

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA745014**

Filing date: **05/09/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91227143
Party	Defendant Defender Supply, LLC
Correspondence Address	SCOTT L. HARPER Griffith Bates Champion & Harper Llp 5910 N Central Expy Ste 1050 Dallas, TX 75206-5145 docketing@griffithbates.com;lisa.cast@g
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Scott L. Harper
Filer's e-mail	docketing@griffithbates.com
Signature	/scott l. harper/
Date	05/09/2016
Attachments	20160509 Answer to Opposition.pdf(77045 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC.	§	Mark: Lightning Bolt in Circle Design
	§	
Opposer,	§	Opposition No. 91227143
	§	
v.	§	Serial No. 86/696,735
	§	
DEFENDER SUPPLY, LLC	§	Publication Date: December 1, 2015
	§	
Applicant.	§	

Commissioner for Trademarks
P. O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

ANSWER TO OPPOSITION

Applicant Defender Supply, LLC (“Applicant”), by its attorneys, hereby answers the numbered paragraphs of the Notice to Opposition filed by Opposer Taser International, Inc. (“Opposer”) as follows:

1. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the first numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.
2. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the second numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.
3. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the third numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.
4. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the fourth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

5. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the fifth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

6. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the sixth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

7. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the seventh numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

8. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the eighth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

9. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the ninth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

10. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the tenth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

11. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the eleventh numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

12. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the twelfth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

13. Applicant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in the thirteenth numbered paragraph, and they are therefore denied.

14. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in the fourteenth numbered paragraph.

15. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the fifteenth numbered paragraph.
16. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in the sixteenth numbered paragraph.
17. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in the first sentence of the seventeenth numbered paragraph. Applicant denies the remaining allegations set forth in the seventeenth paragraph.
18. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the eighteenth numbered paragraph.
19. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the nineteenth numbered paragraph.
20. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the twentieth numbered paragraph.
21. Applicant admits the allegations set forth in the twenty first numbered paragraph.
22. Applicant incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations set forth in first through twenty first numbered paragraphs.
23. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the twenty third paragraph.
24. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the twenty fourth paragraph.
25. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in the twenty fifth paragraph.
26. Applicant denies that Opposer is entitled to any relief as prayed for by Opposer in Opposer's Notice of Opposition.
27. Applicant denies each and every allegation not expressly admitted herein.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that this Opposition be dismissed with prejudice and the registration on the mark shown in Application Serial No. 86/696,735 be granted.

Date: May 9, 2016

*Counsel for Applicant
Defender Supply, LLC*

By: /scott l. harper/
Scott L. Harper
Smitha Mathews
GRIFFITH BATES CHAMPION & HARPER LLP
5910 N. Central Expressway, Suite 1050
Dallas, Texas 75206

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer has been duly served by mailing such copy first class, postage prepaid to counsel for Opposer, Justin M. Clark of J. Clark Law Firm, PLLC, 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400, Phoenix, Arizona 85004 on May 9, 2016.

/scott l. harper/
Scott L. Harper