

From: Rappaport, Seth A.

Sent: 9/4/2012 9:08:50 AM

To: TTAB EFiling

CC:

Subject: U.S. TRADEMARK APPLICATION NO. 85515059 - VODKA 13 BLIND
FAITH - 232586-32859 - Request for Reconsideration Denied - Return to TTAB

Attachment Information:

Count: 1

Files: 85515059.doc

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)
OFFICE ACTION (OFFICIAL LETTER) ABOUT APPLICANT'S TRADEMARK APPLICATION**

APPLICATION SERIAL NO. 85515059

MARK: VODKA 13 BLIND FAITH



CORRESPONDENT ADDRESS:

JULIE E KRETZSCHMER
HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 101
BLOOMFELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151

GENERAL TRADEMARK INFORMATION:
<http://www.uspto.gov/main/trademarks.htm>

APPLICANT: RBI Partners, LLC

CORRESPONDENT'S REFERENCE/DOCKET NO.:

232586-32859

CORRESPONDENT E-MAIL ADDRESS:

tmdocketing@honigman.com

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION DENIED

ISSUE/MAILING DATE: 9/4/2012

The trademark examining attorney has carefully reviewed applicant's request for reconsideration and is denying the request for the reasons stated below. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §§715.03(a), 715.04(a). The refusal made final in the Office action dated 07/12/2012 is maintained and continues to be final. *See* TMEP §§715.03(a), 715.04(a).

In the present case, applicant's request has not resolved all the outstanding issue(s), nor does it raise a new issue or provide any new or compelling evidence with regard to the outstanding issue(s) in the final Office action. In addition, applicant's analysis and arguments are not persuasive nor do they shed new light on the issues. Accordingly, the request is denied.

The filing of a request for reconsideration does not extend the time for filing a proper response to a final Office action or an appeal with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (Board), which runs from the date the final Office action was issued/mailed. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.64(b); TMEP §§715.03, 715.03(a), (c).

If time remains in the six-month response period to the final Office action, applicant has the remainder of the response period to comply with and/or overcome any outstanding final requirement(s) and/or refusal(s) and/or to file an appeal with the Board. TMEP §715.03(a), (c). However, if applicant has already filed a timely notice of appeal with the

Board, the Board will be notified to resume the appeal when the time for responding to the final Office action has expired. *See* TMEP §715.04(a).

If applicant has questions regarding this Office action, please telephone or e-mail the assigned trademark examining attorney. All relevant e-mail communications will be placed in the official application record; however, an e-mail communication will not be accepted as a response to this Office action and will not extend the deadline for filing a proper response. *See* 37 C.F.R. §2.191; TMEP §§304.01-.02, 709.04-.05. Further, although the trademark examining attorney may provide additional explanation pertaining to the refusal(s) and/or requirement(s) in this Office action, the trademark examining attorney may not provide legal advice or statements about applicant's rights. *See* TMEP §§705.02, 709.06.

/Seth Rappaport/
Seth Rappaport
Trademark Examining Attorney
Law Office 103
Phone: (571) 270-1508
Fax: (571) 270-2508
Email: seth.rappaport@uspto.gov