

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

RK/vb

Mailed: August 19, 2010

Cancellation Nos. **92052048**
92052049

Silk Water Solutions Inc.

v.

Dassa Holdings Ltd.

Yong Oh (Richard) Kim, Interlocutory Attorney:

On August 6, 2010, respondent filed a motion, with petitioner's consent, to consolidate Cancellation Nos. 92052048 and 92052049.¹

The Board may consolidate pending cases that involve common questions of law or fact. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); see also, *Regatta Sport Ltd. v. Telux-Pioneer Inc.*, 20 USPQ2d 1154 (TTAB 1991) and *Estate of Biro v. Bic Corp.*, 18 USPQ2d 1382 (TTAB 1991). Consolidation will avoid duplication of effort concerning the factual issues and will thereby avoid unnecessary costs and delays.

Inasmuch as the parties to the respective proceedings are the same and the proceedings involve common questions of law

¹The Board notes that respondent has filed its answer in each proceeding for which consolidation is sought. See TBMP § 511 (2d ed. rev. 2004).

Cancellation No. 92052048 (parent) and 92052049

or fact, the Board finds that consolidation of the above-referenced proceedings is appropriate. Respondent's motion to consolidate is hereby **GRANTED** and Cancellation Nos. 92052048 and 92052049 are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs.² The record will be maintained in Cancellation No. **92052048** as the "parent" case. The parties should no longer file separate papers in connection with each proceeding, but file only a single copy of each paper in the parent case. Each paper filed should bear the numbers of all consolidated proceedings in ascending order.

Consolidated cases do not lose their separate identity because of consolidation. Each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised by the respective pleadings and a copy of the final decision shall be placed in each proceeding file. See Wright & Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure: Civil Section 2382 (1971).

Discovery, conferencing, disclosure and trial dates remain as set in accordance with the latest-filed case and is reiterated below for ease of reference.

Deadline for Discovery Conference	9/5/2010
Discovery Opens	9/5/2010
Initial Disclosures Due	10/5/2010

²The parties are instructed to promptly inform the Board of any other related cases within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42.

Cancellation No. 92052048 (parent) and 92052049

Expert Disclosures Due	2/2/2011
Discovery Closes	3/4/2011
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures Due	4/18/2011
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	6/2/2011
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures Due	6/17/2011
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	8/1/2011
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Due	8/16/2011
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	9/15/2011

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

* * *