

TIAB

**BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**



DAYTON ELECTRIC)
MANUFACTURING COMPANY,)
)
 Opposer,)
)
 v.)
)
 DAEWOO ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,)
)
 Applicant.)

03-03-2003

U.S. Patent & TMO/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #01

Opposition No. 109,407

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND
DISCOVERY AND TESTIMONY PERIODS**

03 MAR 12 4 53 PM '03

Pursuant to Trademark Rule 2.121, Opposer, Dayton Electric Manufacturing Company, and Applicant, Daewoo Electronics Co., Ltd., through their attorneys, hereby stipulate to and request that the Board extend the current deadlines for discovery and testimony periods by two (2) months, the schedule to be as follows:

THE PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY TO CLOSE: May 1, 2003

The testimony period for the party in the position of plaintiff to close (opening thirty days prior thereto): July 29, 2003


The testimony period for the party in the position of defendant to close (opening thirty days prior thereto): September 28, 2003

The rebuttal testimony period to close (opening fifteen days prior thereto): November 12, 2003

The parties have continued their efforts, discussions and negotiations to reach a settlement in this matter. The parties' counsel have continued to exchange settlement proposals,

and the parties are continuing their negotiation of settlement terms and counter-offers. Most recently, Opposer is in the process of crafting a counter-proposal. The additional time is requested to allow the parties time to continue exploration of counter-offers and a global settlement. In the Board's Order of July 2, 2001, the Board required that the parties show good cause for any further requests for extensions of time. The parties believe that they have shown good cause and their ongoing good faith efforts to resolve this matter. Applicant is located in Korea, and the issues in the proposed settlement agreement are global in nature. The settlement agreement will affect not only the instant U.S. opposition, but it addresses the parties' respective applications and registrations worldwide, since the parties' respective marks are the subjects of foreign proceedings as well. The parties believe that it is in the best interests of the parties and for judicial economy to execute a settlement agreement that addresses all of the worldwide issues at one time. Counsel for Applicant, Michael A. Makuch, agreed to this request for extension for time in a telephone conference with Myla Barefield, one of Opposer's counsel at the firm of Piper Rudnick, on February 27, 2003. This request is not made for any improper purpose or delay, but to allow the parties additional time to reach and finalize a complicated worldwide settlement agreement.


Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Monica L. Thompson
Linda Urbanik Johnson
PIPER RUDNICK
203 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 368-4000
Attorneys for Opposer

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING AND SERVICE

I, Linda Urbanik Johnson, one of the attorneys for Opposer, hereby certify that copies of this STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND DISCOVERY AND TESTIMONY PERIODS are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail, postage prepaid, in envelopes addressed to the Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513 BOX TTAB; and to Michael A. Makuch, Esq., Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP, Suite 800, 1850 M. Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, counsel for Applicant, on FEBRUARY 28, 2003.



Linda Urbanik Johnson
One of the Attorneys for Opposer