

United States Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Mailed: December 1, 2004

Concurrent Use No. 94002033

Dr. Roof, Inc.

v.

Link, Steven M.

Frances S. Wolfson, Interlocutory Attorney:

It is noted by the Board that concurrent use applicant's (Dr. Roof, Inc.) time for filing a brief on the case has expired, and no brief has been filed. Trademark Rule 2.128(a)(3) provides that when a party in the position of plaintiff fails to file a main brief, an order may be issued allowing plaintiff until a set time, not less than fifteen days, in which to show cause why the Board should not treat such failure as a concession of the case. The rule further provides that if plaintiff fails to file a response to the order, or files a response indicating that it has lost interest in the case, judgment may be entered against plaintiff.

In view of the above, Dr. Roof, Inc. is allowed until thirty days from the mailing date of this order to show cause why the Board should not treat its failure to file a brief as a concession of the case, failing which a judgment dismissing the concurrent use proceeding with prejudice will be entered against Dr. Roof, Inc.