ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA256795**

Filing date: **12/22/2008**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91171390
Party	Defendant World Market Center Venture, LLC
Correspondence Address	Ronald D. Green, Jr. Greenberg Traurig LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500N Las Vegas, NV 89169 UNITED STATES lvttab@gtlaw.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Ronald D. Green, Jr.
Filer's e-mail	lvttab@gtlaw.com, thompsonl@gtlaw.com, hindei@gtlaw.com
Signature	/Ronald D. Green, Jr./
Date	12/22/2008
Attachments	Status report - 91171390.pdf (2 pages)(10668 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

World Trade Centers Association, Inc. Opposer, v. World Market Center Venture, LLC, Applicant.	Opposition No. 91171390 Mark: WORLD MARKET CENTER Serial No. 78/477,289

JOINT STATUS REPORT OF PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY PERIOD

In response to the Trademark Trial and Appeal's Order dated November 19, 2008, Opposer and Applicant, through their attorneys, hereby present a synopsis of their settlement efforts as a basis for renewing their request that the Board grant the parties' Stipulation for Extension of Discovery Period filed concurrently in this Opposition to allow the parties to complete their settlement agreement.

Synopsis

Due to changed facts the parties are now attempting to formulate a substantially new approach with respect to settlement. To that end the parties have discussed settlement parameters that take into account the changed facts, and Opposer has furnished Applicant with a conformed draft agreement. The parties are in the process of fashioning mutually acceptable language.

Thus, in view of the fact that the parties are still working to finalize draft settlement agreement, a further extension of time is necessary and warranted to provide

additional opportunity for the parties to consummate an agreement. This extension is not sought merely to delay the proceeding.

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 2.120(a); T.B.M.P. § 403.04, the closing date of the discovery period may be extended by stipulation of the parties approved by the Board, or on motion (pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)) granted by the Board, or by order of the Board. Applicant and Opposer submit that in light of the facts that the parties have consented to the extension of the discovery period while the finalization of the settlement agreement is ongoing, good cause has been shown for the extension.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: December 22, 2008

/Henry J. Cittone/
George B. Snyder
Erica Klein
Henry J. Cittone
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036

Dated: December 22, 2008

/Ronald D. Green, Jr./
Mark G. Tratos
Ronald D. Green, Jr.
Laraine M. I. Burrell
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 500 North
Las Vegas, NV 89169