

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

lg/gcp

Mailed: June 5, 2008

Opposition No. 91182509

JetBlue Airways Corporation

v.

Advanced Services, Inc.

George C. Pologeorgis, Interlocutory Attorney:

On June 3, 2008, applicant's attorneys filed a request to withdraw as applicant's counsel of record in this case.<sup>1</sup> The request to withdraw as counsel is in compliance with the requirements of Trademark Rule 2.19(b) and Patent and Trademark Rule 10.40, and is accordingly granted. The law firm of Day Pitney LLP no longer represents applicant in this proceeding.

In view of the withdrawal of applicant's counsel, and in accordance with standard Board practice, proceedings herein are **suspended**, and applicant is allowed until **thirty days** from the mailing date of this order to appoint new counsel, or to file a paper stating that applicant chooses to represent itself. If applicant files no response, the Board may issue an order to show cause why default judgment should not be entered against

Opposition No. 91182509

applicant based on applicant's apparent loss of interest in the case.

The parties will be notified by the Board when proceedings are resumed, and dates will be rescheduled at the appropriate time.

A copy of this order has been sent to all persons listed below.

cc:

Mr. Blake Byram  
Jet Black  
160 Terminal Road  
Georgetown, TX 78628

Advanced Services, Inc.  
510 S. Hanger Street  
Georgetown, TX 78628

Josphe F. Nicholson  
Kenyon & Kenyon , LLP  
One Broadway  
New York, NY 10004

Elyse A. Marcus  
Day Pitney LLP  
7 Time Square  
New York, NY 10036-7311

**NEWS FROM THE TTAB:**

The USPTO published a notice of final rulemaking in the Federal Register on August 1, 2007, at 72 F.R. 42242. By this notice, various rules governing Trademark Trial and Appeal Board inter partes proceedings are amended. Certain amendments have an effective date of August 31, 2007, while most have an effective date of November 1, 2007. For further information, the parties are referred to a reprint of the final rule and a chart summarizing the affected

---

<sup>1</sup> A copy of said request has been placed in both the opposition file and the application file.

rules, their changes, and effective dates, both viewable on the USPTO website via these web addresses:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242.pdf>

[http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242\\_FinalRuleChart.pdf](http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/notices/72fr42242_FinalRuleChart.pdf)

By one rule change effective August 31, 2007, the Board's standard protective order is made applicable to all TTAB inter partes cases, whether already pending or commenced on or after that date. However, as explained in the final rule and chart, this change will not affect any case in which any protective order has already been approved or imposed by the Board. Further, as explained in the final rule, parties are free to agree to a substitute protective order or to supplement or amend the standard order even after August 31, 2007, subject to Board approval. The standard protective order can be viewed using the following web address:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/ttab/tbmp/stndagmnt.htm>