

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451
General Contact Number: 571-272-8500

Mailed: August 19, 2014

Opposition Nos. 91215685 (parent)
91215687

EverBank

v.

WDFC SA

Veronica P. White, Paralegal Specialist:

On July 7, 2014, opposer filed a motion to consolidate Opposition Nos. 91215685 and 91215687. On July 10, 2014, applicant filed a communication stating that he does not object to opposer's motion. The Board notes initially that applicant has filed its answer in each proceeding for which consolidation is sought.

When cases involving common questions of law or fact are pending before the Board, the Board may order consolidation of the cases. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); *Regatta Sport Ltd. v. Telux-Pioneer Inc.*, 20 USPQ2d 1154 (TTAB 1991); and *Estate of Biro v. Bic Corp.*, 18 USPQ2d 1382 (TTAB 1991). In determining whether to consolidate proceedings, the Board will weigh the savings in time, effort, and expense which may be gained from consolidation, against any prejudice or inconvenience which may be caused thereby.

Consolidation is discretionary with the Board, and may be ordered upon motion granted by the Board, or upon stipulation of the parties approved by the Board, or upon the Board's own initiative. *See, e.g., Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management*, 27 USPQ2d 1423 (TTAB 1993).

It is noted that the parties to these proceedings are identical, and the issues are similar or related. Accordingly, the motion to consolidate is granted. Opposition Nos. 91215685 and 91215687 are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs. *See Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management, supra*; and *Helene Curtis Industries Inc. v. Suave Shoe Corp.*, 13 USPQ2d 1618 (TTAB 1989).

The Board file will be maintained in Opposition No. 91215685 as the “parent case.” From this point on, only a single copy of all motions and papers should be filed, and each such motion or paper should be filed in the parent case only, but caption all consolidated proceeding numbers, listing the “parent case” first.¹

Despite being consolidated, each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised

¹ The parties should promptly inform the Board of any other Board proceedings or related cases within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42, so that the Board can consider whether further consolidation is appropriate.

by the respective pleadings; a copy of the decision shall be placed in each proceeding file.

Upon consolidation, the Board will reset dates for the consolidated proceeding, usually by adopting the dates as set in the most recently instituted of the cases being consolidated. In this instance, however, the Board notes that the deadlines for the parties' discovery conference and initial disclosures in both proceedings have already expired since the filing of opposer's motion to consolidate. In order not to prejudice the parties, the Board, *sua sponte*, has reset the deadlines for the parties' required discovery conference and initial disclosures as set forth below.

Deadline for Discovery Conference	9/13/2014
Discovery Opens	9/13/2014
Initial Disclosures Due	10/13/2014
Expert Disclosures Due	12/7/2014
Discovery Closes	1/6/2005
Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures	2/20/2005
Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends	4/6/2005
Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures	4/21/2005
Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends	6/5/2005
Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures	6/20/2005
Plaintiff's 15-day Rebuttal Period Ends	7/20/2005

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

If, either of the parties or their attorneys should have a change of address, the Board should be so informed.²

² The Board's notes the appearance of Mark J. Young, P.A. as additional counsel for opposer and the Board's records have been updated to reflect his email address (filed July 7, 2014).