

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA661355**

Filing date: **03/16/2015**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91214508
Party	Plaintiff Tekni-Plex, Inc.
Correspondence Address	CARRIE WEBB OLSON DAY PITNEY LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110 UNITED STATES trademarks@daypitney.com, colson@daypitney.com, cdoconnor@daypitney.com, rosterweil@daypitney.com, jlanzano@daypitney.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Ryan S. Osterweil
Filer's e-mail	trademarks@daypitney.com, rosterweil@daypitney.com, colson@daypitney.com, cdoconnor@daypitney.com, jlanzano@daypitney.com
Signature	/Ryan S. Osterweil/
Date	03/16/2015
Attachments	EDGE PULL Response to Selig_s Motion to Suspend.PDF(34027 bytes )

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

TEKNI-PLEX, INC.,	)	
	)	Opposition No. 91214508
Opposer,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
SELIG SEALING PRODUCTS, INC.,	)	Serial No. 86/001725
	)	Filed July 3, 2013
Applicant.	)	Mark: EDGEPULL
	)	

**OPPOSER’S RESPONSE TO APPLICANT’S MOTION TO SUSPEND BRIEFING ON  
OPPOSER’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

The Opposer, Tekni-Plex, Inc., (hereinafter, “Tekni-Plex” or “Opposer”), submits this Response to Applicant’s Motion to Suspend Briefing on Opposer’s Motion for Summary Judgment, filed on March 2, 2015.

Tekni-Plex does not oppose a suspension of briefing on its Motion for Summary Judgment until after the Board decides Tekni-Plex’s Motion to Amend the Notice of Opposition, with Applicant’s response to the Motion for Summary Judgment due 30 days after the Board’s decision on the Motion to Amend.

With regard to Applicant’s Motion to Suspend, however, Tekni-Plex disagrees with Applicant’s suggestion that Tekni-Plex has somehow violated the rules in filing its motions. Tekni-Plex’s Motions to Amend and for Summary Judgment are fully consistent with and proper under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board Manual of Procedure. Any suggestion otherwise by Selig is simply incorrect. Tekni-Plex refers to its briefs on its Motion to Amend and its Motion for Summary Judgment for its position on those

motions.

Respectfully submitted,



---

DAY PITNEY LLP  
Carrie Webb Olson  
Catherine Dugan O'Connor  
One International Place  
Boston, MA 02110  
Telephone: (617) 345-4767  
Facsimile: (617) 206-9338  
Email: [trademarks@daypitney.com](mailto:trademarks@daypitney.com)  
[colson@daypitney.com](mailto:colson@daypitney.com)  
[cdoconnor@daypitney.com](mailto:cdoconnor@daypitney.com)

Dated: March 16, 2015

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on the date set forth below a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the attorneys of record for the Applicant by electronic mail, as agreed to between the parties, as follows:

Joseph T. Nabor  
Fitch Even Tabin & Flannery LLP  
120 S Lasalle St, STE 1600  
Chicago, IL 60603  
[jtnabo@fitcheven.com](mailto:jtnabo@fitcheven.com)  
[trademark@fitcheven.com](mailto:trademark@fitcheven.com)  
[asimmons@fitcheven.com](mailto:asimmons@fitcheven.com)



---

Catherine Dugan O'Connor