

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA320372**

Filing date: **12/04/2009**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**Petition for Cancellation**

Notice is hereby given that the following party requests to cancel indicated registration.

**Petitioner Information**

Name	Zoba International Corp.		
Entity	Corporation	Citizenship	California
Address	11150 White Birch Drive Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 UNITED STATES		

Attorney information	Karl M. Steins Steins & Associates, P.C. 2333 Camino del Rio South Suite 120 San Diego, CA 92108 UNITED STATES mail@steins-patents.com Phone:619-692-2004		
----------------------	--	--	--

**Registration Subject to Cancellation**

Registration No	2711602	Registration date	04/29/2003
Registrant	DVD Format/Logo Licensing Corporation 2-3-6 Shibadaimon, Minato-ku Tokyo, JAPAN		

**Goods/Services Subject to Cancellation**

Class 009. First Use: 1996/10/00 First Use In Commerce: 1996/10/00 All goods and services in the class are cancelled, namely: Blank optical discs for use through recording as carriers for data, sound, images, computer games, computer programs and map information; personal computers; optical disc readers; computer game equipment containing memory devices, namely, optical discs; CD players; hand-held karaoke players; digital video cameras; and digital still video cameras
--

**Grounds for Cancellation**

<i>Torres v. Cantine Torresella S.r.l.Fraud</i>	808 F.2d 46, 1 USPQ2d 1483 (Fed. Cir. 1986)
Abandonment	Trademark Act section 14

Related Proceedings	92051714 and 92051790
---------------------	-----------------------

Attachments	petition to cancel 2,711,602.pdf ( 33 pages )(819416 bytes ) certificates of service.pdf ( 3 pages )(20558 bytes )
-------------	---

**Certificate of Service**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of this paper has been served upon all parties, at their address record by First Class Mail on this date.

Signature	/Karl M. Steins/
Name	Karl M. Steins
Date	12/04/2009

**In the United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Before the Trademark Trials and Appeals Board**

Atty. Docket #:  
SAB14C-M85

ZOBA INTERNATIONAL CORP., DBA  
CD DIGITAL CARD

Petitioner,

vs

DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING  
CORPORATION

Registrant

PETITION TO CANCEL

Cancellation No.:

Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks  
2900 Crystal Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202-3513

Dear Sir:

ZOBA INTERNATIONAL CORP., DBA CD DIGITAL CARD believes that it will be damaged by registration of Applicant's mark shown in Registration Number 2,711,602 and, therefore, Petitions to Cancel Registrant's Mark on the following grounds:

## **BACKGROUND AND STANDING**

1. DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORPORATION (herein called "Registrant"), a Japanese Corporation having its principle place of business at 2-3-8 Shibadamaimon, Minato-ku Tokyo, Japan, is the Owner of U.S. Trademark Registration for 2,711,602" for "Blank optical discs for use through recording as carriers for data, sound, images, computer games, computer programs and map information; personal computers; optical disc readers; computer game equipment containing memory devices, namely, optical discs; CD players; hand-held karaoke players; digital video cameras; and digital still video cameras." (the "Registered Goods") The Application which matured into Registration Number 2,711,602, was filed on 9/28/1998 alleges both date of first use, and first use in commerce of 10/1/1996.

2. ZOBA INTERNATIONAL CORP., DBA CD DIGITAL CARD (herein called "Petitioner") is a California corporation, having its principle place of business at 11150 White Birch Dr., Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730.

3. Petitioner has standing to file the instant Petition because Petitioner has a real interest in the proceedings, a reasonable basis for its belief of damage, and a direct and personal stake in the outcome of the case according to the following fact(s):

- (a) Registrant, on June 12, 2009, filed Case No. 09 CIV 5461 in United States District Court, Southern District of New York "the Complaint," alleging, in pertinent part, that Petitioner is infringing the litigated Marks (as identified below). A copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- (b) While Registrant did not include allegations of infringement of the subject Mark in the Complaint, the subject Mark is one of Registrant's DVD Logo Family of Marks (as identified below), the subject Mark covers goods in Petitioner's market, and therefore continued registration of the subject Mark places Petitioner in peril that the Complaint could be amended or otherwise re-filed to include the allegations that Petitioner is infringing the subject Mark.

Petitioner has been expended substantial cost in defending itself against the allegations of the Complaint, and by continued registration of the subject Mark, is in continued peril of suffering duplicative costs related to litigation over the subject Mark.

**ALLEGATIONS OF FACT SUPPORTING THE GRANT OF THE PETITION**

4. Registrant, a Japanese Corporation, is alleged by Registrant as being established in April 2000; Registrant's shareholders are:

- (a) Hitachi, Ltd.;
- (b) Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.;
- (c) Mitsubishi Electric Corporation;
- (d) Panasonic Corporation;
- (e) Pioneer Corporation;
- (f) Sony Corporation;
- (g) Thompson;
- (h) Time Warner, Inc.;
- (i) Toshiba Corporation; and
- (j) Victor Company of Japan, Ltd.

5. Registrant is owner, by assignment, of the subject Registration. Upon information and belief, Registrant does not, and has never, manufactured the Registered Goods. Registrant "oversees a program by which its 'licensees' verify their understanding of, and compliance with, 'the DVD Specifications' that are published and licensed by Registrant," presumably on behalf of its shareholders.

6. On information and belief, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Sony Corporation, and Time Warner, Inc. are NOT "licensees" of the Registered Mark.

7. The Original Registrant of the Subject Registration was TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT COMPANY, L.P. (the "LIMITED PARTNERSHIP"). The LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware Limited Partnership, at the time of Application for Registration of the subject Registration, was composed of the following GENERAL PARTNERS:

- (a) American Television and Communications Corporation;
- (b) Memphis CATV, Inc.;
- (c) People's Cable Corporation;
- (d) Time Warner Operations, Inc.;
- (e) Warner Communications, Inc.; and
- (f) Warner Cable Communications, Inc.

8. Upon information and belief, on November 28, 2002, THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP filed specimens of its use of the subject Mark along with a Statement of Use that were photographic examples of covers for blank DVD-RAM discs produced by Panasonic, Inc..

9. Upon information and belief, *Panasonic, Inc.* was not an agent of THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, nor was it a licensee of THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP on November 28, 2002.

10. The Assignment of rights from THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP to Registrant was made effective on August 21, 2003.

11. The subject Registration is one of five Trademark Registrations for the subject Mark and currently owned by Registrant (the "DVD LOGO FAMILY OF MARKS"). All of the DVD LOGO FAMILY OF MARKS are "licensed" and/or otherwise handled under the same set of "licensing" guidelines by Registrant, with the differences between the individual registrations comprising the DVD LOGO FAMILY OF MARKS being their goods classes. All of the logo designs are identical. The list includes:

<u>Registration Number</u>	<u>Registration Date</u>	<u>Goods/Services</u>
2,295,726	11/30/1999	Optical disc players; compact discs containing digital information for display of filmed products.
2,381,677	4/29/2000	compact discs containing digital information for display of filmed products.
2,711,602 ( <b>the Subject Mark</b> )	4/29/2003	Blank optical discs for use through recording as carriers for data, sound, images, computer games, computer programs and map information; personal computers; optical disc readers; computer game equipment containing memory devices, namely, optical discs; CD players; hand-held karaoke players; digital video cameras; and digital still video cameras.
2,717,743	5/20/2003	Digital versatile discs featuring music, comedy, drama, action, adventure, and/or animation.
2,924,607	2/8/2005	compact disc carrying cases and recorded video disc

carrying cases.

12. Upon information and belief, Registrant's "licensing" program grants "licensees" the right to display the Registered Mark on the "licensees" products. In order to obtain such right, "Licensees" must: (a) enter into Registrant's "License Agreement;" (b) pay an NDA/Book fee to obtain a "DVD Format Book," if such DVD Format Book is desired by "Licensee;" (c) pay a single "License Fee;" and (d) Verification by a qualified lab that the proposed "licensees" product(s) is/are compliant with the DVD Specifications.

13. Upon information and belief, Registrant does not charge a "per piece" royalty from "licensees," nor does it require "licensees" to re-Verify that their products comply with the DVD Specifications, nor does Registrant obtain and test "licensees" products to independently verify that the products comply with the DVD Specifications. A new verification is only required if the DVD Specifications are changed and re-issued by Registrant.

14. Upon information and belief, numerous DVD replicators and other individuals and entities engaging in the business of mass-producing duplicate pre-recorded DVD products for others ("Replicators") are producing DVD's displaying the marks of THE DVD LOGO FAMILY OF MARKS, including the Mark that is the subject of the instant Petition. Upon information and belief, numerous Replicators are producing DVD's displaying the subject Mark open and notoriously, and without a license from DVDFLLC nor with "instruction" from a licensee of the subject Mark.

## **GROUND FOR CANCELLATION OF THE SUBJECT REGISTRATION**

### **FRAUD – IMPROPER STATEMENT OF USE UNDER 15 U.S.C. §1051**

15. Registrant fraudulently filed the Statement of Use setting forth goods in connection with which the subject Mark was then in use by THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Applicant for the subject Mark). The Affidavit was fraudulent because the Specimens filed by Applicant in support of its Statement of Use were examples of a product manufactured by Panasonic, Inc.. Panasonic, Inc. was not a limited partner, agent, or licensee of THE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP.

16. These acts or omissions are material regarding the use of the subject Mark, and Applicant knew or should have known that they were false.

### **ABANDONMENT – UNCONTROLLED LICENSING**

17. Registrant does not use the subject Mark, but purports to “license” the subject Mark to licensees. Registrant does not, however, exercise the requisite control over the nature and quality of the goods sold by “licensees” under the licensed mark. Specifically: (a) on information and belief, shareholders of Registrant are permitted to use the subject Mark without becoming “licensees” according to Registrant’s published licensing requirements; (b) upon information and belief, Registrant does not exercise any routine testing and examination of “licensees” goods to insure compliance with the DVD Format Books – Registrant relies, instead upon a one-time verification by “licensees” that they are able to manufacture goods that comply with the DVD Specifications; and (c) Registrant permits unlicensed use of the subject Mark, or use that is not compliant with Registrant’s DVD specifications for extensive periods of time with indifference.

**ABANDONMENT – FAILURE TO POLICE 15 U.S.C.§1127**

18. Registrant does not use the subject Mark, but purports to “license” the subject Mark to licensees. Registrant permits unlicensed use of the subject Mark, or use that is not compliant with Registrant’s DVD specifications for extensive periods of time with indifference.

**ABANDONMENT – NONUSE/MISUSE 15 U.S.C.§§1054 and 1127**

19. Examination of Registrants “Licensing” and “Verification” documents and processes reveals that, in fact, Registrants, since acquisition of the subject Registration through assignment, have used the subject Mark as a CERTIFICATION MARK, and not a TRADEMARK. Specifically, on information and belief: (a) any uses of the mark are other than by its owner; and (b) display of the mark is intended to indicate that the goods material, mode of manufacture, quality, accuracy or other characteristics of such licensees goods comply with an established certification standard. These use characteristics define a Certification Mark.


20. The subject Mark is NOT used as a TRADEMARK because, upon information and belief: (a) the subject Mark is not used by its owner or a related company; and (b) because, under Registrant’s “Licensing” program, “licensed” use of the subject Mark is not intended to identify and distinguish its goods from those manufactured or sold by others, nor does it indicate the source of the goods. Rather, display of the subject mark is intended to indicate that the goods material, mode of manufacture, quality, accuracy or other characteristics of such licensees goods comply with an established certification standard. As such, Registrant is not using the mark as required by the definition of a Trademark.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, by and through its counsel, respectfully prays that its Cancellation be sustained and that Registrant's registration be canceled.

Dated: 12/4/2009

Respectfully submitted,

STEINS & ASSOCIATES

By 

Karl M. Steins

Registration No. 40,186

2333 Camino del Rio South, Suite 120

San Diego, California 92108

Telephone: (619) 692-2004

Attorney for PETITIONER

EXHIBIT A

DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORP. v U-TECH MEDIA USA and ZOBA  
INTERNATIONAL CORP., d/b/a CD DIGITAL CARD  
(U.S. Dist. Ct., So. Dist. of NY; 09 CIV 5461)

Received  
6/16/09

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of New York

DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORP.

Plaintiff

v.

U-TECH MEDIA USA LLC, and ZOBA  
INTERNATIONAL CORP., d/b/a CD DIGITAL CARD

Defendant

09 CIV 5461

Civil Action No.

JUDGE CROTTY

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) CD Digital Card Corp., 11150 White Birch Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,

whose name and address are: Steven C. Herzog  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064  
sherzog@paulweiss.com

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

*S. De Casseres*  
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Date: 06/12/2009



(PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)

ORIGIN

1 Original Proceeding     2a. Removed from State Court     3 Remanded from Appellate Court     4 Reinstated or Reopened     5 Transferred from (Specify District)     6 Multidistrict Litigation     7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judge Judgment

2b. Removed from State Court AND at least one party is pro se.

(PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)

BASIS OF JURISDICTION

1 U.S. PLAINTIFF     2 U.S. DEFENDANT     3 FEDERAL QUESTION (U.S. NOT A PARTY)     4 DIVERSITY

IF DIVERSITY, INDICATE CITIZENSHIP BELOW. (28 USC 1322, 1441)

CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)

(Place an [X] in one box for Plaintiff and one box for Defendant)

CITIZEN OF THIS STATE	PTF DEF [ ] [ ]	CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY	PTF DEF [X] [ ]	INCORPORATED <u>and</u> PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE	PTF DEF [ ] [X]
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE	[ ] [ ]	INCORPORATED <u>at</u> PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	[ ] [ ]	FOREIGN NATION	[ ] [ ]

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

DVD FLLC, Dalmon Urbanist Bldg. 6F, 2-3-6 Shiba-daimon, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0012, Japan

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)

U-Tech Media USA LLC, 1105 Montague Court, Milpitas, CA 95035 (Santa Clara County)  
CD Digital Card Corp., 11150 White Birch Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 (San Bernadino County)

DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS UNKNOWN

REPRESENTATION IS HEREBY MADE THAT, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE BEEN UNABLE, WITH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTAIN THE RESIDENCE ADDRESSES OF THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS:

Check one: THIS ACTION SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO:  WHITE PLAINS     MANHATTAN  
(DO NOT check either box if this is a PRISONER PETITION.)

DATE 6/12/2009 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

*Sto C. Henry*

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN THIS DISTRICT

[ ] NO  
[ ] YES (DATE ADMITTED Mo. 12 Yr. 1990)  
Attorney Bar Code # SH-2197

RECEIPT #

Magistrate Judge is to be assigned by Clerk

Magistrate Judge **MAG. DOLINGER** is so Designated.

J. Michael McMahon, Clerk of Court by \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy Clerk, DATED \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (NEW YORK SOUTHERN)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.

U-TECH MEDIA USA LLC and ZOBA  
INTERNATIONAL CORP., d/b/a CD DIGITAL  
CARD,


Defendants.

09 CIV 5461

JUDGE CROTTY

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT



Plaintiff DVD Format/Logo Licensing Corp. ("DVD FLLC"), by its attorneys Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, for its complaint against Defendants U-Tech Media USA LLC ("U-Tech") and Zoba International Corp. d/b/a CD Digital Card Corp. ("CD Digital"), alleges as follows:

Nature of the Action

1. This is an action for injunctive relief arising from Defendants' breach of their contractual obligations under license agreements with DVD FLLC and their misappropriation of DVD FLLC's trade secrets, and from defendant CD Digital's infringement of DVD FLLC's registered trademarks for the familiar and ubiquitous DVD logo.

2. The introduction of DVD technology in the 1990s launched the most successful new generation of consumer products in history. According to industry reports, since 1997, over 260 million DVD players and more than 10 billion DVD discs have been sold in the United States and Canada alone. Members of the DVD industry – including consumer electronics manufacturers, disc replicators, motion picture studios, computer manufacturers, and

many others -- have enjoyed the fruits of DVD technology and its continued commercial success. Consumers have incorporated DVD technology into their entertainment, educational, and commercial activities, to their incalculable benefit.

3. The initial and ongoing success of the DVD technology is due in large measure to the early development and broad acceptance of a single set of technical specifications for the standard DVD formats (such as DVD-Video and DVD-R). These specifications maintain and enhance the consistency and inter-operability of various DVD products, including discs, players, and recorders. Consumers and members of the DVD industry alike have come to rely on the fact that DVD discs and hardware will operate in a consistent fashion, and will be compatible with each other, regardless of the particular product's source or manufacturer.

4. DVD FLLC was established in 2000, and since then has been responsible for the publication of the technical specifications for the standard DVD formats. DVD FLLC also owns the trademarks worldwide for the DVD logo that appears on DVD products for sale in the United States and around the world. DVD FLLC is the exclusive licensor of the technical specifications and the DVD logo.

5. DVD FLLC's licensing program is designed and intended to promote widespread acceptance of the DVD technical specifications and to maintain the consistency and compatibility of DVD products. For an up-front cost of \$10,000 per format and product category, and with no additional charges, a manufacturer who desires to produce DVD products can obtain a license to use the DVD specifications and the DVD logo, where applicable. Every DVD FLLC licensee that uses the specifications in DVD products is required, however, to verify that it has deployed the DVD technical specifications properly. In addition, under the

terms of their agreements with DVD FLLC, licensees that use the DVD technical specifications in the design and manufacture of their DVD products may not alter the specifications, and DVD products that do not comply with the applicable DVD specifications are outside the scope of their license grants. Only those DVD products that comply with the technical specifications may bear the DVD logo.

6. Defendants U-Tech and CD Digital are DVD disc replicators and DVD FLLC licensees. Both Defendants, however, have manufactured and distributed, or have announced their intention to manufacture and distribute, non-compliant DVD discs (i.e., discs that are manufactured by improperly using the DVD technical specifications), and are therefore outside the scope of their licenses from DVD FLLC. Specifically, unlike most DVD discs that are rigid and 1.2 millimeters (mm) thick, the U-Tech and CD Digital discs are half as thick and they bend with little effort. As a consequence, their design is not compatible with hardware that is specified to operate with a compliant (1.2 mm, rigid) DVD disc. Moreover, CD Digital's non-compliant discs improperly bear the DVD logo.

7. As set forth more fully below, by pursuing this course of action, Defendants have breached, or have announced their intention to breach, their contractual obligations to DVD FLLC. In addition, Defendants' use of the specifications in contravention of the terms and conditions of their licenses from DVD FLLC constitutes a misappropriation of DVD FLLC's trade secrets. CD Digital's unlicensed use of the DVD logo on non-compliant DVD discs constitutes a further breach of its contractual obligations and an infringement of DVD FLLC's registered U.S. trademarks.

8. If Defendants' introduction of non-compliant DVD discs into the marketplace goes unchecked, their conduct will cause irreparable damage to the goodwill that

DVD FLLC has earned as a result of nearly 10 years of investment and effort in support of the technology reflected in the DVD specifications, and in support of the DVD logo. Moreover, Defendants' conduct, if unchecked, will result in the dissemination, under the guise of DVD FLLC's approval, of non-compliant DVD discs, resulting in direct and irreparable harm to DVD FLLC, to other members of the DVD industry, and to consumers of DVD products.

9. DVD FLLC therefore brings this action for equitable relief, including but not limited to injunctive relief barring Defendants from further violations of their unequivocal obligations under their license agreements with DVD FLLC; from misappropriating DVD FLLC's trade secrets; and in the case of CD Digital, from infringing DVD FLLC's rights under its registered trademarks.

#### The Parties

10. Plaintiff DVD FLLC is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Japan, with its principal place of business at Daimon Urbanist Bldg. 6F, 2-3-6 Shibadaimon, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-0012, Japan.

11. Upon information and belief, defendant U-Tech is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 1105 Montague Court, Milpitas, CA 95035.

12. Upon information and belief, defendant CD Digital is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business at 11150 White Birch Drive, Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

13. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2). With respect to DVD FLLC's claims against defendant CD Digital, this Court's jurisdiction also arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1121, and the supplemental grants of

jurisdiction set forth in 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338 and 1367. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

14. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to the license agreements between DVD FLLC and each Defendant, in which the parties consented to be subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. The parties also waived any objection they may have as to venue in any proceeding brought in this Court.

#### Facts

##### **DVD FLLC and the DVD Format/Logo License Agreement**

15. DVD FLLC was established in 2000 and incorporated in Japan. DVD FLLC publishes and licenses the so-called "DVD Format Books," which contain technical specifications for the standard DVD formats. These specifications include physical parameters for DVD discs, and operational specifications directed to the encoding and deciphering of the information on the DVD disc. DVD FLLC also oversees a program by which its licensees verify their understanding of, and compliance with, the DVD specifications. Finally, DVD FLLC has established and maintains programs to enforce and protect its intellectual property rights around the world.

16. DVD FLLC also owns by assignment the valid and incontestable U.S. trademark registrations for the familiar DVD logo, Registration No. 2,295,726 and Registration No. 2,381,677. The DVD mark is both famous and distinctive, and is associated with DVD products that are designed and manufactured in accordance with the information, know-how and trade secrets in the DVD Format Books.

17. DVD FLLC is the exclusive licensor of the rights to use the DVD Format Books and the DVD logo. DVD FLLC has entered into license agreements with over 400 companies around the world.

18. The process by which DVD FLLC licenses use of the DVD specifications and the DVD logo begins with the prospective licensee's payment of a modest fee (\$5,000) and execution of a Non-Disclosure Agreement. In the Non-Disclosure Agreement, the prospective licensee agrees to maintain the confidentiality of the proprietary information, including trade secrets and other know-how, that it receives from DVD FLLC. The prospective licensee further "acknowledges and agrees that . . . [t]he Proprietary Information to be disclosed by DVD FLLC hereunder is a valuable trade secret."

19. Upon payment of the fee and execution of the Non-Disclosure Agreement, DVD FLLC provides the prospective licensee with the DVD Format Books that contain the specifications for the DVD format(s) (such as DVD-Video or DVD-R) in which the prospective licensee has an interest. Use of the specifications under the Non-Disclosure Agreement is for evaluation purposes only, and not for commercial production.

20. If the licensee decides to proceed with commercial production, it may apply for a license to use the DVD specifications and the DVD logo on commercial DVD products. To obtain a license, the prospective licensee must pay a license fee of \$10,000 per format and product category (with a one-time credit for the fee that the licensee paid upon execution of the Non-Disclosure Agreement), and execute a DVD Format/Logo License Agreement (the "License Agreement"). The License Agreement incorporates the terms and conditions of the Non-Disclosure Agreement by reference.

21. In the License Agreement, DVD FLLC grants to the licensee (subject to certain terms and conditions) "a non-exclusive, non-transferable license, on a worldwide basis during the term hereof, . . . to use the DVD Format Book(s) identified in Annex A-1 as being licensed to Licensee, including the technical information, know-how and trade secrets contained

therein, solely in the development, manufacture . . . , sale, use or disposition of DVD Products included in the DVD Product Category(ies) identified on Annex A-1 as being licensed to Licensee." (Art. 2.1.) The License Agreement defines a "Product" as "any disc, device or other product that uses information disclosed in a DVD Format Book" (Art. 1.17), and a "DVD Product" as "a Product . . . that conforms to the applicable DVD Format Book(s) specified in Annex A-1." (Art. 1.10.) Annex A-1 includes a list of the standard DVD formats, and indicates the formats covered by a particular License Agreement.

22. The License Agreement requires that "all Products manufactured or sold by a Licensee shall comply with the specifications set forth in the applicable DVD Format Books, and with updates or revisions of such DVD Format Books that Licensor may publish from time to time." (Art. 2.8.) Additionally, the License Agreement provides that "[t]he licenses granted pursuant to Articles 2.1 and 3.1 of this Agreement [that is, the license to use the specifications and the license to use the DVD logo] do not apply to any Product that does not meet the requirements of Article 2.8." (Art. 2.9.)

23. In the License Agreement, DVD FLLC also grants to the licensee (subject to certain terms and conditions) "a non-exclusive, non-transferable license, on a worldwide basis, without the right to sublicense, during the term hereof, to use the [DVD Logo] solely on [specified DVD Products]," as well as related advertisements and sales literature. (Art. 3.1.)

24. DVD FLLC does not charge running royalties or, except as noted above, any other fees in connection with the manufacture and/or sale of DVD Products. Under the terms of the License Agreement, however, a licensee's Product must undergo a "Verification" process "for testing the Product's compliance with the applicable DVD Format Book(s) in accordance with the applicable Test Specifications." (Art. 4.1.) A licensee's failure to comply

with its Verification obligation, or the failure of a licensee's Product to satisfy the Verification requirements, can result in a termination of the License Agreement. (Art. 4.5.)

**The U-Tech and CD Digital Agreements**

25. DVD FLLC and U-Tech Media Corporation of Tao-Yuan Shien, Taiwan, entered into a Non-Disclosure Agreement effective as of July 19, 2000. DVD FLLC and U-Tech Media Corporation entered into a License Agreement effective as of December 15, 2000 and a successor agreement dated as of March 15, 2005 (the latter is referred to herein as the "U-Tech License Agreement"). Under the terms of the U-Tech License Agreement, the licenses granted to U-Tech Media Corporation extend to certain of its affiliated companies, including Defendant U-Tech Media USA LLC. The U-Tech License Agreement incorporates the earlier Non-Disclosure Agreement by reference. The U-Tech License Agreement and the Non-Disclosure Agreement are valid and binding contracts enforceable in accordance with their terms.

26. DVD FLLC and CD Digital entered into a Non-Disclosure Agreement effective as of October 23, 2008. DVD FLLC and CD Digital entered into a License Agreement effective as of November 21, 2008 (the "CD Digital License Agreement"). The CD Digital License Agreement incorporates the earlier Non-Disclosure Agreement by reference. Both agreements remain in effect and are valid and binding contracts enforceable in accordance with their terms.

**Defendants' Manufacture and Distribution of Non-Compliant Discs**

27. A DVD manufactured according to the specifications in the DVD Format Books is 1.2 mm thick. By complying with the specifications in this regard, both DVD replicators and hardware manufacturers can ensure the inter-operability of their DVD products with other DVD products on the market – that is, other things being equal, the compliant disc

will fit into the specification-compliant DVD disc drives found in consumer electronics products, and the disc drives designed for compliant DVD discs in the consumer electronics products will read and process the information on those discs properly.

28. Upon information and belief, CD Digital has manufactured and distributed in the United States DVD discs that are 0.6 mm thick – that is, half as thick as a DVD disc that complies with the specifications in the DVD Format Books. CD Digital markets and promotes these discs as “Flex DVD”s or “Flex VCD”s. CD Digital’s promotional materials indicate that the Flex DVD/Flex VCD discs “have the standard capacity and playability features as a traditional DVD-5 [a standard type of DVD disc].” The CD Digital promotional material also states that the Flex DVD/Flex VCD disc and the standard DVD have the identical “DVD-Video and DVD-ROM” formats.

29. Upon information and belief, CD Digital has manufactured and distributed in the United States Flex DVD/Flex VCD products that bear the DVD logo.

30. Upon information and belief, U-Tech has manufactured and distributed in the United States DVD discs that are 0.6 mm thick, or is prepared to do so imminently. According to press reports, U-Tech has entered into license agreements with a European firm, EcoDisc Technology AG (“EcoDisc”), regarding the technology to manufacture DVD discs that are only 0.6 mm thick. EcoDisc markets and promotes these discs as identical to standard DVD discs in all other respects.

31. Furthermore, EcoDisc has acknowledged in a pleading filed in a German court (1) that “DVD FLLC publishes the books (so-called ‘DVD Format Books’) where the respective specifications of individual DVD formats, namely DVD-ROM, DVD-Video and DVD-Audio are printed,” and (2) that “[t]he specifications for the DVD formats DVD-ROM,

DVD-Video and DVD-Audio are standards applicable throughout the world which, in particular, ensure that the DVDs are readable and can be played in standard players.” In addition, EcoDisc has represented that, except for its physical dimensions, “[b]oth with regard to its data storage capacity and the playability on DVD players,” the 0.6 mm disc “corresponds to the conventional DVD of the common type ‘DVD-5’.”<sup>1</sup>

32. Upon information and belief, use of non-compliant 0.6 mm discs has caused, and will continue to cause, malfunctions in DVD-related consumer electronics products. Reports in the press, on websites, and on EcoDisc’s own promotional materials tell of malfunctions in which non-compliant 0.6 mm discs jam in a disc drive, causing malfunctions. These results have been confirmed by industry studies. In addition, industry studies show that the physical features of the non-compliant 0.6 mm discs can and will affect the ability of a DVD player to read the information on the disc, and to process that information correctly – in some instances, causing disruption in the video display.

33. DVD FLLC has not authorized the use of the technical specifications in the DVD Format Books for the manufacture of non-compliant, 0.6 mm discs.

34. DVD FLLC has not authorized the use of the DVD logo on non-compliant, 0.6 mm discs.

35. Defendants’ conduct is in violation of the terms and conditions of the licenses granted to them by DVD FLLC. In addition, Defendants’ conduct is a flagrant violation of DVD FLLC’s intellectual property rights in and to the information, know-how and trade secrets set forth in the DVD Format Books and, in CD Digital’s case, in the DVD logo.

---

<sup>1</sup> Translations from the original German were prepared by German counsel.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendants' violation of the terms and conditions of the licenses granted them by DVD FLLC, and their infringement of DVD FLLC's intellectual property rights, is knowing, intentional, and willful.

**DVD FLLC Will Suffer Irreparable Harm**

37. Unless Defendants are restrained by this Court, DVD FLLC will suffer substantial, imminent and irreparable injury. Among other things, DVD FLLC has made a substantial investment in its licensing program, a principal objective of which is to ensure the consistency and compatibility of DVD products. DVD FLLC enjoys substantial goodwill among members of the DVD industry and among consumers as a result of the success of its licensing program, including its success to date in achieving its consistency and compatibility objectives. Because the introduction of non-compliant products, including 0.6 mm discs, can and does interfere with the operation of DVD products, Defendants' introduction and further distribution of non-compliant discs into the DVD market poses an imminent threat to that goodwill. The introduction of non-compliant products will undermine industry and consumer confidence in DVD technology generally, and will interfere with DVD FLLC's efforts to continue to promote and expand a licensing program that is premised on the common benefits that derive from licensees' compliance with a common set of specifications. In addition, CD Digital's unauthorized and unlicensed use of the DVD logo on non-compliant discs will erode the goodwill associated with that logo as a symbol of a compliant DVD product.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Breach of Contract by both Defendants)**

38. Plaintiff DVD FLLC repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 37 above.

39. On information and belief, Defendants have begun, or will imminently begin, manufacturing, marketing, and selling non-compliant DVD discs.

40. By virtue of their aforementioned conduct, Defendants have breached, or imminently will be in breach of, their contractual obligations to DVD FLLC under their respective License Agreements.

41. Defendants' conduct has caused and/or will imminently cause DVD FLLC to suffer irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Breach of Contract by CD Digital)**

42. Plaintiff DVD FLLC repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 41 above.

43. On information and belief, CD Digital has applied the DVD logo to 0.6 mm discs, in violation of the License Agreement.

44. By virtue of this conduct, CD Digital has breached, and will continue to breach, its contractual obligations to DVD FLLC.

45. CD Digital's conduct has caused and/or will imminently cause DVD FLLC to suffer irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Misappropriation of Trade Secrets by both Defendants)**

46. Plaintiff DVD FLLC repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 45 above.

47. Defendants' license to use the information, know-how and trade secrets in the DVD Format Books is restricted to products that comply with the specifications set forth therein.

48. Upon information and belief, Defendants have used, or imminently will use, the information, know-how and trade secrets in the DVD Format Books to manufacture and distribute non-compliant 0.6 mm discs.

49. By virtue of the aforementioned conduct, Defendants have breached, or imminently will breach, their common-law duties not to use or misappropriate DVD FLLC's trade secrets and confidential, proprietary information.

50. Defendants' conduct has caused and/or will imminently cause DVD FLLC to suffer irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition by CD Digital under the Lanham Act)**

51. Plaintiff DVD FLLC repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 50 above.

52. DVD FLLC owns the registered trademarks in the DVD logo (Registration No. 2,295,726 and Registration No. 2,381,677). DVD FLLC's registered trademarks are valid and subsisting.

53. In addition to the registered marks, DVD FLLC owns valuable common law trademark rights in the DVD logo that, by virtue of its use by DVD FLLC, its licensees, and other authorized users in connection with the promotion of DVD products, has gained widespread consumer recognition. Substantial goodwill has been associated with the DVD logo in the United States and other markets.

54. Upon information and belief, CD Digital has used, is using, and/or imminently intends to use the DVD logo in interstate commerce, without the consent or authorization of DVD FLLC, in connection with the sale of goods that compete with those offered by DVD FLLC's licensees and/or in connection with the advertising and promotion of such goods.

55. CD Digital's conduct as alleged above has caused and/or is likely to cause confusion or mistake or deception of the public as to, among other things, (i) the relationship between CD Digital's 0.6 mm discs and the DVD products manufactured in accordance with the

specifications set forth in the DVD Format Books, (ii) the association of CD Digital's non-compliant products with other DVD products that comply with those specifications, and/or (iii) the sponsorship of CD Digital's non-compliant products by DVD FLLC and/or other members of the DVD industry.

56. CD Digital's conduct is in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

57. Upon information and belief, CD Digital's actions were deliberate, willful, and in conscious disregard of DVD FLLC's rights.

58. CD Digital's conduct has caused and/or will imminently cause DVD FLLC to suffer irreparable harm, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Dilution under the Lanham Act)**

59. Plaintiff DVD FLLC repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 58 above.

60. DVD FLLC owns the registered trademarks in the DVD logo (Registration No. 2,295,726 and Registration No. 2,381,677). DVD FLLC's registered trademarks are valid and subsisting.

61. The DVD registered trademarks are famous and distinctive within the meaning of Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c), and DVD FLLC has established and maintains valuable goodwill in these marks. These marks first enjoyed such distinction and fame prior to CD Digital's first unauthorized use of the DVD marks.

62. Upon information and belief, CD Digital has used, is using, and/or intends to use the DVD marks in interstate commerce in connection with the advertising and promotion of products sold by CD Digital.

63. CD Digital's use of the DVD marks has caused actual harm and is likely to cause harm to DVD FLLC by diluting and weakening the unique and distinctive significance and

quality of the DVD trademarks to identify DVD format-compliant products and by tarnishing DVD FLLC's name and trademarks in the minds of consumers.

64. By reason of the foregoing, CD Digital has violated Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).

65. Upon information and belief, CD Digital's actions were deliberate, willful, and in conscious disregard of DVD FLLC's rights, and constituted a willful effort to trade on DVD FLLC's reputation.

66. CD Digital's conduct as alleged above has caused and/or will cause DVD FLLC to suffer injury, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, DVD FLLC demands judgment against defendants as follows:

1. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining and restraining Defendants, including their officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert or participation with them, or having actual notice of the Court's Order, from:

(a) replicating, producing, or manufacturing, selling or otherwise distributing 0.6mm discs that were designed or manufactured using information, know-how and/or trade secrets set forth in the DVD Format Books;

(b) unauthorized use of the information, know-how and/or trade secrets set forth in the DVD Format Books;

(c) manufacturing, producing, distributing, advertising, promoting, selling, or offering for sale any 0.6mm disc bearing the DVD logo;

(d) making any representations indicating or suggesting that 0.6mm discs are consistent or interchangeable with standard DVD formats, such as DVD-Video;

(e) engaging in any conduct that tends to dilute, tarnish, or blur the distinctive quality of DVD FLLC's DVD logo;

(f) aiding or abetting any party in the commission of the acts specified in subparagraphs (a)-(f) above.

2. In addition to the order specified in paragraph 1 above, an order directing Defendants (i) to destroy any stock or inventory of non-compliant DVD products in their possession, custody or control, (ii) to destroy any equipment, devices, software, or other tools or implements used in the production of non-compliant DVD products, and (iii) to recall from their customers, distributors, and any other recipients any non-compliant DVD products that Defendants have or will have manufactured and/or distributed.

3. Ordering Defendants to account to DVD FLLC for all gains, profits, savings, and advantages obtained by it as a result of its breach of contract, misappropriation of trade secrets, and trademark infringement and unfair competition, and awarding to DVD FLLC restitution in the amount of all such gains, profits, savings, and advantages;

4. Awarding to DVD FLLC its reasonable attorneys' fees, together with the costs and disbursements of this action;

5. Granting DVD FLLC such other and further relief as the Court deems just  
and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 12, 2009



Steven C. Herzog (Bar No. SH-2197)

*sherzog@paulweiss.com*  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064  
(212) 373-3000

OF COUNSEL:

Robert P. Parker  
*rparker@paulweiss.com*  
Whitney Dougherty Russell  
*wrussell@paulweiss.com*  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP  
2001 K Street NW, Suite 500  
Washington DC 20006  
(202) 223-7300

*Attorneys for DVD FLLC.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DVD FORMAT/LOGO LICENSING CORP.,

Plaintiff,

v.


U-TECH MEDIA USA LLC. and ZOBA  
INTERNATIONAL CORP., d/b/a CD DIGITAL  
CARD

Defendants.

09 CIV 5461

JUDGE CROTTY

Civil Action No.



**RULE 7.1 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**


Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff DVD

FLLC hereby states that:

DVD Format Logo/Licensing Corporation does not have a parent corporation.

DVD Format Logo/Licensing Corporation is owned by ten shareholders each holding 10% of DVD FLLC's stock. Of these shareholders, eight are publicly held corporations: Hitachi Ltd., Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Mitsubishi Electric Corporation, Panasonic Corporation, Pioneer Corporation, Sony Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, and Time Warner, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 12, 2009

  
Steven C. Herzog (Bar No. ~~SN~~-2197)  
*sherzog@paulweiss.com*  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064  
(212) 373-3000


OF COUNSEL:

Robert P. Parker  
*rparker@paulweiss.com*  
Whitney Dougherty Russell  
*wrussell@paulweiss.com*  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP  
2001 K Street NW, Suite 500  
Washington DC 20006  
(202) 223-7300

*Attorneys for DVD FLLC.*

**Certificate of Service**


It is hereby certified that a copy of the above Petition to Cancel is being forwarded by first class mail on 12/4/2009, to Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Nicholson Graham, Mark I. Peroff, 599 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY, 10022-6030, attorney for Registrant.



Karl M. Steins

**Certificate of Service**


It is hereby certified that a copy of the above Petition to Cancel is being forwarded by first class mail on 12/4/2009, to DVD Format / Logo Licensing Corporation 2-3-6 Shibadaimon, Minato-ku Tokyo Japan, Registrant.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Karl M. Steins', written over a horizontal line.

Karl M. Steins

**Certificate of Service**

It is hereby certified that a copy of the above Petition to Cancel is being forwarded by first class mail on 12/4/2009, to Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP, Steven C. Herzog, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019-6064, Attorney for Registrant.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Karl M. Steins', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

Karl M. Steins