

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA719686**

Filing date: **01/11/2016**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91225171
Party	Defendant Treaty Oak Distilling Co.
Correspondence Address	STEVEN D. SMIT Graves Dougherty Hearon & Moody P C 401 Congress Ave Ste 2200 Austin, TX 78701-3790 trademarks@gdhm.com;ssmit@gdhm.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	/Steven D. Smit/
Filer's e-mail	ssmit@gdhm.com, trademarks@gdhm.com
Signature	/Steven D. Smit/
Date	01/11/2016
Attachments	starlite opposition anwer.pdf(68358 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

In the matter of Application Serial No. 86/561,142
Published in the Official Gazette of August 4, 2015

PAHLAVAN FAMILY CELLARS, LLC	Opposition No.: 91225171
Opposer,	Mark: STARLITE VODKA
v.	Serial No. 86/561, 142
	Filed: March 11, 2015
TREATY OAK DISTILLING CO.	
Applicant	

ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Treaty Oak Distilling Co., (“Respondent”) answers the above-entitled Notice of Opposition filed by Pahlavan Family Cellars, LLC (“Opposer”) as follows:

1. Respondent has insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Notice, and therefore, denies these allegations.
2. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Notice.
3. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Notice.
4. Respondent has insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in the second Paragraph 1 of the Notice, and therefore, denies these allegations.
5. Respondent has insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations in the second Paragraph 2 of the Notice, and therefore, denies these allegations.
6. Respondent admits the allegations in the second Paragraph 3 of the Notice.
7. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the Notice.
8. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Notice, except that it has insufficient information to form a belief as to the allegations about the number of years that Opposer has purportedly used the registered mark.
9. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Notice.
10. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Notice.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 11th day of January, 2015, a copy of the foregoing ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION was served upon the correspondent of record for Opposer by email and via U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Joan Ohl Rochman, Esq.
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips LLP
11355 West Olympic Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90064

/s/ Steven D. Smit

Steven D. Smit, Attorney for Respondent