

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**  
2900 Crystal Drive  
Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Mailed: April 25, 2003

In re Mallinckrodt Inc.

Serial No. 78091511

Filed: 11/02/2001

Lawrence E. Evans  
Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin  
720 Olive Street-24th Floor  
St. Louis, MO 63101

Shirley Hassan, Paralegal Specialist

Applicant filed, on April 7, 2003, a response (received by the Board on April 24, 2003).

Action on the appeal is suspended and the file is remanded to the Trademark Examining Attorney for consideration of the response. The response contains a proposed amendment to the identification of goods. If the amendment is accepted and the mark is found registrable on the basis of this paper, the appeal will be moot. If the amendment is accepted but the refusal to register is maintained, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action so indicating, and return the file to the Board. The appeal will then be resumed and applicant allowed a

sufficient time in which to file its appeal brief. If the Examining Attorney determines that the amendment to the identification is not acceptable, the Examining Attorney should issue an Office Action to that effect, indicating the reasons why the proposed amendment is unacceptable and, if possible, advising applicant as to how to cure any deficiency in the identification. The amendment to the identification should be treated as raising a new issue, such that any refusal to accept the proposed identification cannot be made final until applicant is given an opportunity to respond.