

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA520678**

Filing date: **02/08/2013**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92056609
Party	Defendant ManukaMed Limited
Correspondence Address	E RUSSELL TARLETON SEED IP LAW GROUP PLLC 701 5TH AVE , STE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7064 UNITED STATES RussT.docketing@SeedIP.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	E. Russell Tarleton
Filer's e-mail	russt.docketing@seedip.com, litcal@seedip.com
Signature	/E. Russell Tarleton/
Date	02/08/2013
Attachments	Answer to Petition.pdf (4 pages)(279099 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

Comvita Limited,)	Opposition No. 92056609
)	
Petitioner,)	Registration No. 4,211,756
)	
v.)	Registration No. 4,211,757
)	
ManukaMed Limited,)	Registration No. 4,211,761
)	
Respondent.)	
<hr style="width: 45%; margin-left: 0;"/>) Attorney Docket No. 630212.820

**RESPONDENT MANUKAMED LIMITED'S
ANSWER TO PETITION TO CANCEL**

Respondent ManukaMed Limited (“ManukaMed” or “Respondent”), by and through its attorneys, hereby answers the Petition to Cancel filed by Petitioner Comvita Limited (“Comvita” or “Petitioner”), as follows:

1. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Cancellation, and on that basis denies them.
2. ManukaMed admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Cancellation.
3. ManukaMed admits the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Cancellation.
4. ManukaMed admits the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Cancellation.
5. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Opposition, and on that basis denies them.

6. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Opposition, and on that basis denies them.

7. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 7 of the Cancellation.

8. ManukaMed admits the allegations for Paragraph 8 of the Cancellation.

9. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Opposition, and on that basis denies them.

10. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Opposition, and on that basis denies them.

11. ManukaMed lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Opposition, and on that basis denies them.

12. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 12 of the Cancellation.

13. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 13 of the Cancellation.

14. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 14 of the Cancellation.

15. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 15 of the Cancellation.

16. ManukaMed denies the allegations for Paragraph 16 of the Cancellation.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Petition to Cancel fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims set forth in the Petition to Cancel are barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of waiver, acquiescence, and estoppel.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Respondent requests judgment dismissing Petitioner's Petition for Cancellation and this proceeding in its entirety.

DATED this 8th day of February, 2013.

Seed IP Law Group PLLC



E. Russell Tarleton
RussT@SeedIP.com
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5400
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone (206) 622-4900
Facsimile (206) 682-6031

Attorneys for Applicant
ManukaMed Limited

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the above **Respondent ManukaMed Limited's Answer to Petition to Cancel** was served on Opposer's counsel by depositing same with the U.S. Postal Service, first-class postage prepaid, on February 8, 2013, addressed as follows:

Laura J. Winston
Law Office of Laura J. Winston
52 Main Street
Hastings-on-Hudson, NY 10706



Anne Calico