

From: Clark, Robert

Sent: 1/3/2014 1:16:59 PM

To: TTAB E Filing

CC:

Subject: U.S. TRADEMARK APPLICATION NO. 85732969 - ILABB - 80481/70941 - Request for
Reconsideration Denied - Return to TTAB

Attachment Information:

Count: 1

Files: 85732969.doc

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)
OFFICE ACTION (OFFICIAL LETTER) ABOUT APPLICANT'S TRADEMARK APPLICATION**

U.S. APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 85732969

MARK: ILABB



CORRESPONDENT ADDRESS:

ELLIOTT C BANKENDORF

PARTRIDGE IP LAW PC

321 N CLARK ST STE 720

CHICAGO, IL 60654

GENERAL TRADEMARK INFORMATION:

<http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/index.jsp>

APPLICANT: ILABB LIMITED

CORRESPONDENT'S REFERENCE/DOCKET NO:

80481/70941

CORRESPONDENT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

tm@partridgeiplaw.com

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION DENIED

ISSUE/MAILING DATE: 1/3/2014

The trademark examining attorney has carefully reviewed applicant's request for reconsideration and is denying the request for the reasons stated below. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §§715.03(a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), 715.04(a). The requirement(s) and/or refusal(s) made final in the Office action dated June 28, 2013 are maintained and continue to be final. *See* TMEP §§715.03(a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), 715.04(a).

In the present case, applicant's request has not resolved all the outstanding issue(s), nor does it raise a new issue or provide any new or compelling evidence with regard to the outstanding issue(s) in the final Office action. In addition, applicant's analysis and arguments are not persuasive nor do they shed new light on the issues. Accordingly, the request is denied.

The filing of a request for reconsideration does not extend the time for filing a proper response to a final Office action or an appeal with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (Board), which runs from the date the final Office action was issued/mailed. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §715.03, (a)(2)(B), (a)(2)(E), (c).

If time remains in the six-month response period to the final Office action, applicant has the remainder of the response period to comply with and/or overcome any outstanding final requirement(s) and/or refusal(s) and/or to file an appeal with the Board. TMEP §715.03(a)(2)(B), (c). However, if applicant has already filed a timely notice of appeal with the Board, the Board will be notified to resume the appeal. *See* TMEP §715.04(a).

The amendment to the identification of goods is acceptable.

Applicant's request to suspend proceedings in order to secure a consent agreement is denied. As a general rule, the USPTO will not suspend an application to give an applicant time to secure a consent agreement. TMEP Sec. 716.02

This application will be returned to the Board for resumption of the appeal.

/Robert Clark/

Robert Clark

Examining Attorney

Law Office 101

571-272-9144

Fax: 571-273-9101