

**United States Patent and Trademark Office**  
**Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**  
P.O. Box 1451  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: September 19, 2005

Concurrent Use No. 94002124

Woodstock's Enterprises, Inc.  
(Oregon)

V.

Woodstock's Enterprises, Inc.  
(Calif.)

To: Woodstock's Enterprises, Inc.  
8305 Vickers Street, Suite 105  
San Diego, CA 92111

Re: Registration No. 2425957  
Issued: February 6, 2001

**Amy Matelski, Paralegal Specialist**

The applicant in application Serial No. 76199098 has applied for a concurrent use registration for the trademark or service mark set forth below.

Name of applicant : Woodstock's Enterprises, Inc.  
Applicant's address : 1045 N.W. King Boulevard  
CORVALLIS, OR 97330  
Applicant's mark : Woodstock's  
Goods or services : restaurant services  
Filing date : January 23, 2001  
Territory of use : the area comprising the entire  
United States, except the state  
of California  
Attorney : NANCY J. MORIARTY  
CHERNOFF VILHAUER MCCLUNG &  
STENZEL LLP  
1600 ODS TOWER 601 SW SECOND AVE

In its application, the applicant has recited as an exception to its allegation of exclusive use of said mark, use by you of an identical or very similar mark. Your mark, goods or services, and territory of use, *as acknowledged in the referenced application*, are set out below in a summary of details of the application. A copy of the application as filed is included herewith.

Your mark : Woodstock's  
Your goods or services : restaurant services  
Your territory of use : state of California

Since the Office has determined that applicant's mark appears entitled to registration, subject to a concurrent use proceeding with you, a concurrent use proceeding is hereby instituted under the provisions of Section 2(d) of the Trademark Act of 1946.

The proceeding will be conducted in accordance with the Rules of Practice in Trademark cases, as set out in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Rule 2.99 thereof, under which this notice is given, provides that:

An answer to the notice is not required in the case of an applicant or registrant whose application or registration is specified as a concurrent user in the application, but a statement, if desired, may be filed within forty days after the mailing of the notice; in the case of any other party specified as a concurrent user in the application, an answer must be filed within forty day after the mailing of the notice.

You are allowed until **40 days from the mailing date above** to file an answer in accordance with Rule 2.99. If filed, the answer should be directed to the allegations relating to concurrent use recited in the application identified herein.

You are also requested to advise the Board of any relevant applications or registrations, other than those already listed herein, which should be included in this concurrent use proceeding. Your response, if any, should

be in writing and should be filed on or before forty days from the mailing date above.

**DISCOVERY AND TESTIMONY PERIODS ARE SET AS INDICATED BELOW.**

IN EACH INSTANCE, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party WITHIN THIRTY DAYS after completion of the taking of testimony. Rule 2.125.

Discovery period to close: **April 7, 2006**

Thirty-day testimony period for party in position of plaintiff to close: **July 6, 2006**

Thirty-day testimony period for party in position of defendant to close: **September 4, 2006**

Fifteen-day rebuttal testimony period to close: **October 19, 2006**

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Rule 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Rule 2.129.

CC:

NANCY J. MORIARTY  
CHERNOFF VILHAUER MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP  
1600 ODS TOWER 601 SW SECOND AVENUE  
PORTLAND, OR 97204