

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA696883**

Filing date: **09/18/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92060849
Party	Plaintiff FINAM
Correspondence Address	KRISTEN A MOGAVERO COLLEN IP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW PC THE HOLYOKE-MANHATTAN BUILDING, 80 S HIGHLAND AVENUE OSSINING, NY 10562 UNITED STATES kmogavero@collenip.com, docket@collenip.com, jcollen@collenip.com
Submission	Motion to Amend Pleading/Amended Pleading
Filer's Name	Kristen A. Mogavero
Filer's e-mail	Kmogavero@collenip.com, docket@collenip.com, jcollen@collenip.com
Signature	/Kristen A. Mogavero/
Date	09/18/2015
Attachments	Motion to Amend - Redacted.pdf(50388 bytes) First Amended Petition for Cancellation - Redacted.pdf(2713533 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

<p>FINAM,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>Sunkiss Thermoreactors, Inc.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Registrant.</p>	<p>Cancellation No.: 92060849</p> <p>Registration No.: 1,200,333</p> <p>Mark: SUNKISS</p>
--	---

**PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN
AMENDED PETITION FOR CANCELLATION**

Pursuant to TBMP § 507 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), Petitioner now moves for leave to amend its Petition for Cancellation to add as a ground for cancellation that Registrant is not the rightful owner of the SUNKISS mark.

BACKGROUND

On February 6, 2015, Petitioner filed a Petition to Cancel Registration No. 1,200,333 for the mark SUNKISS on the basis of abandonment. Registrant filed and served its Answer on March 23, 2015, and the parties have proceeded forward without any suspension or extension of discovery or trial dates.¹ Petitioner now seeks to amend its Petition for Cancellation to include a claim that Registrant is not the proper owner of the SUNKISS mark. The documentary evidence which supports this claim is already in the possession of both parties. In fact, the contracts which form the basis for this claim have been produced by both parties in discovery.

¹ Petitioner notes that on May 21, 2015 it filed a consented motion to extend only the deadline for the parties to exchange initial disclosures, but did not extend any other calendar dates. DE 6. This motion was granted by the Board on May 23, 2015. DE 7.

Additionally, the resolution of Petitioner's abandonment claim and determination of ownership of the SUNKISS mark depend on overlapping documents and evidence. Therefore, the addition of this claim merely serves the purposes of amending the pleadings to reflect the evidence already of record and already in the possession of the Registrant. As such, in the interest of justice, Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Amend should be granted.

STANDARD

Leave to amend a pleading "must be freely given when justice so requires." TBMP § 507.02 (3d ed. 2011); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2) ("The court should freely give leave when justice so requires."). Amendments to pleadings in trademark oppositions are governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, where "[u]nder the more liberal standard of Rule 15(a), the trial court should grant leave to file absent a substantial reason for denial, such as undue delay, bad faith, dilatory motive, repeated failure to cure deficiencies with other amendments, futility of the amendment, or undue prejudice to the opposing party." *Pressure Products Med. Supplies, Inc. v. Greatbatch Ltd.*, No. 2008-1602, 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 6132, *22 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 24, 2010); *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

DISCUSSION

Granting leave to amend the Notice of Opposition will serve the interests of justice by allowing Petitioner to assert meritorious claims, thus ensuring that the Board's ultimate decision on the merits will be based on a record that most accurately and completely reflects the parties' respective rights. This is precisely the purpose of the Rule: "[T]he thrust of Rule 15 is . . . that cases should be tried on their merits." *Jet, Inc. v. Sewage Aeration Sys.*, 165 F.3d 419, 425 (6th Cir. 1999); *U.S. v. Hougham*, 364 U.S. 310, 317 (U.S. 1960) ("the purpose of pleading is to

facilitate a proper decision on the merits”). In addition to allowing the Board to decide the merits on a complete record, Petitioner’s motion is timely made during the discovery period, and will not prejudice Registrant. Further, as the facts will demonstrate, Petitioner’s motion is not futile.

I. REGISTRANT WILL SUFFER NO PREJUDICE IF PETITIONER IS GRANTED LEAVE TO AMEND

Of the factors before the Board on a motion for leave to amend, “the consideration of prejudice to the opposing party carries the greatest weight.” *Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.*, 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003). *See also, Howey v. United States*, 481 F.2d 1187, 1190 (9th Cir. 1973) (“the crucial factor is the resulting prejudice to the opposing party”). Indeed, whether the amendment will prejudice the non-moving party “is the ‘touchstone of the inquiry under rule 15(a).’” *Pressure Products*, at *23.

“Timing plays a large role in the Board’s determination of whether an adverse party would be prejudiced by allowance of an amendment and as a result, long, unexplained delays may render the amendment untimely.” *TBC Brands, LLC v. Sullivan*, 2008 TTAB LEXIS 589, *3 (TTAB 2008) (citing *M. Aron Corp. v. Remington Products, Inc.* 222 U.S.P.Q. 93, 96 (TTAB 1984)). The prejudice inquiry also considers the *relative* timing of a Motion to Amend. Courts often look to the close of discovery as a reference point in determining whether granting leave to amend will result in undue prejudice. *See FDL, Inc. v. Simmons Co.*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24195, *39-40 (S.D. Ind. Nov. 17, 2003) (no prejudice where discovery remained open, and distinguishing cases where leave is sought after close of discovery or final judgment). As the Board has noted, “[a]ny potential prejudice may be ameliorated by the resetting and extension of discovery and trial dates, particularly where the discovery period was still open when the motion

was brought.” 99 [cents] *Only Stores v. U.S. Dream, Inc.*, Opposition No. 91116977, 2004 TTAB LEXIS 475, *5-6 (TTAB Aug. 23, 2004).

Here, Petitioner is promptly moving the Board prior to the scheduled close of discovery. The promptness of Petitioner’s Motion is best appreciated in light of the Board’s decision in *Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc.* In that case, the Board held that although the opposer sought leave to amend to assert a registration it obtained during proceedings – *eighteen months* after obtaining that registration – the passage of time was not prejudicial to the applicant. *Anheuser-Busch, Inc. v. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc.*, 1998 TTAB LEXIS 6, *2-3 (TTAB Jan. 16, 1998). The Board explained that the applicant would not be prejudiced as “the proceeding is still in the discovery stage and [the applicant] will have the opportunity to assert against the registration any available defense or counterclaim.” *Id.* at *3.

Registrant in this case will likewise suffer no prejudice, as “[a]ny potential prejudice may be ameliorated by the resetting and extension of discovery and trial dates,” 99 [cents] *Only Stores*, 2004 TTAB LEXIS 475 at *5. Petitioner will not contest an extension of the discovery and trial dates. As there have been no suspensions or extensions of time in this proceeding thus far, an extension of the discovery and trial dates by 60 days does not result in any prejudice to Registrant, and would allow for a decision on the merits.

The issues presented by Petitioner’s proposed amendments are premised primarily upon agreements and contracts that were in the possession of both parties and have also been exchanged during discovery. Petitioner has already served follow-up discovery requests on the issues underlying its proposed amendment, further reducing the need for any extension of discovery. It should also be noted that depositions have not yet been taken by either party, eliminating the possibility of having to depose a witness on more than one occasion.

II. PETITIONER HAS NOT UNDULY DELAYED IN SEEKING LEAVE TO AMEND

“[D]elay itself is an insufficient ground to deny amendment.” *Datascope Corp. v. SMEC, Inc.*, 962 F.2d 1043, 1045 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Rather, the delay must be “undue,” *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962). The Board has held that “the concept of undue delay is inextricably linked with the concept of prejudice to the non-moving party.” *Marshall Field & Co. v. Mrs. Field’s Cookies*, 17 U.S.P.Q.2d 1652 (TTAB 1990). Courts have similarly recognized the role of prejudice in assessing whether delay has been “undue.” See *Mayeaux v. La. Health Serv. & Indem. Co.*, 376 F.3d 420, 427 (5th Cir. 2004) (“[D]elay alone is an insufficient basis for denial of leave to amend: The delay must be undue, i.e., it must prejudice the nonmoving party or impose unwarranted burdens on the court.”); *Block v. First Blood Assocs.*, 988 F.2d 344, 350 (2d Cir. 1993) (“Mere delay, however, absent a showing of bad faith or undue prejudice, does not provide a basis for a district court to deny the right to amend.”); *Edwards v. City of Goldsboro*, 178 F.3d 231, 242 (4th Cir. 1999) (“Delay alone is an insufficient reason to deny leave to amend. Rather, the delay must be accompanied by prejudice, bad faith, or futility.”).

As stated above, there is no prejudice to the Registrant as the non-moving party. Petitioner has filed this Motion before the end of discovery, is willing to extend discovery and trial dates, and the claims Petitioner seeks to assert rely on documents already in the possession of both parties. Moreover, the claims that Petitioner seeks to include, namely that Registrant is not the rightful owner of the SUNKISS mark, are closely related to the pending abandonment claims. As explained below, by failing to exercise quality control over the use of the SUNKISS mark and allowing such control to be exercised by a third party, Registrant either abandoned the

SUNKISS mark, or never owned it in the first place. Furthermore, ownership belongs to the party which retains the right to monitor and control the quality of goods with which the SUNKISS mark is used. This is not an instance where Petitioner first pursued an abandonment claim and later seeks to amend a completely unrelated fraud or descriptiveness claim. Petitioner’s abandonment claim and allegation that Registrant is not the rightful owner are closely related and the determination of both rely on overlapping documents and evidence.

III. PETITIONER’S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS ARE NOT FUTILE

“‘Futility’ means that the complaint, as amended, would fail to state a claim upon which relief could be granted.” *Glassman v. Computervision Corp.*, 90 F.3d 617, 623 (1st Cir. 1996). “[W]hether or not the moving party can actually prove the allegation(s) sought to be added to a pleading is a matter to be determined after the introduction of evidence at trial or in connection with a proper motion for summary judgment,” and should not bear on whether the Board should grant leave to amend. TBMP § 507.02.

Petitioner’s claim that Registrant is not the rightful owner of the SUNKISS mark is premised upon [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

V. **THE BOARD SHOULD SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PENDENCY OF THIS MOTION**

Petitioner submits that the above demonstrates that a suspension of proceedings is warranted. Petitioner submits that it would be inefficient for proceedings to continue while Petitioner's Motion remains pending, as the parties could very well end up taking and defending testimony depositions without knowing for certain what claims will be presented to the Board. For these reasons, Petitioner requests that proceedings be suspended pending a decision on the instant motion.

VI. **PETITIONER CONSENTS TO AN EXTENSION OF THE TRIAL CALENDAR**

To the extent necessary, Petitioner consents to a sixty (60) day extension of all dates in the trial calendar.

CONCLUSION

Because Petitioner's amendment is timely, will not prejudice Registrant, and is not futile, Petitioner respectfully requests that its motion be GRANTED.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: September 18, 2015

By: /Kristen A. Mogavero/
Jess M. Collen
Kristen A. Mogavero
COLLEN IP
The Holyoke-Manhattan Building
80 South Highland Avenue
Ossining, New York 10562
Tel. (914) 941-5668
Fax (914) 941-6091
Attorneys for Petitioner FINAM

JMC/KAM:cs

SHOULD ANY OTHER FEE BE REQUIRED, THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IS
HEREBY REQUESTED TO CHARGE SUCH FEE TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 03-2465:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING FILED ELECTRONICALLY
WITH THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

Date: September 18, 2015

By: /Kristen A. Mogavero/

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Carina Scorcia, hereby certify I caused a true copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Motion for Leave to File an Amended Petition for Cancellation to be served upon Registrant's Attorney of Record at the following address via first class mail, postage pre-paid, on this 18th day of September, 2015:

Levy & Grandinetti
PO Box 18385
Washington, DC 20036-8385
Attn.: Ms. Rebecca J. Stempien Coyle
mail@levygrandinetti.com

Carina Scorcia

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

FINAM,		
	Petitioner,	Cancellation No.: 92060849
v.		Registration No.: 1,200,333
Sunkiss Thermoreactors, Inc.,		Mark: SUNKISS
	Registrant.	

FIRST AMENDED PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

The Petitioner FINAM, a société à responsabilité limitée (sarl) legally organized under the laws of France, having an address of Zone d'Activités Actipole 2B, Chemin des Vignes BRESSOLLES F-01360, France (hereinafter “FINAM” or “Petitioner”), believes that it would be damaged by the continued registration of the above-identified mark, and hereby petitions to cancel such registration, pursuant to 15 USC § 1064 and 37 CFR § 2.111.

As grounds for its Petition to Cancel, it is alleged that:

(1) On July 6, 1982, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued Registration No. 1,200,333 for the mark SUNKISS to Calinter S.A in International Class 011.

(2) Upon information and belief, Registration No. 1,200,333 for the mark SUNKISS is presently owned by assignment by Sunkiss Thermoreactors, Inc. (“Registrant”).

(3) Petitioner FINAM is the owner of Application Serial No. 86/500,513 for the mark SUNKISS in International Classes 011 and 037, filed on January 12, 2015. (Exhibit A)

(4) Petitioner FINAM is the owner of Application Serial No. 86/500,517 for the mark SUNKISS THERMOREACTORS in International Classes 011 and 037, filed on January 12, 2015. (Exhibit B)

(5) Petitioner FINAM uses its SUNKISS mark in connection with goods in International Class 011 and is the owner of multiple registrations for the mark SUNKISS in International Class 011 in several countries throughout the world, including but not limited to Chile, China, Denmark, Ireland, and France.

(6) Upon information and belief, Registrant has abandoned its rights in some or all of the goods identified in Registration No. 1,200,333.

(7) Upon information and belief, Registrant does not currently use the SUNKISS mark on all goods identified in Registration No. 1,200,333.

(8) Upon information and belief, Registrant has not used its SUNKISS mark in commerce on or in connection with some or all of the goods identified in Registration No. 1,200,333 for a period of three years.

(9) Upon information and belief, Registrant has intended to abandon use of the SUNKISS mark on some or all of the goods identified in Registration No. 1,200,333.

(10) Upon information and belief, Registrant has no intention to use the SUNKISS mark on or in connection with its goods.

(18) The aforementioned [REDACTED] establish that Registrant is not the rightful owner of the SUNKISS mark.

(19) In the alternative, Registrant's use is unlawful and therefore cannot give rise to ownership rights in the SUNKISS mark.

(20) Registrant has claimed ownership of the SUNKISS mark in violation of Petitioner's rights under the laws of Quebec, Canada.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that Registration No. 1,200,333 be cancelled and that this cancellation proceeding be sustained in favor of Petitioner.

The applicable filing fee in the amount of \$300.00 for this petition was submitted on February 6, 2015.

Respectfully submitted for
Petitioner FINAM

By: /Kristen A. Mogavero/
Jess M. Collen
Kristen A. Mogavero
COLLEN *IP*
The Holyoke-Manhattan Building
80 South Highland Ave
Ossining, NY 10562
(914) 941-5668
(914) 941-6091
kmogavero@collenip.com

DATED: September 18, 2015

SHOULD ANY OTHER FEE BE REQUIRED, THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IS HEREBY REQUESTED TO CHARGE SUCH FEE TO OUR DEPOSIT ACCOUNT 03-2465.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING FILED THROUGH THE ELECTRONIC SYSTEM FOR TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEALS IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE.

By: /Kristen A. Mogavero/ Date: September 18, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Carina Scordia, hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing Petitioner's Amended Petition for Cancellation has been served by via First Class Mail, postage prepaid, and by e-mail, a copy thereof on September 18, 2015 to the following address:

Levy & Grandinetti
PO Box 18385
Washington, DC 20036-8385
Attn.: Ms. Rebecca J. Stempien Coyle
mail@levygrandinetti.com



EXHIBIT A



Trademark Status & Document Retrieval (TSDR) ?

SEARCH	MULTI-SEARCH ?
US Serial No	86500513
SEARCH	REGISTER

Status results found

STATUS	DOCUMENTS ?	Download	Print Preview
Generated on: This page was generated by TSDR on 2015-02-03 16:59:53 EST			
Mark: SUNKISS			
SUNKISS			
US Serial Number:	86500513	Application Filing Date:	Jan. 12, 2015
Register:	Principal		
Mark Type:	Trademark, Service Mark		
Status:	New application will be assigned to an examining attorney approximately 3 months after filing date.		
Status Date:	Jan. 15, 2015		
Mark Information Expand All			
Mark Literal Elements:	SUNKISS		
Standard Character Claim:	Yes. The mark consists of standard characters without claim to any particular font style, size, or color.		
Mark Drawing Type:	4 - STANDARD CHARACTER MARK		
Goods and Services			
Note:			
The following symbols indicate that the registrant/owner has amended the goods/services:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brackets [] indicate deleted goods/services. • Double parenthesis (()) identify any goods/services not claimed in a Section 15 affidavit of incontestability, and • Asterisks * identify additional (new) wording in the goods/services. 			
For:	Heating apparatus, air conditioning apparatus, cooling apparatus, and drying apparatus; industrial heating apparatus, namely, heat generating apparatus, polymerisation and melting apparatus and installations		
International Class(es):	011 - Primary Class	U.S Class(es):	013, 021, 023, 031, 034
Class Status:	ACTIVE		
Basis:	1(b)		
For:	Reparation and maintenance of heating apparatus, air conditioning apparatus, cooling apparatus, and drying apparatus, reparation and maintenance of industrial heating apparatus, namely, heat generating apparatus, polymerisation and melting apparatus and installations		
International Class(es):	037 - Primary Class	U.S Class(es):	100, 103, 106
Class Status:	ACTIVE		
Basis:	1(b)		
Basis Information (Case Level)			

Filed Use:	No	Currently Use:	No	Amended Use:	No
Filed ITU:	Yes	Currently ITU:	Yes	Amended ITU:	No
Filed 44D:	No	Currently 44D:	No	Amended 44D:	No
Filed 44E:	No	Currently 44E:	No	Amended 44E:	No
Filed 66A:	No	Currently 66A:	No		
Filed No Basis:	No	Currently No Basis:	No		

Current Owner(s) Information

Owner Name:	FINAM		
Owner Address:	Zone d'Activités Actipole 2B Chemin des Vignes BRESSOLLES F-01360 FRANCE		
Legal Entity Type:	société à responsabilité limitée (sarl)	State or Country Where Organized:	FRANCE

Attorney/Correspondence Information

Attorney of Record	
Attorney Name:	Jess M. Collen
Attorney Primary Email Address:	trademark@collenip.com
Docket Number:	R222
Attorney Email Authorized:	Yes

Correspondent

Correspondent Name/Address:	JESS M. COLLEN Collen Ip Intellectual Property Law P C 80 S Highland Ave Ossining, NEW YORK 10562-5615 UNITED STATES		
Phone:	(914) 941-5668	Fax:	(914) 941-6091
Correspondent e-mail:	trademark@collenip.com	Correspondent e-mail Authorized:	Yes

Domestic Representative

Domestic Representative Name:	Jess M. Collen	Phone:	(914) 941-5668
Fax:	(914) 941-6091		
Domestic Representative e-mail:	trademark@collenip.com	Domestic Representative e-mail Authorized:	Yes

Prosecution History

Date	Description	Proceeding Number
Jan. 22, 2015	NEW APPLICATION OFFICE SUPPLIED DATA ENTERED IN TRAM	
Jan. 15, 2015	NEW APPLICATION ENTERED IN TRAM	

TM Staff and Location Information

TM Staff Information - None	
File Location	
Current Location: NEW APPLICATION PROCESSING	Date In Location: Jan. 15, 2015

Assignment Abstract Of Title Information - None recorded

Proceedings - None recorded

Download Adobe Reader

If you are the applicant or the applicant's attorney and have questions about this file, please contact the Trademark Assistance Center



- ▶ Accessibility
- ▶ Privacy Policy
- ▶ Terms of Use
- ▶ Security
- ▶ Emergencies/Security Alerts

- ▶ Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act
- ▶ Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation (NoFEAR) Act
- ▶ Budget & Performance

- ▶ Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
- ▶ Department of Commerce NoFEAR Act Report
- ▶ Regulations.gov
- ▶ STOP!Fakes.gov

- ▶ Strategy Targeting Organized Piracy (STOP!)
- ▶ Information Quality Guidelines
- ▶ Department of Commerce
- ▶ USPTO Webmaster

EXHIBIT B



United States Patent and Trademark Office
An Agency of the Department of Commerce

Trademark Status and Document Retrieval

[About USPTO](#)
[Patents](#)
[Trademarks](#)
[IP Law & Policy](#)
[Products & Services](#)
[Careers](#)
[Inventors](#)
[News & Notices](#)
[eBusiness/Alerts](#)
[FAQs](#)
[For Kids](#)

USPTO > Trademark > TSDR > Trademark Search

TSDR FAQ'S

Trademark Status & Document Retrieval (TSDR) ?

SEARCH	MULTI-SEARCH ?
US Serial No	86500517
<input type="button" value="Status"/>	<input type="button" value="Documents"/>

Status results found

STATUS	DOCUMENTS ?	<input type="button" value="Download"/>	<input type="button" value="Print Preview"/>
---------------	--------------------	---	--

Generated on: This page was generated by TSDR on 2015-02-03 17:00:47 EST

Mark: SUNKISS THERMOREACTORS

SUNKISS THERMOREACTORS

US Serial Number: 86500517

Application Filing Date: Jan. 12, 2015

Register: Principal

Mark Type: Trademark, Service Mark

Status: New application will be assigned to an examining attorney approximately 3 months after filing date.

Status Date: Jan. 15, 2015

▼ Mark Information ▼ Expand All

Mark Literal Elements: SUNKISS THERMOREACTORS

Standard Character Claim: Yes. The mark consists of standard characters without claim to any particular font style, size, or color.

Mark Drawing Type: 4 - STANDARD CHARACTER MARK

▼ Goods and Services

Note:
The following symbols indicate that the registrant/owner has amended the goods/services:

- Brackets [] indicate deleted goods/services;
- Double parenthesis ((.)) identify any goods/services not claimed in a Section 15 affidavit of incontestability; and
- Astensks * .: identify additional (new) wording in the goods/services.

For: Heating apparatus, air conditioning apparatus, cooling apparatus, and drying apparatus; industrial heating apparatus, namely, heat generating apparatus, polymerisation and melting apparatus and installations

International Class(es): 011 - Primary Class

U.S Class(es): 013, 021, 023, 031, 034

Class Status: ACTIVE

Basis: 1(b)

For: Reparation and maintenance of heating apparatus, air conditioning apparatus, cooling apparatus, and drying apparatus; Reparation and maintenance of industrial heating apparatus, namely, heat generating apparatus, polymerisation and melting apparatus and installations

International Class(es): 037 - Primary Class

U.S Class(es): 100, 103, 106

Class Status: ACTIVE

Basis: 1(b)

▼ Basis Information (Case Level)

Filed Use:	No	Currently Use:	No	Amended Use:	No
Filed ITU:	Yes	Currently ITU:	Yes	Amended ITU:	No
Filed 44D:	No	Currently 44D:	No	Amended 44D:	No
Filed 44E:	No	Currently 44E:	No	Amended 44E:	No
Filed 66A:	No	Currently 66A:	No		
Filed No Basis:	No	Currently No Basis:	No		

Current Owner(s) Information

Owner Name:	FINAM				
Owner Address:	Zone d'Activités Actipole 2B Chemin des Vignes BRESSOLLES F-01360 FRANCE				
Legal Entity Type:	société à responsabilité limitée (sarl)	State or Country Where Organized:	FRANCE		

Attorney/Correspondence Information

Attorney of Record					
Attorney Name:	Jess M. Collen	Docket Number:	R223		
Attorney Primary Email Address:	trademark@collenip.com	Attorney Email Authorized:	Yes		

Correspondent					
Correspondent Name/Address:	JESS M. COLLEN Collen Ip Intellectual Property Law P C 80 S Highland Ave Ossining, NEWYORK 10562-5615 UNITED STATES				
Phone:	(914) 941-5668	Fax:	(914) 941-6091		
Correspondent e-mail:	trademark@collenip.com	Correspondent e-mail Authorized:	Yes		

Domestic Representative					
Domestic Representative Name:	Jess M. Collen	Phone:	(914) 941-5668		
Fax:	(914) 941-6091				
Domestic Representative e-mail:	trademark@collenip.com	Domestic Representative e-mail Authorized:	Yes		

Prosecution History

Date	Description	Proceeding Number
Jan. 22, 2015	NEW APPLICATION OFFICE SUPPLIED DATA ENTERED IN TRAM	
Jan. 15, 2015	NEW APPLICATION ENTERED IN TRAM	

TM Staff and Location Information

TM Staff Information - None
File Location
Current Location: NEW APPLICATION PROCESSING
Date in Location: Jan. 15, 2015

Assignment Abstract Of Title Information - None recorded

Proceedings - None recorded

Download Adobe Reader

If you are the applicant or the applicant's attorney and have questions about this file, please contact the Trademark Assistance Center



USA.gov

- » Accessibility
- » Privacy Policy
- » Terms of Use
- » Security
- » Emergencies/Security Alerts

- » Federal Activities Inventory Reform (FAIR) Act
- » Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation (NoFEAR) Act
- » Budget & Performance

- » Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
- » Department of Commerce NoFEAR Act Report
- » Regulations.gov
- » STOP!Fakes.gov

- » Strategy Targeting Organized Piracy (STOP!)
- » Information Quality Guidelines
- » Department of Commerce
- » USPTO Webmaster