

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that petitioner Jzchak Wajcman respectfully objects to the following documents filed by respondent Willi Lorenz Stich on September 6, 2006 as being untimely and in excess of the 10 page limitation for reply briefs:

1
2 1. Registrant Bill Lawrence's Reply to Petitioner's Consolidated Memorandum of Points
3 and Authorities in Opposition to Registrant's Motion for Summary Judgment and In Support of
4 Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment.

5 2. Bill Lawrence's Objection to Petitioner's Implied Request for Judicial Notice
6 Contained in Declaration of Jzchak N. Wajcman in Opposition to Registrant's Motion for
7 Summary Judgment and In Support of Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment, or
8 Alternatively Motion to Strike Exhibits 1 through 12.

9 3. Bill Lawrence's Objections to Declaration of Jzchak N. Wajcman in Opposition to
10 Registrant's Motion for Summary Judgment and In Support of Petitioner's Motion for Summary
11 Judgment.

12 4. Bill Lawrence's Objection to Petitioner's Request for Judicial Notice; Memorandum of
13 Points and Authorities in Support of Opposition.

14 5. Notice of Motion; Bill Lawrence's Motion to Introduce Document from Other
15 Proceeding Pertaining to Fraud on the Court and the United States Patent and Trademark Office.


16 37 CFR § 2.127(a) clearly states in part:

17 *The Board may, in its discretion, consider a reply brief. Except as provided in*
18 *paragraph (e)(1) of this section, a reply brief, if filed, **shall be filed within 15***
19 ***days from the date of service of the brief in response to the motion.** The time for*
filing a reply brief will not be extended. The brief in support of a motion and the
brief in response to the motion shall not exceed twenty-five pages in length, and a
reply brief shall not exceed ten pages in length.

20 All of the above identified documents were filed and served by respondent Willi Lorenz Stich on
21 September 6, 2006, and, as such, they are untimely because the date of service of the petitioner's
22 brief in response to the motion was August 18, 2006. Additionally, the actual reply brief (item 1
23 above) is 12 pages in length in violation of 37 CFR § 2.127(a).

24 Dated: September 14, 2006

25 Respectfully submitted by:
26 KOPELOWITZ & ASSOCIATES

27 
28 JAY S. KOPELOWITZ
12702 Via Cortina, Suite 700
Del Mar, California 92014
Tel: 858/ 755-0095

Attorneys for Petitioner Jzchak N. Wajcman

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

1 I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am over the age of 18
2 and am not a party to the within action; my business address is: 12702 Via Cortina, Suite 700,
3 Del Mar, CA 92014.

4 On September 14, 2006 I served the foregoing documents described as:

5 **OBJECTION TO UNTIMELY REPLY PAPERS FILED BY RESPONDENT WILLI**
6 **LORENZ STICH ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2006**

7 upon the interested parties in this action by placing
8 [X] copies enclosed in a sealed envelope to:

9 Gregory Richardson
10 LAW OFFICES OF
11 GREGORY RICHARDSON, ESQ.
12 3890 11TH Street, Suite #210
13 Riverside, CA 92501

14 Counsel for Respondent Willi Lorenz Stich


15 **BY REGULAR MAIL** by depositing such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid
16 in the United States mail at Del Mar, California.

17 **BY FACSIMILE** by telecopier to the facsimile telephone numbers listed above.

18 **BY HAND DELIVERY.**

19 I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the laws of
20 the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

21 Dated: September 14, 2006 at Del Mar, California.

22 
23 Hedy Tiongco