

ESTTA Tracking number: **ESTTA493991**

Filing date: **09/12/2012**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Proceeding | 91205944 |
| Party | Defendant Travis Pethe |
| Correspondence Address | RAJ ABHYANKER RAJ ABHYANKER PC 1580 W EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 8 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94040-2462 UNITED STATES trademarks@rajpatent.com, kuscha@legalforcelaw.com, michelle@legalforcelaw.com |
| Submission | Answer |
| Filer's Name | Kuscha Hatami Fard |
| Filer's e-mail | kuscha@legalforcelaw.com, michelle@legalforcelaw.com |
| Signature | /Kuscha Hatami Fard/ |
| Date | 09/12/2012 |
| Attachments | Answer.pdf (4 pages)(86847 bytes) |

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>American Airlines, Inc.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Opposer</p> <p style="text-align:center">v.</p> <p>Travis Pethe,</p> <p style="text-align:center">Applicant</p> | <p>Opposition No. 91205944</p> <p>Marks: American Eagle Aerospace</p> <p>Serial No. 85/268,722</p> <p>Published: March 6, 2012</p> |
|---|--|

APPLICANT’S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant Travis Pethe (“Applicant”) through its undersigned attorneys, submits its Answer to the Notice of Opposition (“Opposition”) filed by American Airlines, Inc. (“Opposer”) dated July 5, 2012 as follows:

1. Applicant admits that the records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) reflect the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Opposition.
2. Applicant admits that Opposer is engaged in the business of travel and transportation related services in interstate commerce. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Opposition and therefore denies such allegations.
3. Applicant denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Opposition.
4. Applicant denies the allegations in paragraph 4 of the Opposition.
5. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in Paragraph 5 and therefore denies such allegations.

6. Paragraph 6 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the opposition.

7. Applicant denies the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Opposition.

8. Applicant admits that the USPTO records reflect that Applicant filed, on March 16, 2011, an application to register the American Eagle Aerospace mark. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Opposition state legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the remaining allegations of the Paragraph 8 of the Opposition.

9. Paragraph 9 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Opposition.

10. Paragraph 10 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Opposition.

11. Paragraph 11 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Opposition.

12. Paragraph 12 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Opposition.

13. Paragraph 13 of the Opposition states legal conclusions of the Opposer to which no answer is required. To the extent that further response is necessary, Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 13 of the Opposition.

Affirmative Defenses

1. Opposer has failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
2. Applicant reserves the right to assert any and all other affirmative defenses of which it becomes aware during the pendency of this matter.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays that Opposer's Notice of Opposition be dismissed and that judgment be entered in favor of Applicant, **Travis Pethe**.

Dated: September 12, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Kuscha Hatami Fard
Kuscha Hatami Fard
Raj Abhyanker, P.C.
1580 W. El Camino Real, Suite 13
Mountain View, CA 94040
Phone: (650) 965-8731
Fax: (650) 989-2131
Attorneys for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Certify that on this 12th day of September, 2012, a true copy of the foregoing Applicant's Answer to Notice of Opposition is being served by mailing a copy thereof by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the following individual, identified in the Notice of Opposition as the attorney of record and correspondent.

American Airlines, Inc.
Andrew J Avsec
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione
PO Box 10395
Chicago, IL. 60610
aavsec@brinkshofer.com

/s/Kuscha Hatami Fard
Kuscha Hatami Fard
Raj Abhyanker, P.C.
1580 W. El Camino Real, Suite 13
Mountain View, CA 94040
Phone: (650) 965-8731
Fax: (650) 989-2131
Attorneys for Applicant