

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: August 21, 2014

Concurrent Use No. 94002610

PM Pediatrics Management Group
LLC

V.

PM PEDIATRIC HOLDINGS, LLC

To: PM PEDIATRIC HOLDINGS LLC

Re: Defendant's Registration No. 3193477

Issued: January 2, 2007

Eric McWilliams, Supervisory Paralegal:

The applicant in application Serial No. 85344372, has applied for a concurrent use registration for the trademark or service mark set forth below.

Name of applicant : PM Pediatrics Management Group LLC

Applicant's address : 1 Hollow Lane Suite 301
Lake Success, NY 11042

Applicant's mark : PM PEDIATRICS

Goods or services : Medical Services in International Class 44

Filing date : 6/13/2011

Territory of use : The geographic area comprising the United States with the exception of the states of Tennessee, Kentucky, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and the following

counties in the States of Georgia:
Catoosa, Chattooga, Dade, Dawson,
Fannin, Floyd, Gilmer, Gordon, Habersham
Lumpkin, Murray, Pickens, Rabun,
Stephens, Towns, Union, Walker, White and
Whitfield

Attorney : Martin W. Schiffmiller

In its application, the applicant (plaintiff in this proceeding) has recited as an exception to its allegation of exclusive use of said mark, use by you of an identical or very similar mark. **The applicant is required to serve on you a copy of its application, including the specimens of use and mark drawing, within ten (10) days of the notice instituting this proceeding. See Trademark Rule 2.99(d)(1), 37 C.F.R. §2.99(d)(1) Pending receipt of the service copy, the contents of the application file may be viewed via the following USPTO web site:**
<http://tportal.uspto.gov/external/portal/tow> .

Your mark, goods or services, and territory of use, *as acknowledged in* the referenced application, are set out below in a summary of details of the registration.

Your mark : PM PEDIATRICS

Your goods or services : Pediatric Medical Care in International Class 44

Your territory of use : The states of Tennessee, Kentucky, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, and the following counties in the States of Georgia: Catoosa, Chattooga, Dade, Dawson, Fannin, Floyd, Gilmer, Gordon, Habersham, Lumpkin, Murray, Pickens, Rabun, Stephens, Towns, Union, Walker, White and Whitfield

Since the Office has determined that applicant's mark appears entitled to registration, subject to a concurrent use proceeding with you (as defendant in this proceeding) and, if applicable, any other party listed in the caption of this order, a concurrent use proceeding is hereby instituted under the provisions of Section 2(d) of the Trademark Act of 1946.

Proceedings will be conducted in accordance with the Trademark Rules of Practice, set forth in Title 37, of the Code of Federal Regulations ("Trademark Rules"). **The Trademark Rules may be viewed at the USPTO's trademarks webpage: <http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/index.jsp>. The Board's main webpage (<http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/process/appeal/index.jsp>) includes information on amendments to the Trademark Rules applicable to Board proceedings, on Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), Frequently Asked Questions about Board proceedings, and a web link to the Board's manual of procedure (the TBMP).**

Trademark Rule 2.99, under which this notice is given, provides that:

An answer to the notice is not required in the case of an applicant or registrant whose application or registration is specified in the application to register as concurrent user in the application, but a statement, if desired, may be filed within forty days after the mailing of the notice; in the case of any other party specified as a concurrent user in the application, an answer must be filed within forty days after the mailing of the notice.

You are allowed until September 30, 2014 to file an answer in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.99. (See Patent and Trademark Rule 1.7 for expiration of this or any deadline falling on a Saturday, Sunday or federal holiday.) If filed, the answer should be directed to the allegations relating to concurrent use recited in the plaintiff's application identified herein. **Other deadlines the parties must docket or calendar are either set forth below (if you are reading a mailed paper copy of this order) or are included in the electronic copy of this institution order viewable in the Board's TTABVue system at the following web address: <http://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/>.**

However, it is noted that most concurrent use proceedings result in a negotiated settlement and the parties are encouraged to promptly begin discussion of settlement. If the parties choose to begin settlement talks prior to the due date for the answer, they may stipulate to a suspension to accommodate settlement talks.

Defendant's answer and any other filing made by any party must include proof of service. See Trademark Rule 2.119.

If they agree to, the parties may utilize electronic means, e.g., e-mail or fax, during the proceeding for forwarding of service copies. See Trademark Rule 2.119(b)(6).

You must advise the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board of any relevant applications or registrations, other than those already referenced herein, which should be included in this concurrent use proceeding. Your response, if any, should be in writing and should accompany your answer.

Trademark Rule 2.126 pertains to the form of submissions. Paper submissions, including but not limited to exhibits and transcripts of depositions, not filed in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.126 may not be given consideration or entered into the case file.

ESTTA NOTE: For faster handling of all papers the parties need to file with the Board, the Board strongly encourages use of electronic filing through the Electronic System for Trademark Trials and Appeals (ESTTA). Various electronic filing forms, some of which may be used as is, and others which may require attachments, are available at <http://estta.uspto.gov>.

**DEADLINES and PERIODS FOR CONFERENCING,
DISCLOSURES, DISCOVERY and TESTIMONY ARE SET AS
INDICATED BELOW.**

Time to Answer	9/30/2014
Deadline for Discovery Conference	10/30/2014
Discovery Opens	10/30/2014
Initial Disclosures Due	11/29/2014
Expert Disclosures Due	3/29/2015
Discovery Closes	4/28/2015
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	6/12/2015
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	7/27/2015
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures	8/11/2015
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	9/25/2015
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures	10/10/2015
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	11/9/2015

As noted in the schedule of dates for this case, the parties are required to have a conference, during which they are expected to discuss: (1) the nature of and basis for their respective claims and defenses, (2) the possibility of settling the case or at least narrowing the scope of claims or defenses, and (3) arrangements relating to disclosures, discovery and introduction of evidence at trial, should the parties not agree to settle the case. See Trademark Rule 2.120(a)(2).

Discussion of the first two of these three subjects should include a discussion of whether the parties wish to seek mediation, arbitration or some other means for resolving their dispute. Discussion of the third subject should include a discussion of whether the Board's Accelerated Case Resolution (ACR) process may be a more efficient and economical means of trying the involved claims and defenses. Information on the ACR process is available at the Board's main webpage. Finally, if the parties choose to proceed with the disclosure, discovery and trial procedures that govern this case and which are set out in the Trademark Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, then they must discuss whether to alter or amend any such procedures, and whether to alter or amend the Standard Protective Order (further discussed below). Discussion of alterations or amendments of otherwise prescribed procedures can include discussion of limitations on disclosures or discovery, willingness to enter into stipulations of fact, and willingness to enter into stipulations regarding more efficient options for introducing at trial information or material obtained through disclosures or discovery.

The parties are required to conference in person, by telephone, or by any other means on which they may agree. A Board interlocutory attorney or administrative trademark judge will participate in the conference upon request of any party, provided that such participation is requested no later than ten (10) days prior to the deadline for the conference. See Trademark Rule 2.120(a)(2). The request for Board participation must be made through ESTTA or by telephone call to the interlocutory attorney assigned to the case, whose name can be found by referencing the TTABVUE record for this case at <http://ttabvue.uspto.gov/ttabvue/>. The parties should contact the assigned interlocutory attorney or file a request for Board participation through ESTTA only after the parties have agreed on possible dates and times for their conference. Subsequent participation of a Board attorney or judge in the conference will be by telephone and the parties shall place the call at the agreed date and time, in the absence of other arrangements made with the assigned interlocutory attorney.

The Board's Standard Protective Order is applicable to this case, but the parties may agree to supplement that standard order or substitute a protective agreement of their choosing, subject to approval by the Board. The standard order is available for viewing at: <http://www.uspto.gov/trademarks/process/appeal/guidelines/stndagmnt.jsp>. Any party without access to the web may request a hard copy of the standard order from the Board. The standard order does not automatically protect a party's confidential information and its provisions must be utilized as needed by the parties. See Trademark Rule 2.116(g).

Information about the discovery phase of the Board proceeding is available in chapter 400 of the TBMP. By virtue of amendments to

the Trademark Rules effective November 1, 2007, the initial disclosures and expert disclosures scheduled during the discovery phase are required in cases commenced on or after that date. The TBMP has not yet been amended to include information on these disclosures and the parties are referred to the August 1, 2007 Notice of Final Rulemaking (72 Fed. Reg. 42242) posted on the Board's webpage. The deadlines for pretrial disclosures included in the trial phase of the schedule for this case also resulted from the referenced amendments to the Trademark Rules, and also are discussed in the Notice of Final Rulemaking.

The Board allows parties to utilize telephone conferences to discuss or resolve a wide range of interlocutory matters that may arise during this case. In addition, the assigned interlocutory attorney has discretion to require the parties to participate in a telephone conference to resolve matters of concern to the Board. See TBMP § 502.06(a) (2d ed. rev. 2004).

The TBMP includes information on the introduction of evidence during the trial phase of the case, including by notice of reliance and by taking of testimony from witnesses. See TBMP §§ 703 and 704. Any notice of reliance must be filed during the filing party's assigned testimony period, with a copy served on all other parties. Any testimony of a witness must be both noticed and taken during the party's testimony period. A party that has taken testimony must serve on any adverse party a copy of the transcript of such testimony, together with copies of any exhibits introduced during the testimony, within thirty (30) days after the completion of the testimony deposition. See Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing after briefing is not required but will be scheduled upon request of any party, as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

If the parties to this proceeding are (or during the pendency of this proceeding become) parties in another Board proceeding or a civil action involving related marks or other issues of law or fact which overlap with this case, they shall notify the Board immediately, so that the Board can consider whether consolidation or suspension of proceedings is appropriate.

CC:

MARTIN W SCHIFFMILLER
KIRSCHSTEIN ISRAEL SCHIFFMILLER & PIERON
425 FIFTH AVENUE 5TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10016-2223
UNITED STATES

Concurrent Use No. 94002610

CC:
PM PEDIATRIC HOLDINGS LLC
5510 MILLSTONE DRIVE
OOLTEWAH, TN 37363
UNITED STATES