

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

WRITER

Mailed: September 9, 2002

Opposition No. 91150503

QUEST, INC.

v.

HOLZKNECHT, JAMES P.

Frances S. Wolfson, Interlocutory Attorney:

On August 22, 2002 , applicant filed a proposed amendment to its application Serial No. 75/875,361 , with opposer's consent.¹

By the proposed amendment applicant seeks to change the identification of services **from** "production and distribution of motion pictures; multimedia entertainment software production" **to** "production of multimedia entertainment software featuring management training programs and human resource performance improvement educational programming."

Inasmuch as the amendment is clearly limiting in nature as required by Trademark Rule 2.71(b), and because opposer consents thereto, it is approved and entered. See Trademark Rule 2.133(a).

¹ Applicant's communication does not indicate proof of service of a copy of same on counsel for opposer as required by Trademark Rule 2.119. In order to expedite this matter, a copy of said communication is forwarded herewith to opposer, but strict compliance with Trademark Rule 2.119 is required in all further papers filed with the Board.

If this resolves the dispute herein, opposer is allowed until thirty days from the mailing date of this order to file a withdrawal of the opposition, failing which the opposition will go forward on the application as amended. See Trademark Rule 2.106(c).²

-000-

² Opposer's August 6, 2002, request to suspend proceedings is moot.