

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA643289**

Filing date: **12/09/2014**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92059488
Party	Defendant ANTA (China) Co., LTD.
Correspondence Address	Timothy J Lockhart Willcox & Savage PC ATTN IP ADMINISTRATOR440 MONTICELLO AVENUE, Suite 2200 Norfolk, VA 23510-2243 UNITED STATES ip@wilsav.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Timothy J. Lockhart
Filer's e-mail	ip@wilsav.com
Signature	/Timothy J. Lockhart/
Date	12/09/2014
Attachments	92059488 - Answer to Petition for Cancellation.pdf(160102 bytes )

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BROOKS SPORTS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ANTA (CHINA) CO. LTD.,

Respondent.

Cancellation No.: 92059488

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR  
CANCELLATION

Mark: ANTA & LOGO

Registration No.: 2,750,817

Now comes Respondent, Anta (China) Co. Ltd. (“Respondent”), by and through its counsel, and, for its Answer to the Petition for Cancellation filed by Brooks Sports, Inc.

(“Petitioner”) in connection with the mark  (“Registered Mark”) registered in International Class 25 and covering those goods (“Registered Goods”) listed in Registration No. 2,750,817 (“Registration”), Respondent states as follows:

**I. PARTIES**

1. Respondent is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 1 of the petition for cancellation.

2. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 2 of the petition for cancellation.

**II. THE REGISTERED MARK AND REGISTRATION**

3. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 3 of the petition for cancellation.

4. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 4 of the petition for cancellation.

5. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 5 of the petition for cancellation.

6. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 6 of the petition for cancellation.

7. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 7 of the petition for cancellation.

8. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 8 of the petition for cancellation.
9. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of the petition for cancellation.
10. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of the petition for cancellation.
11. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 11 of the petition for cancellation.
12. Respondent admits the allegations of paragraph 12 of the petition for cancellation.

### **III. CLAIMS**

#### **A. ABANDONMENT**

13. Respondent incorporates by reference its answers to paragraphs 1-12 of the petition for cancellation.
14. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 14 of the petition for cancellation.
15. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 15 of the petition for cancellation.
16. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 16 of the petition for cancellation.
17. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 17 of the petition for cancellation.
18. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 18 of the petition for cancellation.

#### **B. REGISTERED MARK NOT IN USE**

19. Respondent incorporates by reference its answers to paragraphs 1-18 of the petition for cancellation.
20. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 20 of the petition for cancellation.
21. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 21 of the petition for cancellation.
22. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 22 of the petition for cancellation.
23. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 23 of the petition for cancellation.
24. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 24 of the petition for cancellation.
25. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 25 of the petition for cancellation.
26. Respondent denies the allegations of paragraph 26 of the petition for cancellation.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

### **A. FAILURE TO PROVIDE NECESSARY INFORMATION**

1. In paragraph 17 of the petition for cancellation Petitioner alleges that “[t]he continued registration of the Registered Mark would prevent Petitioner from exercising exclusive control over the goodwill and reputation associated with Petitioner’s marks.”

2. However, in the petition for cancellation Petitioner fails to identify a single one of its own marks.

3. Nor does Petitioner state when, where, why, and how the continued registration of the Registered Mark would prevent Petitioner from exercising exclusive control over any goodwill and reputation associated with Petitioner’s marks, whatever those marks may be.

4. Most notably, Petitioner does not allege any way in which the Registered Mark—the Registration for which has been incontestable since September 3, 2008, and therefore is subject to cancellation only on narrow grounds—could interfere with whatever rights Petitioner may have in its unidentified marks.

5. Thus, Respondent has no way of evaluating the basis of Petitioner’s allegations with respect to Petitioner’s unidentified marks, determining the truth or falsity of those allegations, or making any substantive response to them.

### **B. LACHES**

6. The Registered Mark has been registered since August 12, 2003, based on an application filed in 2000.

7. Petitioner did not oppose the application that matured into the Registration.

8. Petitioner has never before petitioned to cancel the Registration.

9. Upon information and belief, Petitioner has had actual knowledge of the Registered Mark since at least as early as the date on which the Registration was granted in 2003.

10. Petitioner has unreasonably delayed in asserting its alleged rights against Respondent, thereby causing material prejudice to Respondent that is attributable to the delay.

**C. EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL**

11. By its silence and inaction with respect to the Registered Mark since the Registration was granted in 2003, Petitioner has led Respondent reasonably to infer that Petitioner will not assert any rights against Respondent with respect to the Registered Mark.

12. Respondent has relied on the silence and inaction of Petitioner with respect to the Registered Mark.

13. Due to this reliance, Respondent will suffer material prejudice if Petitioner's delayed assertion of its rights is permitted.

**D. ACQUIESCENCE**

14. By allowing Respondent to use the Registered Mark in commerce, to maintain the Registration, and to renew the Registration, all without Petitioner's previously petitioning to cancel the Registration, Petitioner has acquiesced in Respondent's use and continued registration of the Registered Mark.

15. Respondent has relied on the acquiescence of Petitioner with respect to the Registered Mark.

16. Due to Petitioner's acquiescence, Respondent will suffer material prejudice if Petitioner's delayed assertion of its rights is permitted.

**E. UNCLEAN HANDS**

17. Upon information and belief, Petitioner has proposed to Respondent a business arrangement through which Petitioner and Respondent could collaborate to sell in China goods branded with one or more of Petitioner's marks.

18. Respondent has not accepted Petitioner's proposal and in fact has raised objections to it.

19. Upon information and belief, Petitioner has brought this cancellation proceeding at least in part in an attempt to compel Respondent to accept Petitioner's proposal.

20. Petitioner's use of this cancellation proceeding to gain leverage in its negotiations with Respondent constitutes unclean hands.

**G. RESPONDENT ENTITLED TO RESTRICTED REGISTRATION**

21. Even if the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board determines that Respondent is not entitled to maintain the Registration for all of the Registered Goods, Respondent is, as an alternative, at least entitled to a registration for the Registered Mark that includes some of the Registered Goods.

22. Because Petitioner has not identified any of its own marks or goods, Respondent is not able at this time to identify which goods among the Registered Goods should or should not be included in a restricted Registration, if any such registration were to result from this cancellation proceeding.


23. However, Respondent hereby gives fair and early notice to Petitioner that Respondent is raising the prospect of a restricted Registration as a defense to the petition for cancellation.

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully requests that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board deny the petition for cancellation, dismiss this proceeding with prejudice, and grant to Respondent such other and further relief as the Board deems just and proper.

Dated: December 9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

ANTA (CHINA) CO. LTD.

By  \_\_\_\_\_

Timothy J. Lockhart

Brett A. Spain

Willcox & Savage, P.C.

440 Monticello Avenue, Suite 220

Norfolk, Virginia 23510

Telephone 757-628-5582


Facsimile 757-628-5566

E-Mail [tlockhart@wilsav.com](mailto:tlockhart@wilsav.com); [ip@wilsav.com](mailto:ip@wilsav.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing Answer to Petition for Cancellation has been served on Books Sports, Inc. by mailing said copy on December 9, 2014, via First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, to:

William C. Rava, Esq.  
Counsel for Books Sports, Inc.  
Perkins Coie LLP  
1201 Third Avenue 49th Floor  
Seattle, Washington 98101

By   
Timothy J. Lockhart  
Willcox & Savage, P.C.  
440 Monticello Avenue, Suite 220  
Norfolk, Virginia 23510  
Telephone 757-628-5582  
Facsimile 757-628-5566  
E-Mail [flockhart@wilsav.com](mailto:flockhart@wilsav.com); [ip@wilsav.com](mailto:ip@wilsav.com)

Counsel for Anta (China) Co., Ltd.