

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Mailed: September 17, 2003

Opposition No. 91151110

SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY
CORPORATION

v.

HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES,
INC.

Nancy L. Omelko, Interlocutory Attorney:

The Board's June 18, 2003 order suspended this proceeding for forty-five days to allow the parties time to discuss settlement and then, if the parties were unable to settle, set a fifteen-day period for the filing of a petition for an interference. The Board also noted that opposer had only paid for one class of services (those identified in the original application) although the services were subsequently amended and published in two classes. The Board allowed opposer thirty days in which to submit an amended notice of opposition and the additional opposition fee.

On August 28, 2003, opposer filed a consented motion to reset the deadlines for settlement discussions and to petition for declaration of an interference until October 17, 2003. Opposer did not file an amended notice of

opposition of an additional opposition fee.

Opposer's consented motion is hereby approved. See Trademark Rule 2.127(a). The parties have until October 17, 2003 to petition for declaration of an interference. If the opposition is not settled, it will proceed only in one class, specifically, class 42.

Proceedings remain otherwise suspended.