant's proposed language. On June 21, 2010, the parties engaged in a telephone conference discussing each parties' changes to this proposed language. On July 23, 2010, Opposer sent Applicant the settlement agreement including each parties' changes to the proposed language for review. On September 23, 2010, Applicant sent Opposer a new draft of the settlement agreement with a handful of proposed changes. On September 27, 2010, Opposer sent Applicant a new markup with several additional changes, and Applicant is currently reviewing that markup. The parties are requesting a 60-day suspension with the goal that the remaining terms of the settlement agreement can be finalized within that time.

In the event that the Board denies this motion, the parties request an extension of time for both sides to submit their initial disclosures until thirty (30) days after such denial.

Opposer respectfully submits that there is good cause for an extension pursuant to Rule 510.03 of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure and requests that the proceeding be suspended and the dates reset as follows:

Proceedings Resume	December 5, 2010
Initial Disclosures Due	January 4, 2011

Expert Disclosures Due	May 4, 2011
Discovery Closes	June 3, 2011
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	July 18, 2011
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Time	September 1, 2011
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures	September 16, 2011
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	October 31, 2011
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures	November 15, 2011
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	December 15, 2011

Dated: New York, New York
October 5, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Thomas H. Prochnow
Kelley A. Lynch
NHL Enterprises, L.P.
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 15th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 789-2000
Fax: (212) 789-2727
tprochnow@nhl.com
klynch@nhl.com

ATTORNEYS FOR OPPOSER
DETROIT RED WINGS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Stipulated Motion to Suspend for Good Cause has been served upon Applicant on this day, October 5, 2010, by e-mail addressed to:

Brent E. Routman, Esq.
Merchant & Gould P.C.
3200 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2215
broutman@merchantgould.com


Thomas H. Prochnow