

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: July 2, 2008

In re Jarrow Formulas, Inc.

Serial No. 78937342

Filed: 7/25/2006

MARK D GIARRATANA
MCCARTER & ENGLISH LLP
185 ASYLUM ST,
HARTFORD, CT 06103-3495

Clara Vela, Paralegal Specialist

Applicant filed, on June 19, 2008, an amendment and a request for remand.

Applicant seeks remand in order for the Examining Attorney to consider the proposed amendment. Good cause having been shown, the request for remand is granted, action on the appeal is suspended, and the file is remanded to the Trademark Examining Attorney.

If the amendment is accepted, the appeal will be moot. If the amendment is found unacceptable, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action indicating the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable and return the file to the Board, which will then allow

applicant time to file its appeal brief.¹ However, if the Examining Attorney believes that the problems with the proposed identification can be resolved, the Examining Attorney is encouraged to contact applicant, either by telephone or written Office Action, in an attempt to do so.

¹ If the Examining Attorney believes that the proposed amendment is unacceptable because it exceeds the scope of the original identification, or the identification as it has subsequently been amended, then the Examining Attorney may not issue a final refusal unless application was previously advised that amendments broadening the identification are prohibited under Trademark Rule 2.71(a).