

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA737085**

Filing date: **03/31/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92062364
Party	Defendant Raihana Heuer
Correspondence Address	LINDY M HERMAN FISH & TSANG LLP 2603 MAIN ST STE 1000 IRVINE, CA 92614 UNITED STATES lherman@fishiplaw.com, twinter@winterllp.com, lripley@fishiplaw.com, trademarks@fishiplaw.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Lindy M. Herman
Filer's e-mail	lherman@fishiplaw.com, trademarks@fishiplaw.com
Signature	/lmh/
Date	03/31/2016
Attachments	Answer- FINAL.pdf(93890 bytes)

FISH & TSANG LLP

Lindy M. Herman, Esq. (CA Bar: 247017)
lherman@fishiplaw.com
2603 Main Street, Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614-4271
Tel: (949) 943-8300 | Fax: (949) 943-8358

WINTER LLP

Todd Winter, Esq. (CA Bar: 230971)
twinter@winterllp.com
1901 Newport Boulevard, Suite 350
Costa Mesa, CA 92627
Tel: (949) 999-2059 | Fax: 999-2059

Attorneys for Registrant, Raihana Heuer

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In re the matter of:

Trademark Registration Nos:
4078032, 4052051 and 4717526

For the Marks:
KANDUI and KANDUI VILLAS

Anom Suheri, et. al,

Petitioners

v.

Raihana Heuer,

Registrant

Proceeding No.: 92062364

ANSWER

Registrant, Raihana Heuer (“Registrant”), hereby submits its Answer to the Petition for Cancellation (“Petition”) filed by Petitioners, Anom Suheri, Raymond Wilcoxon, Anthony Marcotti, and D3 Holdings, LLC (together, the “Petitioners”) on September 29, 2015 as follows:

1. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Petition except that Registrant admits that Karangmajat Island is located in the remote archipelago known as the Mentawai Islands, a region world famous for perfect surfing waves.

2. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Petition.

3. Registrant is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Petition and on that basis denies the allegations therein.

4. Registrant admits that the Kandui Resort welcomed its first guests on April 9, 2006, who were from the United States. Registrant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Petition.

5. Registrant admits the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 5 of the Petition and denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Petition.

6. Registrant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Petition.

7. Registrant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Petition.

8. Registrant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Petition.

9. Registrant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Petition.

10. To the extent that Paragraph 10 of the Petition contends that Registrant named its resort Kandui Villas, it is admitted; Registrant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Petition.

11. Registrant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Petition.

12. To the extent that Paragraph 12 of the Petition contends that Registrant applied to register the trademarks KANDUI and KANDUI RESORT, it is admitted; to the extent that Paragraph 12 of the Petition recites details of the registrations at issue, Registrant admits that it is a partial recitation of the details of the registrations at issue; Registrant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Petition.

13. To the extent that Paragraph 13 of the Petition contends that the domain www.KanduiVillas.com was registered on September 12, 2007, it is admitted. Registrant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of the Petition.

14. To the extent that Paragraph 14 of the Petition contends that Kandui Villas promotes its resort to United States citizens and that Kandui Villas owns and maintains social media accounts on Facebook®, Twitter®, and Instagram®, it is admitted. Registrant denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Petition.

15. To the extent that Paragraph 15 displays the marks of Kandui Resort and Kandui Villas, Registrant admits this. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Petition and in particular denies that Petitioners own the KANDUI RESORT mark displayed under “Petitioners” and further denies any allegation that a side-by-side comparison is the appropriate analysis in determining a likelihood of confusion.

16. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Petition.

17. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Petition.

18. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Petition.

19. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Petition.

20. Registrant denies that Johnny Ocean owned common law rights in the KANDUI and KANDUI RESORT marks; Registrant is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Petition and on that basis denies the allegations therein.

21. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Petition.

22. Pursuant to the Board's ruling on Registrant's motion to dismiss, Paragraph 22 of the Petition has been stricken. (Docket No. 9)

23. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Petition.

24. Registrant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Petition.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense ***Failure To State A Claim For Relief***

1. Registrant asserts that the Petition fails to state facts sufficient to constitute any claim for relief against Registrant.

Second Affirmative Defense ***Unclean Hands***

2. Registrant asserts that by virtue of Petitioners' conduct, the Petition and its claim(s) for relief therein are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

Third Affirmative Defense ***Waiver***

3. Registrant asserts that Petitioners have waived any claim(s) against Registrant arising out of the matters alleged in the Petition.

Fourth Affirmative Defense ***Estoppel***

4. Registrant asserts that Petitioners are estopped from asserting any claim(s) against Registrant arising out of the matters alleged in the Petition.

Fifth Affirmative Defense ***Acquiescence***

5. Registrant asserts that the Petition and its claim(s) for relief therein are barred by the doctrine of acquiescence.

Sixth Affirmative Defense ***Laches***

6. Registrant asserts that the Petition and its claim(s) for relief therein are barred by the doctrine of laches.

Seventh Affirmative Defense
Other Affirmative Defenses

9. Registrant intends to rely on any additional affirmative defenses that become available or apparent during discovery and thus reserves the right to amend its answer to assert such additional affirmative defense.

WHEREFORE, Registrant believes that Petitioners will not be damaged by the registration of Registrant's mark, and prays that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board dismiss with prejudice the Petition to cancel Registrant's marks for KANDUI and KANDUI VILLAS.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 31, 2016

/lmh/

Lindy M. Herman, Esq. (CA Bar: 247017)
Fish & Tsang LLP
2603 Main Street, Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614-4271
Tel: (949) 943-8300 | Fax: (949) 943-8358

Winter LLP
Todd Winter, Esq. (CA Bar: 230971)
1901 Newport Boulevard, Suite 350
Costa Mesa, CA 92627
Tel: (949) 999-2059 | Fax: 999-2059

Attorneys for Registrant, Raihana Heuer

