

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA43859**

Filing date: **09/01/2005**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Proceeding | 92044571 |
| Party | Defendant DELAN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED DELAN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED 8 EVEREST DRIVE HACKETTSTOWN, NJ 07840 |
| Correspondence Address | DELAN ENTERPRISES INCORPORATED 8 EVEREST DRIVE HACKETTSTOWN, NJ 07840 |
| Submission | Answer |
| Filer's Name | Bret J. Danow |
| Filer's e-mail | bret.danow@kattenlaw.com |
| Signature | /s/ |
| Date | 09/01/2005 |
| Attachments | JONATHAN LOGAN -- Answer.tif (2 pages) |

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

200 Kelsey Associates, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

Delan Enterprises Incorporated,

Respondent.

Cancellation No. 92044571

Mark: JONATHAN LOGAN

Reg. Nos.: 549,924 and 937,651

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION

Respondent, Delan Enterprises Incorporated (“Respondent”), through its attorneys Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, answers the Petition for Cancellation filed by Petitioner 200 Kelsey Associates, LLC (“Petitioner”), as follows:

1. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Petition for Cancellation.
2. Respondent denies that it has abandoned the trademark JONATHAN LOGAN, denies that it is not using such mark, and denies that it has no intention of using the mark in the future. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as the truth of the remainder of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2, and accordingly denies the same.
3. Denies.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

4. Both of Respondent’s U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 549,924 and 937,651 for the mark JONATHAN LOGAN are valid, subsisting and enforceable trademark registrations.

Second Affirmative Defense

5. Respondent did not, at any time, have any intention to abandon either of its U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 549,924 and 937,651 for the mark JONATHAN LOGAN nor any intention to discontinue use of the underlying trademarks permanently or without resuming use.

Third Affirmative Defense

6. Respondent has not abandoned either of its U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 549,924 and 937,651 for the mark JONATHAN LOGAN. Respondent has continued to use the JONATHAN LOGAN mark (as shown in U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 549,924 and 937,651) since its inception.

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully submits that the Petition for Cancellation be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP
Attorneys for Respondent
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022-2585
(212) 940-6365

Dated: New York, New York
September 1, 2005

By: 
Bret J. Danow