

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
2900 Crystal Drive
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Mailed: February 26, 2002

Opposition No. 117,444

SARAMAR. L.L.C.,

v.

DUSSELDORFER LOWENSENF GmbH &
Co., KG

Karl Kochersperger, Paralegal

Answer was due (as last reset) in this case on October 12, 2001. Inasmuch as it appears that no answer has been filed, nor has applicant filed a motion to further extend its time to answer, notice of default¹ is hereby entered against applicant under Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a).

Applicant is allowed until thirty days from the mailing date of this order to show cause why judgment by default should not be entered against applicant in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b).

¹ Plaintiff's motion for default judgment filed December 5, 2001, is noted. Plaintiff failed to include a certificate of service in its motion. Because of this, plaintiff's motion cannot serve as a substitute for the Board's issuance of a notice of default.