

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA492497**

Filing date: **09/04/2012**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91206235
Party	Defendant Charles Gregoire de Rothshcild
Correspondence Address	CHARLES GREGOIRE DE ROTSHCILD 16 W 45TH ST FL 2 NEW YORK, NY 10036-4204 gdrprivee@gmail.com
Submission	Answer
Filer's Name	Matthew H. Swyers
Filer's e-mail	mswyers@thetrademarkcompany.com
Signature	/Matthew H. Swyers/
Date	09/04/2012
Attachments	Answer.pdf (9 pages)(31255 bytes)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**

Serial No. 85/459,922

For the mark CHARLES GREGOIRE DE ROTHSCHILD,

Rothschilds Continuation Holdings AG, :
Rothschild North America, Inc., Rothschild, Inc., :
and Rothschild Asset Management, Inc., :

Opposer, :

vs. : Opposition No. 91206235

Charles Gregoire De Rothschild, :
f/k/a Aaron Berdah, :

Applicant. :

ANSWER AND GROUNDS OF DEFENSE

COMES NOW the Applicant, Charles Gregoire De Rothschild, f/k/a Aaron Berdah (hereinafter “Applicant”), by and through counsel, The Trademark Company, PLLC, and files his Answer and Grounds of Defense to the Notice of Opposition and in response to Opposer’s allegations states as follows:

ANSWER

Applicant without knowledge of the allegations set forth in the Introductory Paragraph of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

1. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

2. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

3. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

4. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

5. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

6. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

7. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

8. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

9. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

10. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

11. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

12. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

13. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

14. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

15. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

16. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

17. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

18. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 18 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

19. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

20. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

21. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof. Applicant is unable to verify the authenticity of Exhibit 1 provided, and therefore denies the same.

22. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 22 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof. Applicant is unable to verify the authenticity of Exhibit 2 provided, and therefore denies the same.

23. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 23 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

24. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 24 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

25. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 25 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

26. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

27. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 27 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

28. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 28 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

29. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 29 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

30. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

31. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 31 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

32. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32 of the Notice of Opposition. Applicant is unable to verify the authenticity of Exhibit 3 provided, and therefore denies the same.

33. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

34. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34 of the Notice of Opposition.

35. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 35 of the Notice of Opposition.

36. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 36 of the Notice of Opposition.

37. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 37 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

38. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 38 of the Notice of Opposition.

39. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 39 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

40. Applicant admits to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 40 of the Notice of Opposition.

41. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 41 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

42. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 42 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

43. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 43 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

44. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 44 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

45. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 45 of the Notice of Opposition.

46. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 46 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

47. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 47 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

48. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 48 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

49. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 49 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

50. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 50 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

51. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 51 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

52. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 52 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

53. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 53 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

54. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 54 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

55. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 55 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

56. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 56 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

57. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 57 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

58. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 58 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

59. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 59 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

60. Applicant admits to the truth of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60 of the Notice of Opposition.

61. Applicant is without knowledge of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 61 of the Notice of Opposition and therefore denies the same.

62. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 62 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

63. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 63 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

64. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 64 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

65. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 65 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

66. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 66 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

67. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 67 of the Notice of Opposition as phrased and demands strict proof thereof.

68. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 68 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

69. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 69 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

70. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 70 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

71. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 71 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

72. Applicant repeats and alleges the responses to the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 71 of the Notice of Opposition.

73. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 73 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

74. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 74 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

75. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 75 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

76. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 76 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

77. Applicant denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 77 of the Notice of Opposition and demands strict proof thereof.

Applicant further denies all allegations not specifically, actually or constructively, admitted in the foregoing paragraphs of this Answer and Grounds of Defense.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that the Notice of Opposition be dismissed.

Respectfully submitted this 4th day of September, 2012.

THE TRADEMARK COMPANY, PLLC

/Matthew H. Swyers/

Matthew H. Swyers, Esq.

344 Maple Avenue West, Suite 151

Vienna, VA 22180

Tel. (800) 906-8626

Facsimile (270) 477-4574

mshyers@TheTrademarkCompany.com

Counsel for Applicant

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board**

Serial No. 85/459,922

For the mark CHARLES GREGOIRE DE ROTHSCHILD,

Rothschilds Continuation Holdings AG, :
Rothschild North America, Inc., Rothschild, Inc., :
and Rothschild Asset Management, Inc., :

Opposer, :

vs. : Opposition No. 91206235

Charles Gregoire De Rothschild, :
f/k/a Aaron Berdah, :

Applicant. :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I caused a copy of the foregoing this 4th day of September, 2012, to be served, via first class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Andrew L. Deutsch, Esq.
DLA Piper LLP (US)
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020

/Matthew H. Swyers/
Matthew H. Swyers