

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA668128**

Filing date: **04/22/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91221325
Party	Defendant JORDI NOGUES, S.L.
Correspondence Address	NICHOLAS D. WELLS KIRTON MCCONKIE 60 E SOUTH TEMPLE STE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111-1032  nwells@kmclaw.com;abrimhall@kmclaw.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Nicholas D. Wells
Filer's e-mail	nwells@kmclaw.com
Signature	/Nicholas Wells/
Date	04/22/2015
Attachments	Bull Design--TTAB Opposition Answer.pdf(11811 bytes )

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Mark: Bull Design

Serial No.: 86324277

Published: December 02, 2014

Red Bull GmbH		
	Opposer,	
v.		Opposition No. 91221325
JORDI NOGUES, S.L.		<b>ANSWER</b>
	Applicant	

Applicant JORDI NOGUES, S.L. (“Applicant”), by its attorneys, for its Answer to the Notice of Opposition (“Opposition”) by Red Bull GmbH (“Opposer”), states as follows:

1. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
2. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
3. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.

4. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
5. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
6. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
7. As to the information contained in paragraph 7 of the Opposition, Applicant states that the records of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office shall speak for themselves.
8. As to the information contained in paragraph 8 of the Opposition, Applicant states that the records of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office shall speak for themselves.
9. As to the information contained in paragraph 7 of the Opposition, Applicant states that the records of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office shall speak for themselves.
10. Applicant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Opposition.
11. Applicant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Opposition.
12. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.

13. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Opposition.
14. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Opposition.
15. Applicant repeats the responses contained in paragraphs 1-14 above.
16. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Opposition.
17. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Opposition.
18. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Opposition.
19. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Opposition.
20. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Opposition.
21. Applicant repeats the responses contained in paragraphs 1-20 above.
22. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Opposition.
23. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
24. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
25. Applicant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Opposition.
26. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
27. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Opposition.
28. Applicant repeats the responses contained in paragraphs 1-27 above.
29. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Opposition.

30. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
31. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
32. Applicant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the Opposition and therefore denies the same.
33. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Opposition.
34. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Opposition.
35. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Opposition.
36. Applicant repeats the responses contained in paragraphs 1-35 above.
37. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Opposition.
38. Applicant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Opposition.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that the Opposition be dismissed and the subject application be passed to registration.

Respectfully submitted on April 22, 2015

By: Nicholas D. Wells/

KIRTON MCCONKIE, PC  
1800 World Trade Center  
60 E. South Temple  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111  
Tel: (801) 328-3600  
Email: nwells@kmclaw.com

Attorney for Applicant

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 22nd day of April 2015, I served a copy of **APPLICANT'S ANSWER** on the attorney for the Opposer, as designated below, by placing said copy in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Martin R. Greenstein  
TechMark a Law Corporation  
4820 Harwood Road, 2nd Floor  
San Jose, CA 95124-5273

By: /s/Nicholas D. Wells

Nicholas D. Wells  
KIRTON MCCONKIE, PC  
1800 World Trade Center  
60 E. South Temple  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111