UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: October 13, 2011

Concurrent Use No. 94002126

Arcon Associates, Inc.

v.

Archon Group

v. Archon Group

v. ARCON Architects, Inc.

v.

Arcon Architectural

v.

ArCON Group

v.

IRT-ARCON

v.

Arcon Associates, Inc.

George C. Pologeorgis, Interlocutory Attorney:

By order dated August 11, 2011, the Board, inter alia, allowed concurrent use applicant Arcon Associates, Inc. forty five days from the mailing date of the order in which to submit proof of entitlement of registration with regard to

defaulted excepted users Archon Group of Irving Texas; Archon Group of Houston, Texas; Arcon Architects of League City, Texas; Archon Architectural of Salt Lake City, Utah; and ArCon Group of Ridgeland, Mississippi.

The record shows no response to the order within the time provided.

Accordingly, concurrent use applicant Arcon Associates, Inc. is allowed thirty days from the mailing date of this order to show cause why judgment should not be entered against it for failure to provide proof of entitlement of registration with regard the above-identified defaulted excepted users, notwithstanding its concurrent use agreement with excepted user IRT-Arcon of Oakland Park, Florida, failing which judgment will be entered against concurrent use applicant Arcon Associates, Inc., the concurrent use proceeding will be dissolved, and registration to concurrent use applicant Arcon Associates, Inc. will be refused. See TBMP § 1108 (3d ed. 2011).

Proceedings otherwise remain suspended pending a response to this order.