

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Trademark Trial and Appeal Board
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451
General Contact Number: 571-272-8500

Mailed: January 11, 2016

Opposition No. 91206212

Carefusion 2200, Inc.

v.

Entrotech Life Sciences, Inc. (substituted as
party defendant)

**George C. Pologeorgis,
Administrative Trademark Judge:**

It has come to the Board's attention that all rights, title and interest in each of the four involved applications in this opposition proceeding were assigned from Entrotech, Inc. to Entrotech Life Sciences, Inc. prior to the institution of this case. See USPTO's Assignment Branch Records Frame/Reel 4823/0898, 4823/0908, 4823/0929, and 4823/0888. Accordingly, the Board, *sua sponte*, substitutes Entrotech Life Sciences, Inc. as party defendant in this proceeding. TBMP § 512.01 (2015).

As a final matter, the Board notes that Applicant, to the right of the caption of its final brief on the case, states in bold lettering that an oral argument is requested. Under Trademark Rule 2.129(a), [i]f a party desires to have an oral argument at final hearing, the party shall request such argument by a separate

notice filed not later than ten days after the due date for the filing of the last reply brief in the proceeding.

In this instance, Applicant did not file a separate notice requesting an oral hearing but merely stated that an oral hearing is requested next to the caption of its final brief. In view thereof, Applicant is allowed until **January 21, 2016** in which to file and serve a formal request for an oral hearing in accordance with Trademark Rule 2.129(a), failing which Applicant's request for an oral hearing will be given no further consideration.

A final decision on the merits in this matter will be decided in due course.